



2019 ANNUAL REPORT



To Our Shareholders,

Voyager was founded with the mission to develop life-changing therapies for people living with severe neurological diseases. Our early choice to focus our efforts at the convergence of gene therapy and neuroscience has allowed us to develop and apply our expertise at the cutting-edge of a new field. We have not wavered from our mission, and the result is an advancing and expanding pipeline of potential new therapies that today includes gene replacement, gene knock-down and vectorized antibodies.



In 2019, we took important steps toward establishing Voyager as a leader in neurological gene therapy. In addition to forming a strategic collaboration with Neurocrine Biosciences and expanding our partnership with AbbVie, we continued to progress our pipeline of wholly owned programs. Our lead gene therapy program, VY-AADC (NB1b-1817), is currently being evaluated for the treatment of Parkinson's disease in the RESTORE-1 clinical trial, the first of two pivotal trials to support a Biologics License Application (BLA) filing in the U.S. We plan to initiate the global RESTORE-2 clinical trial with our partner Neurocrine Biosciences in the second half of 2020. We also expect to provide important longer-term results this year from two of our VY-AADC Phase 1 clinical trials. In total, these results will represent more than 60 patient-years of data for Parkinson's disease patients treated with VY-AADC.

Our preclinical pipeline also progressed well in 2019. We are currently finalizing preclinical studies to support a potential Investigational New Drug (IND) application for VY-HTT01, our lead wholly owned program to treat Huntington's disease. In support of our planned clinical trial of VY-HTT01, we also anticipate initiating this year a natural history observational study among patients with early Huntington's disease. We have also made good progress across multiple other partnered and wholly owned programs. We look forward to sharing results on these programs at upcoming scientific conferences.

The progress of our therapeutic pipeline has been matched by enabling developments in our AAV

manufacturing platform. The ability to develop robust and scalable manufacturing processes is a critical aspect of gene therapy development. The in-house manufacturing expertise we have developed is proving invaluable in supporting our programs and in enabling collaboration opportunities. Similarly, our current early-stage and future pipeline programs will be richly enabled by our expertise in delivery and by our ability to utilize proprietary novel capsids.

This is an exciting time for Voyager as our programs advance and our enabling technology platforms support the enhancement and expansion of our efforts for patients in need. As we traverse the unprecedented challenge of the global COVID-19 health crisis that we are all facing, we are incredibly appreciative of our employees, directors, collaborators, investigators and shareholders, and most importantly patients, for their respective contributions in support of our potential breakthrough therapies for severe neurological diseases. It is clearer now than ever that we all need each other.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Andre Turenne". The signature is fluid and cursive, written over a white background.

Andre Turenne
President and Chief Executive Officer

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, DC 20549**

FORM 10-K

(Mark One)

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2019

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number: 001-37625

Voyager Therapeutics, Inc.

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Delaware
(State or Other Jurisdiction of
Incorporation or Organization)

75 Sidney Street,
Cambridge, Massachusetts
(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

46-3003182
(IRS Employer
Identification No.)

02139
(Zip Code)

(857) 259-5340

(Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class	Trading Symbol(s)	Name of each exchange on which registered
Common Stock, \$0.001 par value	VYGR	Nasdaq Global Select Market

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted every Interactive Data File required to be submitted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, a smaller reporting company, or an emerging growth company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," "smaller reporting company," and "emerging growth company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer
Non-accelerated filer

Accelerated filer
Smaller reporting company
Emerging growth company

If an emerging growth company, indicate by check mark if the registrant has elected not to use the extended transition period for complying with any new or revised financial accounting standards provided pursuant to Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes No

The aggregate market value of Common Stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant computed by reference to the price of the registrant's Common Stock as of June 28, 2019, the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter, was approximately \$686.3 million (based on the last reported sale price on the Nasdaq Global Select Market as of such date).

As of February 28, 2020, there were 37,153,159 shares of the registrant's common stock, par value \$0.001 per share, outstanding.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the registrant's definitive Proxy Statement relating to its 2020 Annual Meeting of Stockholders are incorporated by reference into Part III of this Annual Report on Form 10-K where indicated. Such Proxy Statement is expected to be filed with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission not later than 120 days after the end of the fiscal year to which this report relates.

Table of Contents

	<u>Page</u>
PART I.	
Item 1. Business	4
Item 1A. Risk Factors	59
Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments	123
Item 2. Properties	123
Item 3. Legal Proceedings	123
Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures	123
PART II.	
Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	123
Item 6. Selected Financial Data	124
Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	126
Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	142
Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	142
Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	142
Item 9A. Controls and Procedures	142
Item 9B. Other Information	143
PART III.	
Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	144
Item 11. Executive Compensation	144
Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	144
Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence	144
Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services	144
PART IV.	
Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules	144
Item 16. Form 10-K Summary	145
Signatures	F-44

[This page intentionally left blank]

Forward-Looking Statements

This Annual Report on Form 10-K contains forward-looking statements that involve substantial risks and uncertainties. All statements other than statements of historical facts contained in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, including statements regarding our strategy, future operations, future financial position, future revenue, projected costs, prospects, plans, objectives of management and expected market growth, are forward-looking statements. These statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other important factors that may cause our actual results, performance or achievements to be materially different from any future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements.

The words “anticipate,” “believe,” “estimate,” “expect,” “intend,” “may,” “might,” “plan,” “predict,” “project,” “target,” “potential,” “contemplate,” “anticipate,” “goals,” “will,” “would,” “could,” “should,” “continue,” and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements, although not all forward-looking statements contain these identifying words. These forward-looking statements include, among other things, statements about:

- our plans to develop and commercialize our product candidates based on adeno-associated virus, or AAV, gene therapy;
- our ability to identify and optimize product candidates and novel AAV gene therapy capsids;
- our ongoing and planned clinical trials and related timelines, including our ability to continue to advance VY-AADC (which our partner Neurocrine Biosciences, Inc., or Neurocrine, refers to as NB1b-1817) as a treatment for Parkinson’s disease through the Phase 1b clinical trial, the separate Phase 1 clinical trial, and the RESTORE-1 Phase 2 clinical trial, and our preclinical development efforts and studies;
- formulation changes to our product candidates that may require us to conduct additional clinical studies to bridge our modified product candidates to earlier versions;
- the timing of and our ability to submit applications for, and obtain and maintain regulatory approvals for our product candidates, including our ability to file investigational new drug applications for our programs including VY-HTT01 for the treatment of Huntington’s disease, VY-SOD102 for the treatment of a monogenic form of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, and VY-FXN01 for the treatment of Friedreich’s ataxia;
- our estimates regarding expenses, future revenues, capital requirements, and needs for additional financing;
- our ability to continue to develop our gene therapy platform;
- our ability to develop a manufacturing capability compliant with current good manufacturing practices for our product candidates;
- our ability to access, develop, and obtain regulatory clearance for devices to deliver our AAV gene therapies to critical targets of neurological disease;
- our intellectual property position and our ability to obtain, maintain and enforce intellectual property protection for our proprietary assets;
- our estimates regarding the size of the potential markets for our product candidates and our ability to serve those markets;
- the rate and degree of market acceptance of our product candidates for any indication once approved;
- our strategic collaborations with AbbVie Biotechnology Ltd and AbbVie Ireland Unlimited Company, or collectively AbbVie, and Neurocrine, including the possibility and timing of AbbVie exercising its options to certain of our programs as specified in the applicable collaboration agreements;

- our plans and ability to raise additional capital, including through equity offerings, debt financings, collaborations, strategic alliances, and licensing arrangements;
- our competitive position and the success of competing products that are or become available for the indications that we are pursuing;
- the impact of government laws and regulations including in the United States, the European Union, and other important geographies such as Japan;
- our ability to maintain consistency with results from the Phase 1b clinical trial and the separate Phase 1 clinical trial focused on posterior trajectory in future clinical trials, including the RESTORE-1 Phase 2 trial; and
- our ability to enter into future collaborations, strategic alliances, or licensing arrangements.

These forward-looking statements are only predictions and we may not actually achieve the plans, intentions or expectations disclosed in our forward-looking statements. You should not place undue reliance on our forward-looking statements. Actual results or events could differ materially from the plans, intentions and expectations disclosed in the forward-looking statements we make. We have based these forward-looking statements largely on our current expectations and projections about future events and trends that we believe may affect our business, financial condition and operating results. We have included important factors in the cautionary statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, particularly in “Part I, Item 1A - Risk Factors” that could cause actual future results or events to differ materially from the forward-looking statements that we make. Our forward-looking statements do not reflect the potential impact of any future acquisitions, mergers, dispositions, joint ventures or investments we may make.

You should read this Annual Report on Form 10-K and the documents that we have filed as exhibits to the Annual Report on Form 10-K with the understanding that our actual future results may be materially different from what we expect. We do not assume any obligation to update any forward-looking statements whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, except as required by applicable law.

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS


We are a clinical-stage gene therapy company focused on developing life-changing treatments for patients suffering from severe neurological diseases. We focus on neurological diseases where we believe an adeno-associated virus, or AAV, gene therapy approach that either increases or decreases the production of a specific protein can slow or reduce the symptoms experienced by patients, and therefore have a clinically meaningful impact. We have built a gene therapy platform that we believe positions us to be a leading company at the intersection of AAV gene therapy and severe neurological disease. Our gene therapy platform enables us to engineer, optimize, manufacture and deliver our AAV-based gene therapies that have the potential to provide durable efficacy following a single administration.

Additionally, we are working to identify novel AAV capsids, which are the outer viral protein shells that enclose the genetic material of the virus payload. Our team of experts in the fields of AAV gene therapy and neuroscience first identifies and selects severe neurological diseases that are well-suited for treatment using AAV gene therapy. We then engineer and optimize AAV vectors for delivery of the virus payload to the targeted tissue or cells. Our manufacturing process employs an established system that we believe will enable production of high quality AAV vectors at commercial scale. In addition to our capsid optimization efforts, we leverage novel delivery paradigms, established routes of administration, and advances in dosing techniques to optimize delivery of our AAV gene therapies to target tissues, regions and cell types that are critical to the disease of interest. We believe we can achieve this directly, with targeted infusions to discrete regions of the brain, the spinal cord, or systemically, in conjunction with our novel capsids.

Our business strategy focuses on discovering, developing, manufacturing and commercializing our gene therapy programs. As part of this strategy, we have developed core competencies specific to AAV gene therapy development and manufacturing and are beginning to build our commercial infrastructure. This business strategy also includes business development activities that may include in-licensing activities or partnering certain programs in specific geographies

with collaborators, as we have demonstrated through our collaborations, including those with Sanofi Genzyme Corporation, or Sanofi Genzyme, AbbVie Biotechnology Ltd and AbbVie Ireland Unlimited Company, or collectively AbbVie, and Neurocrine Biosciences, Inc., or Neurocrine. Since our inception, our operations have focused on organizing and staffing our company, business planning, raising capital, establishing our intellectual property portfolio, determining which neurological diseases to pursue, advancing our product candidates including delivery and manufacturing, and conducting preclinical studies and clinical trials. We do not have any product candidates approved for sale and have not generated any revenue from product sales. We have funded our operations primarily through private placements of redeemable convertible preferred stock, public offerings of our common stock, and our strategic collaborations, including our collaboration with Sanofi Genzyme, or the Sanofi Genzyme Collaboration, which commenced in February 2015 and was terminated in June 2019, our collaboration with AbbVie focusing on tau-related diseases, or the AbbVie Tau Collaboration, which commenced in February 2018, our collaboration with AbbVie focusing on pathological species of alpha-synuclein, or the AbbVie Alpha-Synuclein Collaboration, which commenced in February 2019, and our collaboration with Neurocrine Biosciences, or the Neurocrine Collaboration, which commenced in March 2019.

Our pipeline of gene therapy programs is summarized in the table below:



(1) Voyager has option to co-commercialize U.S. or grant Neurocrine global commercial rights (2) Voyager intends to seek a partner to advance (3) PSP = Progressive Supranuclear Palsy, FTD = Frontotemporal Dementia, LBD = Lewy Body Dementia, MSA = Multiple System Atrophy

Our pipeline consists of programs for severe neurological indications, including Parkinson's disease; Huntington's disease; a monogenic form of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, or ALS; Friedreich's ataxia; tau-related diseases including Alzheimer's disease, frontotemporal dementia, or FTD, and progressive supranuclear palsy, or PSP; and alpha-synuclein related diseases including Parkinson's disease and other synucleinopathies. We may seek orphan drug designation, breakthrough therapy designation, or other expedited review processes for certain of our product candidates in the United States, Europe, and Japan.

We are evaluating our most advanced clinical candidate, VY-AADC (which Neurocrine refers to as NBIB-1817), or VY-AADC (NBIB-1817), for the treatment of Parkinson's disease, through the Neurocrine Collaboration in the RESTORE-1 Phase 2, randomized, double-blind, placebo-surgery controlled trial evaluating the safety and efficacy of VY-AADC (NBIB-1817) for the treatment of Parkinson's disease in patients with motor fluctuations that are refractory to medical management. The RESTORE-1 Phase 2 trial has a planned enrollment of approximately 85 patients who have been diagnosed with Parkinson's disease for at least four years, are not responding adequately to oral medications, and have at least three or more hours of OFF time during the day as measured by a validated self-reported patient diary. Patients who meet the eligibility criteria will be randomized (2:1) to one-time administration of VY-AADC (NBIB-1817) (for a total dose of up to 2.5×10^{12} vector genomes, or vg) or placebo surgery, respectively.

We are pursuing additional product candidates in the preclinical stages of development, including treatment programs for Huntington's disease, ALS, Friedreich's ataxia, tau-related neurodegenerative diseases and diseases characterized by the abnormal accumulation of misfolded alpha-synuclein protein, or synucleinopathies. In June 2019, in connection with the restructuring of our gene therapy relationship with Sanofi Genzyme, we decided to reallocate resources to our Huntington's disease program and new discovery efforts. We are currently engaged in the ongoing conduct and review of preclinical studies for our Huntington's disease program, VY-HTT01, and expect to provide an update on the program in the second quarter of 2020, including plans to file an investigational new drug, or IND, application. We intend to seek a partner to advance our preclinical program for SOD1 ALS and no longer expect to file an IND application for our ALS program prior to partnering.

Additional preclinical studies are underway including steps to optimize a lead clinical candidate for the treatment of Friedreich's ataxia in connection with the Neurocrine Collaboration. We are collaborating with AbbVie on two separate programs for the research and development of specified vectorized antibody compounds comprised of an AAV or other viral capsid and a virus vector genome that encodes one or more antibodies. These programs are in the research stage and focused on tau-related and alpha-synuclein related diseases, respectively.

In addition to the programs described above, we continue to evaluate additional severe neurological diseases that could be treated using AAV gene therapy through application of either a gene replacement or a gene knockdown approach and are also actively exploring additional potential treatment methods that can utilize an AAV vector. In early 2019, we presented on our discovery and development of novel AAV capsids that cross the blood brain barrier, or BBB, after intravenous, or IV, administration with improved transduction of the brain and spinal cord and enhanced cellular specificity using libraries under the control of either the neuron-specific synapsin, or SYN, promoter or the astrocyte-specific glial fibrillary acidic protein, or GFAP, promoter to apply selective pressure for capsid variants that transduce the cell type of interest. As part of that effort, our scientists have developed a proprietary system called Tropism Redirection of AAV by Cell Type-Specific Expression of RNA, or TRACER™, to facilitate the selection of AAV capsids with BBB crossing and cell-specific transduction properties for particular therapeutic applications. The TRACER system is a broadly-applicable, functional RNA-based AAV capsid screening platform that allows for rapid *in vivo* evolution of AAV capsids with cell-specific transduction properties in wild-type animals. Multiple capsid variants have been identified with up to 1,000-fold improvement of central nervous system transduction in mouse models over AAV9 following IV administration after three rounds of selection. We are applying the TRACER system towards selecting AAV capsids with improved BBB-penetrant properties in non-human primates.

Finally, we have developed our own real-time, intra-operative, MRI compatible device, the Variable Trajectory Array Guide, or V-TAG™, that can be used with other neuro-navigational systems for the administration of drugs and other surgical procedures, to avoid blood vessels and reduce the risk of potential hemorrhage during surgery, and to maximize drug coverage of the targeted structures. In July 2018, the Center for Devices and Radiological Health, or the CDRH, of the U.S. Food and Drug Administration, of the FDA, provided 510(k) clearance for V-TAG. We are currently working with ClearPoint Neuro, Inc. (formerly known as MRI Interventions, Inc.), or CLPT on process development and manufacturing of the device, and in March 2019, we transferred our premarket notification (510(k)) clearance for V-TAG to CLPT. Investigators have used an alternative MRI-compatible device called the ClearPoint® System in our Phase 1b clinical trial of VY-AADC (NB1b-1817) and Phase 1 posterior trajectory trial. Investigators in the RESTORE-1 Phase 2 clinical trial may use either the V-TAG or the ClearPoint System.

Sanofi Genzyme Collaboration

In February 2015, we entered into a strategic collaboration with Sanofi Genzyme to leverage our combined expertise and assets to develop AAV gene therapies for certain severe neurological diseases. Under the agreement, we received \$65.0 million in upfront cash, a \$30.0 million upfront equity investment, and an in-kind commitment of \$5.0 million, totaling \$100.0 million. At the inception of the agreement, we were eligible to receive up to \$745.0 million in option and milestone payments while retaining U.S. commercial rights to most programs. Under the terms of the collaboration, we granted Sanofi Genzyme an exclusive option (i) to license, develop and commercialize ex-U.S. rights to VY-AADC (NB1b-1817) for Parkinson's disease, or the VY-AADC Program, VY-FXN01 for Friedreich's ataxia, or the FA Program, VY-HTT01 for Huntington's disease, or the Huntington's Program, and a future program to be designated by Sanofi Genzyme, or the Future Program, which we refer to collectively as the Split Territory Programs;

(ii) to license, develop and commercialize worldwide rights to VY-SMN101, our spinal muscular atrophy program; and (iii) to co-commercialize VY-HTT01 in the United States. Each of Sanofi Genzyme's options to a Split Territory Program is triggered following the completion of the first proof-of-principle human clinical study, or POP Study, on a program-by-program basis.

In October 2017, Sanofi Genzyme notified us that it had decided not to exercise its option for the ex-U.S. rights to the VY-AADC Program. As a result, we were no longer entitled to receive \$45.0 million and \$60.0 million of regulatory and commercial milestone payments from Sanofi Genzyme, respectively, related to the VY-AADC Program. If we use certain Sanofi Genzyme technology in VY-AADC (NBIB-1817), Sanofi Genzyme is entitled to receive low-single-digit royalty payments based on a percentage of net sales by us, and we may be obligated to make certain regulatory milestone payments to a third-party licensor.

In June 2019, we and Sanofi Genzyme executed a termination agreement to terminate the Sanofi Genzyme Collaboration Agreement, or the Sanofi Genzyme Termination Agreement. Under the terms of the Sanofi Genzyme Termination Agreement, Sanofi Genzyme relinquished its rights to the exclusive license options to the Huntington's Program, the FA Program, and the Future Program. We have been relieved of our obligations to perform the research and development services under those programs through completion of the respective proof of principle human clinical studies, or POP Studies. As a result, we gained worldwide rights to the Huntington's Program, and ex-U.S. rights to the FA Program. In accordance with our Collaboration and License Agreement with Neurocrine, or the Neurocrine Collaboration Agreement, the ex-U.S. rights to the FA Program then passed to Neurocrine. Additionally, we and Sanofi Genzyme entered into the Amended and Restated Option and License Agreement related to certain AAV capsids, or the Amended Capsid Agreement. Under the Amended Capsid Agreement, Sanofi Genzyme obtains exclusive option rights to select up to two novel AAV capsids owned or controlled by us for exclusive use for up to an aggregate of two non-central nervous system, or non-CNS indications.

Under the Sanofi Genzyme Termination Agreement, we made a \$10.0 million upfront payment to Sanofi Genzyme and have agreed to pay a \$10.0 million milestone payment to Sanofi Genzyme within fifteen days of the filing of an IND application for a product candidate incorporating certain intellectual property rights developed under or substantially related to, the Huntington's Program, which we refer to as a Post-Termination HD Product. We have agreed to pay Sanofi Genzyme (i) 50% of any income received from sublicensing arrangements related to Post-Termination HD Products in excess of specified thresholds and entered into prior to (a) the filing of an IND application for a Post-Termination HD Product or (b) the dosing of the first patient in a clinical trial for a Post-Termination HD Product in the United States or certain European countries, respectively and (ii) a low-double digit percentage of any income received from sublicensing arrangements outside the United States related to products incorporating intellectual property rights developed under, or substantially related to, the FA Program, which we refer to as Post-Termination FA Products, that are in excess of a specified threshold and entered into prior to the dosing of the first patient in a clinical trial for a Post-Termination FA Product in the United States or certain European countries, in each case, subject to certain limitations. We have also agreed to pay low-single-digit royalties on net sales of Post-Termination HD Products. Under the Sanofi Genzyme Collaboration Agreement, we had rights to certain in-kind services. As of the effective date of the Sanofi Genzyme Termination Agreement, we waived our right to approximately \$0.4 million in unused in-kind services, we have relinquished our rights to the spinal muscular atrophy program, and we no longer have the right to receive any option payments, regulatory or commercial milestone payments or royalties from Sanofi Genzyme under the Sanofi Genzyme Collaboration Agreement.

AbbVie Tau Collaboration

In February 2018, we entered into an exclusive collaboration and option agreement with AbbVie, or the AbbVie Tau Collaboration Agreement, for the research, development, and commercialization of AAV and other virus-based gene therapy products for the treatment of diseases of the central nervous system, or CNS, and other neurodegenerative diseases related to defective or excess aggregation of tau protein in the human brain, including Alzheimer's disease. Under the terms of the AbbVie Tau Collaboration Agreement, we received an upfront payment of \$69.0 million and may receive future option fees, development and regulatory milestone payments and royalties. Under the terms of the AbbVie Tau Collaboration Agreement, we have agreed to perform specified research, preclinical, and Phase 1 development activities regarding vectorized antibodies directed against tau, after which AbbVie may select one or more vectorized

antibodies to proceed into IND-enabling studies and clinical development. We are responsible for the research, IND-enabling studies, and Phase 1 clinical trial activities and costs. Following the completion of Phase 1 clinical development, AbbVie has an option to license the vectorized tau antibody program and would then lead further clinical development and global commercialization for the product candidates pursuant to the AbbVie Tau Collaboration Agreement. We may earn up to \$215.0 million in option exercise fees for such preclinical and Phase 1 development activities. In addition to the upfront payment and the potential option exercise payments, we are eligible to receive up to \$895.0 million in development and regulatory milestones for each vectorized tau antibody compound. We are also eligible to receive tiered, escalating royalties in a range, subject to certain specified exceptions, from a high-single digit to a mid-to-high teen percentage of the global net sales of the vectorized antibodies for tauopathies, including Alzheimer's disease and other neurodegenerative diseases. We also have an option to share in the costs of clinical development for higher royalty rates. Under the terms of the AbbVie Tau Collaboration Agreement, each party will own the entire right, title and interest in and to all know-how and patent rights first made or invented solely by it or its affiliates or its or their sublicensees in the course of the collaboration, with certain specified exceptions. We have also agreed to grant AbbVie a worldwide license to certain know-how and patent rights developed by us or jointly by the parties arising from the collaboration.

AbbVie Alpha-Synuclein Collaboration

In February 2019, we entered into an exclusive collaboration and option agreement with AbbVie, or the AbbVie Alpha-Synuclein Collaboration Agreement, for the development and commercialization of vectorized antibodies directed against pathological species of alpha-synuclein for the potential treatment of Parkinson's disease and other synucleinopathies. Under the terms of the AbbVie Alpha-Synuclein Collaboration Agreement, we received an upfront payment of \$65.0 million and may receive future option fees, development, regulatory, and commercial milestone payments, and royalties. Under the terms of the AbbVie Alpha-Synuclein Collaboration Agreement, we and AbbVie have agreed to collaborate on the research and development of specified vectorized antibody compounds, or Research Compounds, comprised of an AAV or other viral capsid and a virus vector genome that encodes one or more antibodies that target and bind to the alpha-synuclein protein. The collaboration is comprised of a research period and a development period. We are obligated to conduct research activities directed to constructing one or more virus vectors that encode antibodies designated by AbbVie. We are obligated to use diligent efforts to conduct antibody engineering and other research activities to create the Research Compounds and to develop product candidates containing or comprised of such Research Compounds, which we refer to as Product Candidates. We are solely responsible for the costs and expenses during the research period. During a specified portion of the Research Period, AbbVie may exercise one or more of its exclusive development options to select up to a total of four Research Compounds and their corresponding Product Candidates to proceed to the development period, after which AbbVie may exercise its option to license such Product Candidates following Phase 1 results, for which we may earn up to \$245.0 million in option exercise payments in aggregate. In addition to the upfront payment and the potential option exercise payments, we are eligible to receive up to \$727.5 million in development and regulatory milestones for each licensed Research Compound, or Licensed Compound. We are also eligible to receive tiered, escalating royalties, in the mid-single-digit percentage range on aggregate net sales of a corresponding product candidate, or a Licensed Product on a Licensed Compound by Licensed Compound basis, as well as up to \$500.0 million in commercial milestone payments based on aggregate annual net sales thresholds of Licensed Products. The royalties are subject to potential reductions for biosimilar market penetration, patent claim expiration, and other provisions, subject to specified limits. Subject to certain exceptions, we and AbbVie have each agreed to be financially responsible for all payments owed to a third party with which it has contracted for any use of in-licensed intellectual property under the AbbVie Alpha-Synuclein Collaboration Agreement.

Neurocrine Collaboration

In January 2019, we entered into the Neurocrine Collaboration Agreement for the research, development and commercialization of four programs including the VY-AADC Program, FA Program, and two programs, or the Discovery Programs. The Neurocrine Collaboration Agreement became effective in March 2019 following expiration of the applicable waiting period under the Hart-Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act of 1976, as amended, and satisfaction of customary closing conditions. Under the terms of the Neurocrine Collaboration Agreement, we received an upfront payment of \$115.0 million and may receive future development and regulatory milestone payments and royalties. In connection with the Neurocrine Collaboration Agreement, Neurocrine also paid us \$50.0 million as

consideration for an equity purchase of 4,179,728 shares of our common stock. In June 2019, in conjunction with the termination of the Sanofi Genzyme Collaboration Agreement, we gained worldwide rights to the Huntington's Program and ex-U.S. rights to the FA Program. Our ex-U.S. rights to the FA Program were transferred to Neurocrine Biosciences pursuant to the Neurocrine Collaboration Agreement. To facilitate the transfer of the ex-U.S. rights to the FA Program to Neurocrine, we and Neurocrine amended the Neurocrine Collaboration Agreement and we received a \$5.0 million payment from Neurocrine. We are obligated to use commercially reasonable efforts to develop the products in each of these programs under the terms of the Neurocrine Collaboration Agreement. Neurocrine is responsible for all costs incurred by us in conducting these activities for each program, in accordance with an agreed budget.

Under the terms of the Neurocrine Collaboration Agreement for the VY-AADC Program, Neurocrine will fund the clinical development of the RESTORE-1 Phase 2 clinical trials for VY-AADC (NBIB-1817). After the data readout of the RESTORE-1 Phase 2 trial, we have the option to either: (i) co-commercialize VY-AADC (NBIB-1817) with Neurocrine in the United States under a 50/50 cost- and profit-sharing arrangement and receive milestones and royalties based on ex-U.S. sales, or (ii) grant Neurocrine full global commercial rights in exchange for milestone payments and royalties based on global sales. Under the terms of the Neurocrine Collaboration Agreement for the FA Program, Neurocrine will fund the development through the Phase 1 clinical trial of VY-FXN01. After the data readout of the Phase 1 trial, we have the option to either: (i) co-commercialize VY-FXN01 with Neurocrine in the United States under a 60/40 cost- and profit-sharing arrangement, or (ii) grant Neurocrine full worldwide commercial rights in exchange for milestone payments and royalties based on global sales, subject to Sanofi Genzyme's option to commercialize the FA Program in countries outside the United States. Under the terms of the Neurocrine Collaboration Agreement for the two Discovery Programs, Neurocrine will fund the development of those programs and we have the right to earn milestone payments and royalties based on global sales.

In addition to the upfront payment, we are eligible to receive aggregate development milestone payments under (i) the VY-AADC Program of up to \$170.0 million, (ii) the FA Program of up to \$195.0 million, and (iii) each of the Discovery Programs of up to \$130.0 million each. We may also be entitled to receive aggregate commercial milestone payments for each collaboration product of up to \$275.0 million, subject to an aggregate cap on commercial milestone payments across all programs of \$1.1 billion. We are also eligible to receive royalties, based on future net sales of the collaboration products. Such royalty percentages, for net sales in and outside the United States, as applicable, range (i) for the VY-AADC Program, from the mid-teens to thirty and the low-teens to twenty, respectively; (ii) for the FA Program, from the low-teens to high-teens and high-single digits to mid-teens, respectively; and (iii) for each Discovery Program, from the high-single digits to mid-teens and mid-single digits to low-teens, respectively.

Mission and Strategy

Our mission is to become the world leader in AAV gene therapy focused on treating severe neurological diseases by developing transformative therapies. Our strategy to achieve this mission is to:

- ***Optimize and advance VY-AADC (NBIB-1817) for the treatment of Parkinson's disease.*** Our most advanced clinical candidate, VY-AADC (NBIB-1817) for the treatment of Parkinson's disease is included in the Neurocrine Collaboration. We continue to evaluate the dosing and delivery of VY-AADC (NBIB-1817) to determine the optimal and safe dose to achieve meaningful clinical benefit for patients with Parkinson's disease. We are evaluating the delivery of VY-AADC (NBIB-1817) in a transfrontal (i.e., top of the head) surgical delivery route in a Phase 1b clinical trial, and separately, we are exploring the delivery of VY-AADC (NBIB-1817) using a posterior trajectory (i.e., back of the head surgical delivery route) in a Phase 1 clinical trial (PD-1101 and PD-1102, respectively). PD-1101 is an open-label, dose-ranging, Phase 1b clinical trial for VY-AADC (NBIB-1817) to evaluate safety and efficacy. We enrolled 15 patients with advanced Parkinson's disease and assessed increased volume or concentration of VY-AADC (NBIB-1817) in three separate cohorts consisting of five patients in each cohort. PD-1102 is a separate, open-label, Phase 1 clinical trial exploring a posterior trajectory for VY-AADC (NBIB-1817) that enrolled eight patients with advanced Parkinson's disease. We have completed enrollment in both PD-1101 and PD-1102 and continue to follow patients enrolled in these trials. Preliminary data from both trials demonstrate that VY-AADC (NBIB-1817) has been well-tolerated, and that administration with VY-AADC (NBIB-1817) improved

patients' motor function and quality of life as measured by standard scores and measures used in Parkinson's disease trials. Results from PD-1101 were reported beginning in late 2016 and most recently in November 2018. In May 2019, we provided 12-month results from PD-1102.

In December 2018, we announced randomization of the first patient in the RESTORE-1 Phase 2, randomized, double-blind, placebo-surgery controlled trial evaluating the safety and efficacy of VY-AADC (NBIB-1817) for the treatment of Parkinson's disease in patients with motor fluctuations that are refractory to medical management. We received written feedback from the FDA, including FDA guidance received during the Type B meeting that in a disease such as Parkinson's, two adequate and well-controlled clinical trials are suggested. Based upon feedback received from the FDA, we and Neurocrine have amended the RESTORE-1 clinical trial protocol to support a future registration filing, if successful, for VY-AADC (NBIB-1817) for the treatment of Parkinson's disease in the United States. The protocol amendments include increasing the planned enrollment to approximately 85 patients, from the previously planned 42 patients, and adjusting future enrollment in the trial to randomize patients 2:1 to VY-AADC (NBIB-1817) or placebo surgery, respectively, compared to the previous 1:1 randomization. The eligibility criteria remain the same, including patients who have been diagnosed with Parkinson's disease for at least four years, are not responding adequately to oral medications, and have at least three or more hours of OFF time during the day as measured by a validated self-reported patient diary.

For the RESTORE-1 Phase 2 clinical trial, we have selected a dose of up to 2.5×10^{12} vgs which is defined as a maximum total bilateral dose. This dose is between the up to maximum total vector genome doses administered in Cohorts 2 and 3 from the PD-1101 Phase 1b trial when considering the higher volume administered with the posterior trajectory and vector produced using the baculovirus system.

- ***Build and advance a pipeline of gene therapy programs focused on severe neurological diseases.*** Beyond our clinical-stage program for Parkinson's disease, we have a deep pipeline of AAV gene therapy programs in various stages of preclinical development. We believe that our leadership position in AAV gene therapy for severe neurological diseases and our gene therapy platform provide us with the necessary capabilities to evaluate and capitalize on external opportunities. As such, we plan to opportunistically expand our pipeline through acquisition, in-licensing or other strategic transactions.
- ***Continuously invest in our AAV gene therapy platform.*** We plan to continuously invest in our gene therapy platform to maintain our leadership in AAV gene therapy for neurological diseases. Specifically, we intend to further develop and enhance our gene therapy platform by focusing on (i) vector engineering and optimization; (ii) manufacturing; and (iii) dosing and delivery techniques. We plan to continue generating novel AAV vectors by engineering and optimizing vectors best suited to a targeted disease. We have built an onsite, state-of-the-art process research and development facility to enable the manufacturing of high quality AAV gene therapy vectors at laboratory scale. We expect to utilize established and novel techniques for dosing and delivery of our AAV gene therapies to the CNS.
- ***Establish a leadership position in commercial-scale, high quality AAV manufacturing.*** We believe that manufacturing capacity and expertise are critical to successfully treating patients using gene therapy. We have established relationships with multiple current good manufacturing practices, or cGMP, contract manufacturers. Previously, through our collaborations with MassBiologics, an FDA-licensed manufacturer affiliated with the University of Massachusetts Medical School, we initiated cGMP production activities. More recently, we announced additional agreements with Brammer Bio and with Fujifilm Diosynth Biotechnologies, established contract manufacturers that specialize in gene therapy and AAV vectors. We are using the baculovirus/Sf9 AAV production system, a technology for producing AAV vectors at scale in insect-derived cells, originally invented and developed by several current and former members of our production team while at the National Institutes of Health, or NIH, which we continue to improve upon. We believe that having oversight through these key relationships over our own commercial manufacturing process is critical to ensuring quality product with commercial yields.

- ***Retain commercialization rights to our programs.*** We hold worldwide rights for our Huntington’s Program and ALS Program. We have retained co-development and co-commercialization rights for the VY-AAADC Program and FA Program under our Neurocrine Collaboration. As these and other programs advance through late-stage clinical development, we intend to build our own sales and marketing infrastructure and leverage our partnerships to support our programs where we have retained commercialization rights. Collaborations represent an important advance in our strategy to leverage our AAV gene therapy platform and programs through collaborative partnerships with biopharmaceutical companies that bring complementary expertise, capabilities, and experience, in addition to capital.
- ***Expand our intellectual property portfolio.*** We seek to have an industry leading intellectual property portfolio. To that end, we seek patent rights for various aspects of our programs, including vector engineering and construct design, our production process, and all features of our clinical products including compositions and methods of delivery. We expect to continue to expand our intellectual property portfolio by aggressively seeking patent rights for promising aspects of our gene therapy platform and product candidates.

AAV Gene Therapy for Neurological Diseases

Gene therapy is an approach whereby gene expression is directly altered in patients to address the underlying cause or predominant manifestations of disease. We believe that the targeted nature of gene therapy may enable powerful treatment options and provide these patients with meaningful and durable benefits.

While AAV gene therapy can potentially be harnessed for multiple treatment methods, we are currently focused on gene replacement, gene knockdown and vectorized antibody approaches. Gene replacement is intended to restore the expression of a protein that is not expressed, expressed at abnormally low levels or functionally mutated with loss of function. Gene knockdown, or gene silencing, is intended to reduce the expression of a pathologically mutated protein that has detrimental effects. Vectorizing an antibody for delivery using AAV has the ability to increase exposure of large antibodies in brain parenchyma that otherwise cannot cross the BBB in any meaningful way when administered passively.

Our gene therapy approach uses AAV vectors which we believe are ideal vectors for gene therapy for several reasons:

Broad Applicability. AAV is able to transduce, or transfer a therapeutic gene, into numerous cell types including target cells in the CNS.

Safety. AAV is believed to be safe and is not known to cause any disease in humans. No vector-related serious adverse effects, or SAEs, have been reported in patients treated with AAV gene therapy to date.

Does Not Readily Integrate. AAV does not readily integrate into the genome of the target cell, reducing the potential for oncogenesis, or the induction of cancer.

Scalability. AAV is able to be manufactured at commercial quality and scale.

We believe that neurological diseases are well-suited for treatment with AAV gene therapy for the following reasons:

Validated Targets. Many neurological diseases are caused by well-defined mutations in genes and these genes represent genetically validated drug targets for AAV gene therapy.

Targeted Delivery. Advances in delivery techniques allow for direct delivery of AAV vectors to discrete regions in the brain, broader delivery throughout the spinal cord via the cerebrospinal fluid, or CSF, or systemically in conjunction with our novel capsids.

Durable Expression. Long-term gene expression may be achievable in the CNS following one-time dosing and transfer of the therapeutic gene with an AAV vector. Neurons in the CNS are terminally differentiated, or no longer divide, eliminating the potential for cell division to dilute expression of the therapeutic gene. Repeated or continual dosing with direct injection of drugs into the CNS is complex, therefore a one-time AAV gene therapy has significant advantages.

Immune Privileged Site. There is a reduced risk of harmful immune response or reduced efficacy due to localized delivery in a self-contained system.

We are currently focused on gene replacement and gene knockdown approaches, and we are also actively exploring additional potential treatment methods that can utilize an AAV vector, including the direct delivery of monoclonal antibodies to the CNS (such as in our collaborations with AbbVie), as well as gene editing to correct or delete a gene in the cell genome.

The Voyager Gene Therapy Platform

We have built a gene therapy platform that we believe positions us to be the leading company at the intersection of AAV gene therapy and severe neurological diseases. Our team of experts in the fields of AAV gene therapy and neuroscience first identifies and selects severe neurological diseases that are well-suited for treatment using AAV gene therapy. We then engineer and optimize AAV vectors for delivery of the virus payload to the targeted tissue or cells. Finally, we leverage established routes of administration and advances in dosing techniques to optimize delivery of our AAV gene therapies to target cells that are critical to the disease of interest either directly with targeted infusions to discrete regions of the brain, the spinal cord, or systemically. We believe that optimizing each of these parameters is a key factor for overall program success. We expect that our current and future pipeline programs will make use of technological advances generated with our gene therapy platform.

Disease Selection

We assess potential product programs based upon the following criteria:

Unmet Need. There is a significant unmet medical need for the indication and substantial commercial potential.

Target Validation. There is strong evidence that expression of a specific gene, or lack thereof, is causing, or critical to, the disease state.

Delivery Using AAV. There is strong evidence supporting the ability to target the relevant tissue and cells using an AAV vector to achieve sufficient target gene expression.

Clinical Readouts. The clinical impact of an AAV gene therapy can be clearly measured, including through well-accepted clinical endpoints and the use of both existing and novel biomarkers.

Scalability of Manufacturing. Sufficient AAV vector to supply late-stage clinical development and commercialization can be manufactured.

In addition to the criteria above, we also look for groups of diseases where our knowledge can be transferred. For instance, we believe that some of the delivery parameters and imaging techniques that are employed in the VY-AADC Program can be applied to AAV gene therapy delivery for Huntington's disease or other diseases where direct, targeted delivery to the brain is warranted.

Vector Engineering and Optimization

We have advanced or intend to advance our multiple preclinical programs towards selection of lead clinical candidates using AAV vectors that we believe are best suited for each of our programs either through use of our existing capsids, through exercising a non-exclusive worldwide commercial license to capsid sequences covered by third parties, or by engineering or optimizing novel capsids. The key components of an AAV vector include: (i) the capsid; (ii) the therapeutic gene, or transgene; and (iii) the promoter, or the DNA sequence that drives the expression of the transgene.

Members of our team have co-discovered many of the known naturally occurring AAV capsids and have also created promising genetically engineered AAV capsids. Genetically engineered capsids have yielded vectors with desirable properties, such as higher biological potency and enhanced tissue specificity. We believe that there is an opportunity to further optimize AAV capsids to confer desired characteristics relating to properties such as tissue specificity and immunogenicity. We have a significant effort dedicated to designing and screening for novel AAV capsids using a number of different scientific approaches. We believe that the information generated by this work will enhance our ability to rationally design AAV capsids with specific properties for particular therapeutic applications.

With respect to the target DNA delivered through AAV gene therapy, we are selecting promoters that we believe have the appropriate activity and tissue, selectively for our specific gene therapy programs. We are also designing transgenes to provide optimal expression once delivered to the targeted cells.

Manufacturing at Commercial Quality and Scale

The ability to produce high quality AAV vectors at commercial-scale is a critical success factor in AAV gene therapy. While at the NIH, former members of our production team invented and developed a baculovirus/Sf9 AAV production system, which we use and have continued to improve. This system has a number of attributes that we believe will enable high quality commercial-scale manufacturing, including:

High Yield. A single manufacturing run at 500-liter scale can yield many thousands of doses of an AAV gene therapy.

High Purity. A relatively high percentage of AAV vectors contain the therapeutic DNA, reducing the number of empty capsids compared to alternative manufacturing approaches. In addition, the baculovirus/Sf9 system eliminates the risk of introducing mammalian cell derived impurities.

Scalability. This process has been reproduced at volumes ranging from 0.02 liters to 250 liters. We believe the existing process is scalable to substantially higher volumes.

We have built a state-of-the-art process research and development production facility for manufacturing research-grade AAV vectors onsite at our Cambridge, Massachusetts headquarters. We have also established multiple contract manufacturing relationships with companies specializing in the manufacture of gene therapy and AAV vectors.

Optimized Delivery and Route of Administration

Identifying the optimal route of administration and delivery parameters for AAV gene therapy, such as infusion volume, flow rate, vector concentration and dose and formulation for a specific disease, are critical to achieving safe and effective levels of transgene expression in the targeted location in the CNS. We aim to develop clinically feasible protocols that yield reproducible results across patients. For the VY-AADC Program and Huntington's Program, we are pursuing direct injection into the brain, called intraparenchymal injection. For our ALS SOD1 program and the FA Program, we are evaluating multiple routes of administration including injection into the CSF within the cerebrospinal space, called intrathecal injection, as well as intravenous injection, intraparenchymal injection, and other delivery alternatives.


V-TAG™-guided Intraparenchymal Injection to the Brain

The surgical approach that we are using for VY-AADC (NB1b-1817) is similar, in some respects, to the stereotactic approach used for deep brain stimulation, or DBS, a marketed device-based treatment for Parkinson's disease. One primary difference with our approach is the ability to assist the physician in visualizing the delivery of VY-AADC (NB1b-1817) to the putamen using real-time, intra-operative, magnetic resonance imaging, or MRI, to avoid specific blood vessels to reduce the risk of potential hemorrhages during the surgical procedure and to maximize the coverage of the putamen.

Investigators in the Phase 1b clinical trial of VY-AADC (NB1b-1817) and the separate Phase 1 posterior trajectory trial used the real-time, intra-operative, MRI system called the ClearPoint System® from CLPT. However, not all neuro-surgical units within the United States utilize this system and may employ other neuro-navigational systems that are not compatible with real-time MRI imaging.

Consequently, we developed V-TAG™ as our own device for use as a real-time, intra-operative, MRI-compatible device that can be used with other neuro-navigational systems for this and other surgical procedures. In July 2018, we received 510(k) clearance from the FDA. In March 2019, we transferred our premarket notification (510(k)) clearance to CLPT and continue to work with CLPT on the manufacturing and clinical supply of the device. We believe that our experience gained from the VY-AADC Program, including the potential use of V-TAG, can be applied to AAV gene therapy delivery for our Huntington's Program and possibly other projects as well.

Overview of Intraparenchymal Delivery



Courtesy of: Okinawa Institute of Science and Technology.

Overview of Our Pipeline

We have leveraged our gene therapy platform to assemble a pipeline of novel AAV gene therapies for the treatment of severe neurological diseases with high unmet medical need. Depending on the disease, our current AAV gene therapies will use a gene replacement, gene knockdown, or vectorized antibody approach. Our goal is to address the underlying cause or the predominant manifestations of a specific disease by significantly increasing or decreasing expression of the relevant proteins at targeted sites within the CNS.

Parkinson's Disease: VY-AADC Program

Disease and VY-AADC (NB1b-1817) Overview

Parkinson's disease is a chronic, progressive and debilitating neurodegenerative disease that affects approximately 1 million people in the United States and 10 million people worldwide. Parkinson's disease is characterized by a loss of dopamine and its function. Dopamine is a chemical "messenger" that is produced in the brain and is involved in the control of movement. Some chemicals, like dopamine, are made from other chemicals by proteins called enzymes. Dopamine is made in the brain when the enzyme AADC (aromatic l-amino acid decarboxylase) converts the chemical levodopa to dopamine. Levodopa, AADC, and dopamine are each present at normal levels in healthy people.


When dopamine levels decrease in the brain and there is no longer enough to control movement, the motor symptoms of Parkinson's disease including tremors, slow movement or loss of movement, rigidity, and postural instability, may occur. When this happens, a doctor may prescribe a levodopa medication, which is converted into dopamine by AADC in substantially the same way that naturally occurring levodopa is converted to dopamine.

As Parkinson's disease worsens, there is less AADC enzyme in parts of the brain where it is needed to convert levodopa to dopamine. Therefore, the amount of dopamine that is produced from each dose of levodopa medicine may be reduced. When this happens, patients' motor function may worsen and a less predictable response to medications may occur.

Our investigational gene therapy VY-AADC (NB1b-1817) is designed to put the AADC enzyme into brain cells where it can convert levodopa to dopamine. To do this, the AADC gene is delivered inside a transporter called "adeno-associated viral vector," which we refer to as AAV, much like a letter that carries the instructions the brain needs to make the AADC enzyme with the AAV as the envelope that carries the letter.

The Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale, or UPDRS, is a standard and widely used four-part clinical rating scale for Parkinson's disease that evaluates cognitive, functional, and motor deficits, as well as medication-related complications. UPDRS Part III measures motor function by physician examination. The UPDRS is conducted when patients are taking their Parkinson's disease medications (referred to as "on" medication) and when patients are not taking their Parkinson's disease medications (referred to as "off" medication). In addition, a patient-completed Hauser diary records the patient's motor response over the course of several days as ON time when they have good mobility with or without non-troublesome dyskinesia, or uncontrolled, involuntary movement; OFF time when they have poor mobility; and ON time with troublesome dyskinesia when they have uncontrolled movements. As shown in the figure below, diary ON time decreases, while OFF time and dyskinesias increase as patients progress from the early honeymoon period into later stages of Parkinson's disease.

Overview of Progression of Parkinson's Disease (PD)



Previous Phase 1 Clinical Trials

In a completed open-label Phase 1 clinical trial conducted at the University of California, San Francisco, or UCSF, VY-AADC (NB1b-1817) was delivered directly to the putamen of Parkinson's disease patients. The primary endpoints of this trial were safety and tolerability of VY-AADC (NB1b-1817). These endpoints were met as VY-AADC (NB1b-1817) was well-tolerated and no treatment related SAEs were reported. Furthermore, pharmacologic activity of VY-AADC (NB1b-1817) was observed. This trial was completed prior to our involvement in the program.

The Phase 1 clinical trial at UCSF was conducted in a total of 10 patients with Parkinson's disease. Two doses of VY-AADC (NB1b-1817) were tested, 9×10^{10} vector genomes, or vg, and 3×10^{11} vg, with five patients per dose cohort. The infusion volume was $100 \mu\text{l}$ per putamen, or $200 \mu\text{l}$ per patient. Patients in both cohorts treated with VY-AADC (NB1b-1817) showed modest improvements in motor fluctuations. At six months following treatment, diary OFF time was observed to be reduced by an average of approximately three hours and a corresponding increase in diary ON time without dyskinesias was also observed. In addition, at six months following treatment, an approximately 30% improvement in UPDRS total score both on-medication and off-medication UPDRS Total score, was observed, as shown in the table below.

Summary of UPDRS Results from Phase 1 Trial⁽¹⁾


	Off medications				On medications			
	Baseline	6 months	% Change	p Value	Baseline	6 months	% Change	p Value
Total UPDRS								
Low-dose cohort	69.6	49.6	-28	0.04	32.6	21.8	-33	0.024
High-dose cohort	62.4	41.3	-33	0.001	29.7	20.5	-31	0.08
Combined cohorts	66	45.5	-31	0.0008	31.2	21.2	-32	0.004

- (1) Christine et al, *Neurology* (2009), 73: 1662-1669. The row titled “Low-dose Cohort” represents data from the five patients treated with 9×10^{10} vg of VY-AADC01. The row titled “High-dose Cohort” represents data from the five patients treated with 3×10^{11} vg of VY-AADC01. The row titled “Combined Cohorts” represents data from all ten patients treated with VY-AADC01. The data in the columns under the header “Off medications” represents periods during which patients’ medications were not working as measured by a patient’s total UPDRS score at baseline, before treatment with VY-AADC01, and at six months following treatment with VY-AADC01, along with percent change from baseline to six months and the corresponding p-value. The data in the columns under the header “On medications” represents periods during which patients’ medications were working as measured by a patient’s total UPDRS score at baseline, before treatment with VY-AADC01 and at six months following treatment with VY-AADC01, along with percent change from baseline to six months and the corresponding p-value. A result is considered to be statistically significant when the probability of the result occurring by random chance, rather than from the efficacy of the treatment, is sufficiently low. The conventional method for measuring the statistical significance of a result is known as the “p-value,” which represents the probability that chance caused the result (e.g., a p-value = 0.001 means that there is a 0.1% or less probability that the difference between the control group and the treatment group is purely due to random chance). Because of the small size of this trial, the p-values may not be reliable or repeatable, and may not be duplicated in future trials.

While no gene therapy related SAEs were reported, three patients experienced minor hemorrhages related to the surgical procedure. Two of the hemorrhages were asymptomatic, noticed only on imaging, and one was symptomatic with the patient making an almost complete recovery. Nevertheless, the stereotactic injection protocol used in the surgical procedure was modified to avoid specific blood vessels and no further hemorrhages were reported. In our ongoing Phase 1b clinical trial, we implemented the use of real-time, intra-operative MRI guidance. We believe our use of this intra-operative MRI guidance is a significant advancement in vector delivery.

The 10 patients in this Phase 1 clinical trial were followed for up to four years after treatment, and a durable, dose-dependent expression of AADC enzyme activity was observed. Patients treated with both doses of the gene therapy had an increased positron emission tomography, or PET, signal, or uptake of the [18F]fluoro-L-m-tyrosine tracer indicative of AADC enzyme activity that persisted for up to four years. Patients treated with the high dose gene therapy had a greater PET signal on average when compared to the low dose cohort.

Long-Term AADC Expression as Measured by PET Imaging in Patients Treated with High and Low Doses of AAV Gene Therapy in a Previous Phase 1 Clinical Trial⁽¹⁾



(1) Mittermeyer et al, *Human Gene Therapy* (2012), 23: 377-381. The publisher for this copyrighted material is Mary Ann Liebert, Inc. publishers. Blue lines represent patients treated with the low dose and yellow lines represent patients treated with the high dose.

A similar Phase 1 clinical trial was conducted at Jichi Medical University, or JMU, in Japan using the same vector that was used in the UCSF trial. The primary endpoint of this trial was safety of the treatment. This endpoint was met as the treatment was well-tolerated and no treatment related SAEs were reported. Six patients were treated in this trial and an enhanced PET signal was observed in a subset of patients monitored 96 weeks following treatment. A second, open-label Phase 1/2 trial was conducted at JMU and terminated in August 2019. The primary endpoint of this trial was also safety. This trial was using lower infusion volumes and total doses compared to our ongoing Phase 1b and Phase 2 clinical trials. Importantly, the JMU trial was not using real-time, intra-operative MRI guidance.

While the prior UCSF and JMU clinical results were encouraging and provided evidence of long-term AADC enzyme expression, the magnitude of the clinical benefits observed did not exceed placebo effects observed in previous surgical therapy trials in Parkinson's disease patients, and the UCSF and JMU trials were not blinded. Further, based on post-operative imaging and our current work using real-time, intra-operative MRI monitoring, we estimate that less than 10% of the putamen volume was covered by the infusion in these trials, which we believe to be suboptimal distribution of the gene therapy vector in the putamen. We believe that by further optimizing the delivery, dose and infusion volume to substantially increase the coverage of the putamen, a more substantial clinical benefit can be achieved.

VY-AADC (NB1b-1817) Phase 1b Trial (PD-1101)

In 2014, UCSF initiated an open-label Phase 1b clinical trial to optimize the development of VY-AADC (NB1b-1817). The IND for the Phase 1b trial was filed by UCSF in July 2013 and was transferred to us in October 2015. In November 2017, we completed enrolling this open-label, dose-escalating PD-1101 Phase 1b trial of VY-AADC (NB1b-1817). The trial included 15 patients with Parkinson's disease and was designed to evaluate the safety and efficacy of escalating doses of VY-AADC (NB1b-1817). In this trial, one-time administration of VY-AADC (NB1b-1817) led to improvements in patients' motor function, and patients were able to reduce their daily levodopa and other Parkinson's disease medications. To date, administration of VY-AADC (NB1b-1817) has been well-tolerated. In patients treated in this trial, there have been no vector-related serious adverse events reported.

Patients in three cohorts of five patients each were treated with a single administration of ascending doses of VY-AADC (NBIB-1817) administered under MRI guidance to the putamen, a region of the brain associated with impaired motor function in Parkinson's disease. The primary endpoints of this trial are safety and tolerability of the treatment. This trial incorporates three key design features:

- Use of real-time, intra-operative MRI system during surgery to assist the physician in visualizing the delivery of VY-AADC (NBIB-1817) to the putamen and to avoid specific blood vessels during the surgical procedure, with the goal of reducing the risk of hemorrhages.
- Larger infusion volumes designed to increase coverage of the putamen with VY-AADC (NBIB-1817).
- Higher concentrations of VY-AADC (NBIB-1817) vector compared to the previously completed UCSF Phase 1 trial.

Secondary endpoints of this trial, which are being used to assess the potential pharmacologic activity of VY-AADC (NBIB-1817), include UPDRS, AADC PET imaging, quality of life, a patient-completed Hauser diary monitoring good ON time without troublesome dyskinesia, and a behavioral test using intravenous levodopa treatment to measure changes in a patients' sensitivity to levodopa as well as endpoints to measure motor functions.

In November 2018, we updated interim results from the ongoing, open-label Phase 1b clinical trial of VY-AADC (NBIB-1817) for the treatment of Parkinson's disease. Interim results include data from all 15 patients treated in Cohorts 1, 2 and 3 (five patients in each cohort) including data from patients in Cohort 1 at three years, Cohort 2 at two years and Cohort 3 at 18 months. Cohort 1 patients received a single administration of VY-AADC (NBIB-1817) at a concentration of 8.3×10^{11} vg per milliliter, or vg/ml, using an infusion volume of up to 450 μ L per putamen, or up to 900 μ L per patient, for a total dose of 7.5×10^{11} vg. Cohort 2 patients received a single administration of VY-AADC (NBIB-1817) at a concentration of 8.3×10^{11} vg/ml, using an infusion volume of up to 900 μ L per putamen, or up to 1,800 μ L per patient, for a total dose of 1.5×10^{12} vg. Cohort 3 patients received a three-fold higher vg concentration of 2.6×10^{12} with the same infusion volumes of VY-AADC (NBIB-1817) similar to those received by Cohort 2 patients (up to 900 μ L per putamen), for a total dose of up to 4.5×10^{12} vg.

Administration of VY-AADC (NBIB-1817) has been well-tolerated in all fifteen patients treated in the three cohorts with no reported vector-related SAEs. Fourteen of the 15 patients were discharged from the hospital within two days following surgery. As previously reported, one patient experienced two SAEs: a pulmonary embolism or blood clot in the lungs, and related heart arrhythmia or irregular heartbeat. Investigators determined that these SAEs were most likely related to immobility during the administration of the product; consequently, deep vein thrombosis prophylaxis has been added to the clinical trial protocol.

Key findings from this trial to date include:

- The use of real-time, MRI-guided delivery and increasing infusion volumes resulted in progressively greater coverage of the putamen, 21% mean coverage of the volume of the putamen with VY-AADC (NBIB-1817) in Cohort 1, 34% mean coverage in Cohort 2, and 42% mean coverage in Cohort 3.
- VY-AADC (NBIB-1817) treatment resulted in a 13% increase, a 56% increase, and a 79% increase in mean putaminal AADC enzyme activity in Cohort 1, 2, and 3, respectively, at six months relative to baseline as measured by ^{18}F -Dopa PET scan. Coverage of the putamen and AADC enzyme activity were highly correlated ($r=0.84$, $p=0.0002$).
- One-time administration of VY-AADC (NBIB-1817) resulted in reduced daily doses of oral levodopa and related medications. Six months after VY-AADC (NBIB-1817) administration, patients in Cohort 1 had a reduction in levodopa equivalent daily dose, or LED, of 15%, Cohort 2 had a LED reduction of 33%, and Cohort 3 had a LED reduction of 42%. LED reductions were maintained through last follow up in Cohort 2 (24 months) and Cohort 3 (18 months).

Patients enrolled in Cohorts 1, 2 and 3 were:

- On average, 58 years of age with a Parkinson’s disease diagnosis for an average of 10 years.
- Candidates for surgical intervention including deep-brain stimulation due to disabling motor complications despite treatment with optimal anti-Parkinsonian medication.
- At baseline, the average patient diary ON time without troublesome dyskinesia was 10.5 hours and, average diary OFF time was 4.6 hours; both diary measures were normalized to a 16-hour waking day.
- Average UPDRS-III (motor function) on medication score was 13.5 and UPDRS-III off-medication score was 37.1; average UPDRS-II (activities of daily living) on medication score was 3.9 and UPDRS-II off medication score was 16.5. Patients in Cohort 3 entered the trial with more severe dyskinesia at baseline than patients in Cohorts 1 and 2 based on the Unified Dyskinesia Rating Scale, with a mean score of 30.2 for Cohort 3 compared with a mean score of 19.2 and 17.4 for Cohorts 1 and 2, respectively.
- At baseline, patients were treated with optimal levels of multiple dopaminergic medications including, in many cases, amantadine for the treatment of dyskinesia, or uncontrolled or involuntary movements. Patients’ average amount of Parkinson’s disease medications at baseline was 1,526 mg of oral LEDs per day.

The results continue to demonstrate durable improvements across multiple measures of patients’ motor function after a one-time administration of the gene therapy, as evidenced by the patients’ diaries and UPDRS-III, and quality of life assessments. The update of results from the ongoing PD-1101 Phase 1b trial of VY-AADC (NBIB-1817) include a 2.1-hour mean improvement in good ON time from baseline to three years for patients in Cohort 1, a 2.7-hour mean improvement from baseline to two years in Cohort 2, and a mean improvement of 1.7 hours from baseline to 18 months in Cohort 3 as shown in the table below.

Good ON time: hour Improvement from baseline (SE)	Baseline	12-months	18-months	2-years	3-years
Cohort 1, n=5	10.5 (1.0)	1.6 (0.4)	n/a ¹	2.3 (0.4)	2.1 (0.6)
Cohort 2, n=5	10.6 (0.8)	3.3 (0.6)	3.5 (1.1)	2.7 (1.4)	-
Cohort 3, n=5	10.3 (0.7)	1.5 (0.5)	1.7 (1.1)	-	-
Cohorts 2-3, n=10	10.5 (0.5)	2.4 (0.5)	2.6 (0.8)	-	-
Cohorts 2-3 and Phase 2 trial eligible, n=7	10.1 (0.5)	2.8 (0.6)	2.5 (1.0)	-	-

⁽¹⁾ Protocol amended to include 18-month data collection after Cohort 1 reached this timepoint

For the RESTORE-1 Phase 2 clinical trial, we have selected a dose of up to 2.5×10^{12} vg, which is defined as a maximum total bilateral dose. This dose is between the up-to-maximum total vector genome doses administered in Cohorts 2 and 3 from the Phase 1b trial when considering the higher volume administered with the posterior trajectory and vector produced using the baculovirus system. Having selected a dose for the RESTORE-1 Phase 2 trial between the two highest dose cohorts from the Phase 1b trial, we have performed a combined analysis of the outcomes from ten patients in Cohorts 2 and 3. Results from the combined ten patients in Cohorts 2 and 3 demonstrated mean increases from baseline in good ON time of 2.4 hours per day at 12 months, the timepoint for the primary endpoint in the RESTORE-1 Phase 2 trial, and 2.6 hours per day at 18 months, the latest timepoint measured for both cohorts as shown in the table below. Of the combined ten patients in Cohorts 2 and 3, seven patients would have met the eligibility criteria for the RESTORE-1 Phase 2 trial based on limits in severity of dyskinesia and minimum OFF time at baseline. For these seven patients, the RESTORE-1 Phase 2 trial relevant group, the mean improvements in good ON time were 2.8 hours at

12 months and 2.5 hours at 18 months. These results were achieved with clinically meaningful and sustained reductions in daily oral levodopa and related medications.

OFF time and ON time w/ troublesome dyskinesia hour per day (SE)	Baseline	12-months	Mean % change from baseline (†)		Mean % change from baseline (†)
			18-months		
Cohorts 2-3, n=10	5.5 (0.5)	-2.4 (0.5)	-46%	-2.6 (0.8)	-47%
Cohorts 2-3 and Phase 2 trial eligible, n=7	5.9 (0.5)	-2.8 (0.6)	-46%	-2.5 (1.0)	-39%

(†) Mean % change from baseline is calculated as the mean of all individual patient's percent change from baseline

VY-AADC (NBIB-1817) Phase 1 Posterior Trajectory Clinical Trial (PD-1102)

In the PD-1102 Phase 1 clinical trial, we explored a posterior, or back of the head, trajectory administration of VY-AADC (NBIB-1817) to the putamen, compared to a transfrontal, or top of the head, delivery approach used in Cohorts 1 through 3 of the PD-1101 Phase 1b clinical trial described above. A posterior approach better aligns the infusion of VY-AADC (NBIB-1817) with the anatomical structure of the putamen, which reduces the number of trajectories needed and potentially reduces the total procedure time and increases the total coverage of the putamen. Administration of VY-AADC (NBIB-1817) with this posterior approach has been well-tolerated in the eight patients treated with no reported SAEs. Most patients were discharged from the hospital the day after surgery. This trial utilized the same dose concentration as Cohort 3 of our Phase 1b clinical trial at a higher volume, yielding a total dose of up to 9.0×10^{12} vg compared with a total dose of up to 4.5×10^{12} vg in Cohort 3. In May 2019, we provided 12-months results from PD-1102.

Recent results from PD-1102

The PD-1102 trial included eight patients with advanced Parkinson's disease. On average the baseline characteristics of patients enrolled in PD-1102 were generally consistent with the baseline characteristics of patients enrolled in PD-1101. In PD-1102, patients were on average 57 years of age with a Parkinson's disease diagnosis for an average of nine years, and all patients were not responding adequately to oral medications and were candidates for surgical intervention due to disabling motor complications. At baseline, PD-1102 patients' mean good ON time was 9.1 hours and mean OFF time when they have poor mobility was 6.8 hours.

Administration of VY-AADC (NBIB-1817) with the posterior trajectory resulted in a mean coverage of the putamen of 54% and reduced the infusion time by approximately two hours (from a mean of 5.2 hours to a mean of 3.1 hours) compared to PD-1101. In PD-1102, treatment with VY-AADC (NBIB-1817) increased mean AADC enzyme activity in the putamen as measured by PET using [18F] fluorodopa, which we refer to as 18F-DOPA PET scan, by 85%. AADC enzyme activity in the putamen as measured by PET using 18F-DOPA reflects the capacity of neurons in the brain to convert levodopa to dopamine.

Treatment with VY-AADC (NBIB-1817) in PD-1102 improved patients' motor function from baseline to twelve months across multiple assessments. These assessments include patient self-reported diary ON and OFF times, including good ON time, UPDRS, and activities of daily living measures. In addition, improvements in patients' motor function were achieved with a mean 28% reduction in Parkinson's disease medication dosage (measured as levodopa equivalents) from a baseline level of 1,500 mg/day when measured at 6 and 12 months.

Treatment with VY-AADC (NBIB-1817) improved patients' mean good ON time by 1.7 hours from baseline and reduced mean OFF time by 2.2 hours from baseline to 12 months. Exploratory analyses in PD-1101 suggested that patients with high dyskinesia or an impulse control disorder, or ICD, at baseline may show different outcomes, especially

in patient-reported diary measures. Clinical assessment of the subgroup of patients (n=4) with no or low baseline dyskinesia as measured by the Unified Dyskinesia Rating Scale score (≤ 30) and absence of ICD at baseline as determined by the investigator indicated that VY-AADC (NB1b-1817) improved good ON time from baseline by 3.2 hours and reduced OFF time by 3.2 hours in patients at 12 months.

In addition to motor function, VY-AADC (NB1b-1817) improved patients' quality of life as measured by the patient-reported 39-item Parkinson's Disease Questionnaire, known as PDQ-39. For PDQ-39, VY-AADC (NB1b-1817) improved (reduced) patients' score by a mean change from baseline to 12 months of -7.6. Infusions of VY-AADC (NB1b-1817) have been well-tolerated in the eight patients treated in PD-1102 with no SAEs reported.

We continue to follow patients from PD-1101 and PD-1102, and plan to report updated results from these trials in 2020. We and Neurocrine expect to present three-year data on all three cohorts (15 total patients) of the PD-1101 trial, as well as two-year data from the PD-1102 trial (8 total patients), at one or more medical congresses in 2020.

In January 2019, we entered into the Neurocrine Collaboration which includes the VY-AADC Program. In accordance with the Neurocrine Collaboration Agreement, we transferred the INDs for the VY-AADC Program to Neurocrine in 2019. Neurocrine is now responsible for all regulatory interactions. We are responsible for performing the day-to-day activities of the program development including manufacturing and clinical activities for the RESTORE-1 Phase 2 clinical trial.

VY-AADC (NB1b-1817) RESTORE-1 and RESTORE-2 Program

In December 2017, we submitted an IND for VY-AADC (NB1b-1817) to the FDA. As part of this IND, the chemistry, manufacturing, and controls section included data demonstrating comparability between VY-AADC (NB1b-1817) using our baculovirus/Sf9 manufacturing process and VY-AADC (NB1b-1817) produced using a mammalian cell system consisting of triple-transfection of HEK293 cells, which was used in our two Phase 1 clinical trials. Both were produced under cGMP. Our baculovirus/Sf9 manufacturing process is designed for production of AAV vectors at clinical and commercial scale, with the potential for increased yields and efficient scalability compared with mammalian-based systems. We have demonstrated that this production platform change resulted in comparable vector quality and activity. We are using VY-AADC (NB1b-1817) manufactured in our baculovirus/Sf9 system in the RESTORE-1 Phase 2 clinical trial and the planned RESTORE-2 Phase 3 clinical trial. In June 2018, the FDA granted RMAT designation for the VY-AADC Program gene therapy treatment, which provides for an enhanced level of interactions between the company sponsor and the FDA throughout the development program. The designation was based on our Phase 1b clinical data with VY-AADC (NB1b-1817). The FDA has also granted fast-track designation for VY-AADC (NB1b-1817).

In December 2018, we announced randomization of the first patient in the RESTORE-1 registrational Phase 2, randomized, double-blind, placebo-surgery controlled trial evaluating the safety and efficacy of VY-AADC (NB1b-1817) for the treatment of Parkinson's disease in patients with motor fluctuations that are refractory to medical management. We received written feedback from the FDA, including FDA guidance received during the Type B meeting, that in a disease such as Parkinson's, two adequate and well-controlled clinical trials are suggested. Based upon feedback received from the FDA, we and Neurocrine have amended the RESTORE-1 clinical trial protocol to support a future registration filing, if successful, for VY-AADC (NB1b-1817) for the treatment of Parkinson's disease in the United States.¹ The protocol amendments include increasing the planned enrollment to approximately 85 patients from the previously planned 42 patients, and adjusting future enrollment in the trial to randomize patients 2:1 to VY-AADC (NB1b-1817) or placebo surgery, respectively, as compared to the previous 1:1 randomization. The eligibility criteria remain substantially the same: the trial is potentially available to patients who have been diagnosed with Parkinson's disease for at least four years, are not responding adequately to oral medications, and have at least three or more hours of OFF time during the day as measured by a validated self-reported patient diary. The protocol amendments are anticipated to facilitate enrollment and patient convenience, but implementation of the protocol amendments will lengthen the trial enrollment period. We and Neurocrine expect to provide an update on the RESTORE-1 enrollment timeline following implementation of the protocol amendment.

The primary efficacy endpoint of RESTORE-1 is the mean improvement from baseline to 12 months in good ON time as measured by a validated self-reported patient diary at 12 months compared to placebo. Secondary endpoints include mean improvement in diary OFF time, other motor function and quality of life measures from the UPDRS-II and UPDRS-III scores, assessments from the Parkinson's Disease Questionnaire (PDQ-39), and patient's global function as measured by the proportion of participants with improvement on the Clinical Global Impression, or CGI, score. The trial will also measure non-motor symptoms from the Non-Motor Symptom Scale, or NMSS, as well as safety. Changes in patients' daily doses of oral levodopa and related medications will also be recorded.

Biomarker data include measurements of the coverage of the putamen, the specific region of the brain targeted with VY-AADC (NB1b-1817), and measurements of AADC enzyme expression and activity in the putamen measured by PET using 18F-DOPA.

We anticipate initiation of the RESTORE-2 Phase 3 clinical trial in the second half of 2020. We anticipate that, if positive, results from the RESTORE-1 Phase 2 clinical trial and the planned RESTORE-2 Phase 3 clinical trial could potentially form the basis for submission of a biologics license application, or BLA, to the FDA for VY-AADC (NB1b-1817) for the treatment of Parkinson's disease .

Huntington's Program: VY-HTT01

Disease Overview

Huntington's disease is a fatal, inherited neurodegenerative disease that results in the progressive decline of motor and cognitive functions and a range of behavioral and psychiatric disturbances. The average age of onset is 39 years, with patients typically dying approximately 15 to 20 years following diagnosis. According to the Huntington's Disease Society of America, Huntington's disease affects approximately 30,000 patients in the United States. Huntington's disease is caused by mutations in the huntingtin, or HTT, gene. Huntington's disease is an autosomal dominant disorder, which means that an individual is at risk of inheriting the disease if only one parent is affected. More than 200,000 individuals in the United States are at risk for inheriting the mutant gene from an affected parent. While the exact function of the HTT gene in healthy individuals is unknown, it is essential for normal development before birth and mutations in the HTT gene ultimately lead to the production of abnormal intracellular huntingtin protein aggregates that cause neuronal cell death. Currently, there are no approved treatments targeting the underlying cause of the disease and only one drug, tetrabenazine, has been approved for the treatment of the specific motor symptoms of Huntington's disease.

Our Treatment Approach

We believe that AAV gene therapy is an attractive approach to treating Huntington's disease. Since HTT gene mutations that cause Huntington's disease are toxic gain-of-function mutations, we believe that we can employ an AAV gene therapy approach designed to knock down expression of the HTT gene. In addition, the targeted cells for treatment primarily reside in discrete regions of the brain - the striatum and the cortex - that can be targeted with AAV gene therapy delivered directly into the brain. The mechanism of action of VY-HTT01 is knockdown of HTT gene expression in neurons in the striatum and cortex, thereby reducing the level of toxicity associated with mutated protein in these brain regions, and slowing the progression of cognitive and motor symptoms. We believe that we can use the same surgical approach for this program that has been used for VY-AADC (NB1b-1817) delivery to the brain, allowing us to leverage prior clinical experience.


Preclinical Studies

In 2015, we entered into the Sanofi Genzyme Collaboration and granted Sanofi Genzyme exclusive options to license, develop and commercialize VY-HTT01 outside the United States and to co-commercialize VY-HTT01 in the United States, among rights to other programs. Accordingly, Sanofi Genzyme's Huntington's disease gene therapy program was combined with our efforts at that time. Our collaborators at Sanofi Genzyme had completed significant preclinical work focused on AAV gene therapy for Huntington's disease. Sanofi Genzyme's preclinical studies in a

mouse model of Huntington’s disease demonstrated the safety and efficacy of AAV gene therapy targeting the knockdown of the HTT gene in the CNS.

As shown in the figure below, using an AAV vector delivered directly to the CNS, HTT gene expression was observed to be reduced by over 50%, on average, in the treatment group as compared to the control group. No signs of toxicity were reported.


Knockdown of HTT Following AAV Delivery⁽¹⁾



(1) Stanek et al, *Human Gene Therapy* (2014); 25; 461-474. The publisher for this copyrighted material is Mary Ann Liebert, Inc. publishers.
* p<0.05

In addition, significant functional benefit was observed in the treatment group, as measured by the rotarod test to assess motor function, and the Porsolt Swim Test to measure depressive behavior in mice. In the figure below, both normal or wild type mice, and mice with the HTT mutation, or YAC128, were evaluated following treatment with either an AAV vector targeting the knockdown of the HTT gene, labeled as AAV2/1-miRNA-Htt below, or a negative control vector, labeled as AAV2/1-Null below. As expected, knocking down HTT in the control mice was observed to have no functional impact, whereas knocking down HTT in YAC128 mice was observed to have significant functional benefit.

Reduction of Behavioral Deficits in an Animal Model of Huntington’s Disease⁽²⁾



(2) Stanek et al, *Human Gene Therapy* (2014); 25; 461-474. The publisher for this copyrighted material is Mary Ann Liebert, Inc. publishers.
* p<0.05

In preclinical studies, a single administration of VY-HTT01 was well-tolerated and resulted in robust and widespread knockdown of HTT messenger RNA at five weeks post-dosing in disease-relevant regions of the non-human primate central nervous system. The extent of HTT mRNA suppression (greater than 50%) and high precision and efficiency of primary microRNA processing in our preclinical studies supported the selection of our lead clinical candidate. Additionally, preclinical data in large mammals have demonstrated that a single intraputamen administration results in robust knockdown of HTT in the putamen.

Recent preclinical delivery studies have further optimized the dosing paradigm. VT-HTT01 is composed of an adeno-associated virus capsid (AAV1) and proprietary transgene that harnesses the RNA interference pathway to selectively knock down, or reduce, levels of HTT mRNA. In late 2018 and early 2019, we presented results demonstrating significant reduction of HTT mRNA at five weeks post-dosing in adult non-human primates using an MRI-guided surgical delivery of VY-HTT01 and a novel delivery paradigm targeting both the putamen and thalamus. Targeting the thalamus in addition to the putamen leverages more extensive and more preserved neuronal pathways to the cortex than delivery to the putamen alone. In adult non-human primates, at five weeks post-dosing, this novel dosing paradigm with VY-HTT01 resulted in well-tolerated and significant suppression of HTT in the striatum and in cortical neurons, which are critical in the progression of disease.

VY-HTT01 Program Status

In June 2019, we and Sanofi Genzyme executed the Sanofi Genzyme Termination Agreement, under the terms of which Sanofi Genzyme relinquished its rights to the Huntington's Program, including its rights to the exclusive license options to the Huntington's Program. As a result, we gained worldwide rights to the treatment program for Huntington's disease.

VY-HTT01 is currently in preclinical IND-enabling studies. We are currently engaged in the ongoing conduct and review of preclinical studies for our Huntington's disease program, VY-HTT01, and expect to provide an update on the program in the second quarter of 2020, including plans to file an investigational new drug, or IND, application. We also plan to initiate a prospective observational study of patients with late prodromal and early manifest Huntington's disease in mid-2020. The longitudinal study will evaluate the clinical and biological evolution of peri-manifest Huntington's disease patients, including clinical, neuroimaging, molecular, and digital biomarker outcomes. Patients participating in the observational study may also be eligible for later enrollment in the VY-HTT01 Phase 1 clinical trial.

ALS Program: VY-SOD102

Disease Overview

ALS is a fatal neurodegenerative disease that leads to muscle atrophy, spasticity and weakness as well as impaired speech, swallowing and breathing, with many patients requiring ventilator support as the disease progresses. The average age of onset of ALS is 55 years, and median survival is approximately three years after initial symptoms appear. It is estimated that there are approximately 20,000 patients in the United States who are living with the disease. Familial, or inherited, ALS accounts for approximately 10% of ALS cases, and an estimated 20% of familial ALS is caused by mutations in the superoxide dismutase 1, or SOD1, gene. Therefore, there are an estimated 400-800 patients in the United States with ALS caused by mutations in the SOD1 gene.

The normal function of the SOD1 protein is to catalyze the conversion of superoxide anion (O_2^-) to hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) and oxygen (O_2). Mutations in SOD1 have been shown to lead to the formation of toxic aggregates of the SOD1 protein, resulting in the dysfunction and death of motor neurons. Patients with familial ALS caused by certain mutations in the SOD1 gene progress more rapidly than patients with other forms of ALS, although the reason for this more rapid progression is unknown.

There are currently only two FDA-approved treatments for ALS, Riluzole by Sanofi, which has been shown to have only modest efficacy, prolonging life by a few months, and Edaravone, which has been shown to slow decline of daily functioning.

Our Treatment Approach


We believe that AAV gene therapy is an attractive approach to treating monogenic ALS caused by SOD1 mutations. Since the SOD1 gene mutations that cause ALS are toxic gain-of-function mutations, we believe that we can employ an AAV gene therapy approach that targets the knockdown of SOD1 gene expression. In addition, the primary target cells - motor neurons - reside within the spinal cord, which we believe can be effectively transduced with AAV gene therapy through intraparenchymal injection as well as other routes of administration. The mechanism of action of VY-SOD102 is knockdown of SOD1 expression in motor neurons, thereby potentially reducing the level of toxicity associated with mutated protein, and slowing functional decline and prolonging ventilator-independent survival.

We believe that there is also the potential to leverage our approach for the treatment of other genetically defined forms of ALS.

Preclinical Studies Targeting SOD1 for Monogenic ALS

Results from our preclinical studies using intraparenchymal delivery of AAV vector to the spinal cord support targeting mutant SOD1 for the treatment of monogenic ALS. In the mini-pig, used as an animal model as it has a spinal cord similar in size to the human spinal cord, significant knockdown of SOD1 expression was observed following intraparenchymal spinal cord injection of an AAV vector carrying a transgene designed to inhibit SOD1 expression. This novel delivery approach with VY-SOD102 reduced SOD1 mRNA in the spinal cord on average by 70% and 50% in the cervical and thoracic regions, respectively, both regions critical for respiratory function, and 82% near the site of cervical injection. In addition, VY-SOD102 reduced SOD1 mRNA by 22% in the lumbar region.

The knockdown of SOD1 has also been reported to provide significant survival benefits in animal models of ALS. As shown in the example below, mice with a SOD1 mutation treated with an AAV vector to knock down expression of the mutant human SOD1 gene extended median survival by 87 days compared to mice treated with a control vector.




(1) Reprinted by permission from Macmillan Publishers Ltd: Foust et al, *Molecular Therapy* (2013), 21 (12); 2148-2159, copyright (2013). Purple line represents mice treated with AAV gene therapy, while gray line represents control mice.

These studies provide proof-of-principle for our approach to treating monogenic ALS due to SOD1 mutations with VY-SOD102.

Our Program Status

In late 2016, we identified VY-SOD101 as a lead clinical candidate after screening a series of capsids, microRNA expression cassettes, (a segment of DNA that contains the sequence that targets SOD1 gene expression selectively for knockdown), and encoded payloads. We screened more than 100 RNAi sequences, each represented by a bar in the graph below, and successfully identified multiple, highly-potent RNAi sequences targeting SOD1, as highlighted by the yellow bars in the figure below:



The most potent RNAi sequences targeting SOD1 gene expression were evaluated in multiple microRNA expression cassettes and with a number of vector genome configurations. We have completed the necessary experiments to evaluate these potential lead candidates based upon criteria that include safety, selectivity, potency, and efficiency and precision of microRNA processing.

In late 2017, we initiated additional preclinical studies to further optimize our ALS program's therapeutic approach, including exploration of additional routes of administration and novel AAV capsids in large animal models. Based on these studies, we selected VY-SOD102 as our lead candidate. VY-SOD102, our clinical candidate for the treatment of a monogenic form of ALS, is composed of an AAV capsid and a proprietary transgene that harnesses the RNA interference pathway to selectively knock down, or reduce, levels of SOD1 mRNA. VY-SOD102 has the potential to durably reduce the levels of toxic mutant SOD1 protein in the spinal cord to slow the progression of disease. In late 2018 and early 2019, we presented data on VY-SOD102 administered with a novel delivery paradigm comprising a one-time, infusion after laminectomy to the cervical region of the spinal cord. Preclinical data previously reported included significant reductions of SOD1 mRNA throughout the spinal cord of the Göttingen mini-pig, which has a spinal cord similar in length and diameter to the human spinal cord. This novel delivery approach with VY-SOD102 yielded well-tolerated and significant reduction of SOD1 mRNA throughout the spinal cord at four weeks post-dosing. In June 2019 in connection with the restructuring of our gene therapy relationship with Sanofi Genzyme, we decided to reallocate resources to our Huntington's Program and new discovery efforts. We intend to seek a partner to advance our preclinical program for SOD1 ALS and no longer expect to file an IND application for VY-SOD102 prior to partnering.

Friedreich's Ataxia Program: VY-FXN01

Disease Overview

Friedreich's ataxia is a debilitating neurodegenerative disease resulting in poor coordination of legs and arms, progressive loss of the ability to walk, generalized weakness, loss of sensation, scoliosis, diabetes and cardiomyopathy as well as impaired vision, hearing and speech. The typical age of onset is 10 to 12 years, and life expectancy is severely reduced with patients generally dying of neurological and cardiac complications between the ages of 35 and 45.

According to the Friedreich's Ataxia Research Alliance, there are approximately 6,400 patients living with the disease in the United States. There are currently no FDA-approved treatments for the disease.

Friedreich's ataxia patients have mutations of the FXN gene that reduce production of the frataxin protein, resulting in the degeneration of sensory pathways and a variety of debilitating symptoms. Friedreich's ataxia is an autosomal recessive disorder, meaning that a person must obtain a defective copy of the FXN gene from both parents in order to develop the condition. One healthy copy of the FXN gene, or 50% of normal frataxin protein levels, is sufficient to prevent the disease phenotype. We therefore believe that restoring FXN protein levels to at least 50% of normal levels by AAV gene therapy might lead to a successful therapy.

Our Treatment Approach

We are developing an AAV gene therapy approach that we believe will deliver a functional version of the FXN gene to the sensory pathways through intravenous injection. We think this approach has the potential to improve balance, ability to walk, sensory capability, coordination, strength and functional capacity of Friedreich's ataxia patients. Most Friedreich's ataxia patients produce low levels of the frataxin protein, which although insufficient to prevent the disease, exposes the patient's immune system to frataxin. This reduces the likelihood that the FXN protein expressed by AAV gene therapy will trigger a harmful immune response.

Preclinical Studies

We initially conducted preclinical studies in non-human primates and achieved high FXN expression levels within the target sensory ganglia, or clusters of neurons, along the spinal region following intrathecal injection. More recently, we conducted preclinical studies in non-human primates with IV injection and achieved target FXN expression levels within sensory ganglia and the heart. The levels of FXN expression observed using an AAV vector were, on average, greater than FXN levels present in control normal human brain tissue. FXN expression was also observed in the cerebellar dentate nucleus, another area of the CNS that is often affected in Friedreich's ataxia, and that is often considered difficult to target therapeutically.

Our Program Status

VY-FXN01 is currently in preclinical development in collaboration with Neurocrine. We and Neurocrine are in the process of identifying a lead candidate which will comprise an optimal capsid, promoter, and FXN transgene. We are completing several AAV capsid screening experiments to identify capsids that effectively distribute to disease target tissues in a desired manner following intravenous injection. Criteria for evaluating these capsids include safety, overall level of transgene expression achieved, distribution of transgene expression and the specific cell types transduced. In addition, we are optimizing the promoter for VY-FXN01. To evaluate the therapeutic potential of our vectors, we have conducted testing in a new genetic mouse model of Friedreich's ataxia. In this preclinical model of Friedreich's ataxia, our gene therapy candidates durably improved sensory function, and rescued the Friedreich's ataxia phenotype based on multiple functional tests. In physiological and behavioral assays, our gene therapy candidates demonstrated dose-dependent and durable responses for more than 10 months after a single administration, preventing central and peripheral disease progression. We also have a significant effort focused on better understanding the clinical course of Friedreich's ataxia and identifying potential clinical endpoints for future clinical trials.

Once we and Neurocrine identify a lead candidate for this program, we plan to complete IND enabling studies to evaluate its safety and efficacy.

Tau Program

Disease Overview

In healthy individuals, tau is an abundant soluble cytoplasmic protein that binds to microtubules, which are key structural proteins in cells, to promote their stability and function. In Alzheimer's disease and other tauopathies, tau aggregates and forms insoluble tau-containing neurofibrillary tangles. The progressive spread of tau pathology along distinct anatomical pathways in the brain closely correlates with disease progression and severity in a number of tauopathies, including Alzheimer's disease, FTD, and PSP. In addition, mutations in the tau gene have been shown to cause inherited forms of tauopathies, including FTD and PSP. Because the extent of tau pathology in Alzheimer's disease and other tauopathies closely correlates with the severity of neurodegeneration, synapse loss, and cognitive deficits, attempts to prevent, reduce, or slow the development of tau pathology have become important therapeutic strategies for these diseases.

In previous preclinical studies in animal models, despite high weekly or biweekly systemic doses of anti-tau monoclonal antibodies administered over three to six months, only very low levels of antibody reached the brain, resulting in a modest reduction of tau pathology by ~40–50%. This incomplete and modest reduction in tau pathology following treatment with very high and frequent systemic doses of these antibodies may pose therapeutic challenges in humans with various tauopathies. To address these limitations, our AbbVie Tau Collaboration attempts to develop AAV gene therapies to deliver monoclonal antibodies to the brain directed against tau as potential new treatments for Alzheimer's disease and other tau-related neurodegenerative diseases.

Our Program Status

The tau program is currently in the preclinical stage. We have agreed to collaborate with AbbVie on the research and development of specified vectorized antibody compounds comprised of an AAV or other viral capsid and a virus vector genome that encodes one or more antibodies that target and bind to a tau protein. During the research period of our collaboration, we and AbbVie agreed to each identify up to five potential antibodies for evaluation during the AbbVie Tau Collaboration. Under the agreement, up to three research antibodies may be selected as candidates for creation of research compounds. AbbVie has the right to select two of the three research antibodies. During a specified portion of the research period, AbbVie may exercise one or more of its exclusive development options to select up to a total of three research compounds and their corresponding product candidates to proceed to the development period.

Alpha-Synuclein Program

Disease Overview

In healthy individuals, alpha-synuclein is an abundant protein in the brain found in presynaptic terminals and is important for neurotransmitter trafficking. In the diseased brain, altered alpha-synuclein accumulates into inclusions called Lewy Bodies, resulting in impaired brain function and neuronal cell loss. The progressive spread of abnormal alpha-synuclein in the brain closely correlates with progressive neurodegeneration and symptom severity. However, one of the limitations with current weekly or biweekly infusions of biologic therapies for neurodegenerative diseases is that only a small amount of drug is able to make its way into the brain. Our AbbVie Alpha-Synuclein Collaboration seeks to develop a potential one-time treatment using our gene therapy platform to reduce alpha-synuclein pathology and neurodegeneration through the delivery of an AAV vector antibody that encodes the genetic instructions to produce anti-alpha-synuclein antibodies within the brain.

Due to poor transfer of antibodies across the blood brain barrier, preclinical studies in animal models have shown that, despite high weekly or biweekly systemic doses of anti-alpha-synuclein monoclonal antibodies administered over three to six months, only very low levels of antibody reach the brain. This low level of antibodies in the CNS following treatment with very high and frequent systemic doses of antibodies may pose therapeutic challenges in humans with synucleinopathies. To address these limitations, our AbbVie Alpha-Synuclein Collaboration attempts to develop

AAV gene therapies to deliver monoclonal antibodies to the brain directed against alpha-synuclein as potential new treatments for Parkinson's disease and other alpha-synuclein-related neurodegenerative diseases.

Our Program Status

The alpha-synuclein program is currently in the preclinical stage. We have agreed to collaborate with AbbVie on the research, development, and commercialization of specified vectorized antibody compounds comprised of an AAV or other viral capsid and a virus vector genome that encodes one or more antibodies directed against pathological species of alpha-synuclein for the potential treatment of Parkinson's disease and other synucleinopathies. During the research period of our collaboration, we have agreed to conduct specified research activities to construct one or more virus vectors that encode antibodies designated by AbbVie, at our sole expense. AbbVie may exercise one or more of its exclusive development options to select up to a total of four research compounds and the corresponding product candidates to proceed to the development period.

Future Programs

We are evaluating additional severe neurological diseases that could be treated using AAV gene therapy through application of either a gene replacement or a gene knockdown approach.

Collaborations and License Agreements

AbbVie Tau Collaboration

In February 2018, we entered into the AbbVie Tau Collaboration Agreement, for the research, development, and commercialization of AAV and other virus-based gene therapy products for the treatment of neurodegenerative diseases related to defective or excess aggregation of tau protein in the human brain, including Alzheimer's disease.

Under the AbbVie Tau Collaboration Agreement, we have agreed to collaborate with AbbVie on the research and development of specified vectorized antibody compounds comprised of an AAV or other viral capsid and a virus vector genome that encodes one or more antibodies that target and bind to a tau protein. The collaboration is comprised of a research period, a development period, and an exclusive license option.

Research Period and AbbVie Development Option

During the research period, each party has agreed to identify up to five antibodies for inclusion in the collaboration. Subject to certain conditions and exceptions, the parties will then select up to three antibodies, or the Research Antibodies, as candidates for creation of research compounds, or the Research Compounds, with AbbVie having the right to select two of the three Research Antibodies. We are obligated to use diligent efforts to conduct antibody engineering and other research activities to create Research Compounds and to develop product candidates containing or comprised of such Research Compounds. We will be solely responsible for the costs and expenses during the Research Period. During a specified portion of the Research Period, or the Development Option Period, AbbVie may exercise one or more of its exclusive development options, each of which we refer to as a Development Option, to select up to a total of three Research Compounds, or the Selected Research Compounds, and their corresponding product candidates, or the Selected Product Candidates, to proceed to the development period.

Development Period and AbbVie License Option

During the development period, we are obligated to use diligent efforts to conduct development activities, including IND-enabling and Phase 1 clinical trial activities, for the Selected Research Compounds and corresponding Selected Product Candidates. We will be solely responsible for the costs and expenses during the development period. During a specified portion of the development period, or the License Option Period, AbbVie may exercise its exclusive license option, or the License Option, to further develop and commercialize all of the Research Compounds, or the

Licensed Compounds, and corresponding product candidates, or the Licensed Products. Upon AbbVie's exercise of its License Option, we have agreed to grant to AbbVie an exclusive, worldwide license, with the right to sublicense, under certain of our intellectual property rights to develop and commercialize the Licensed Compounds and the Licensed Products for all human diagnostic, prophylactic and therapeutic uses. In addition, after AbbVie's exercise of the License Option, we have certain obligations to complete any remaining research and development activities that have not been completed for any Research Compounds and Product Candidates.

Governance

Our research and development activities will be conducted pursuant to the plans agreed to by the parties and overseen by a joint governance committee, or JGC, comprised of an equal number of representatives from each party. Prior to AbbVie's exercise of its License Option, we will have final decision-making authority within the JGC, subject to specified limitations; thereafter, AbbVie will have final decision-making authority, subject to specified limitations. Any material amendment to the research or development plans, however, must be mutually agreed to by the parties, which may be through the JGC.

Commercialization

Under the AbbVie Tau Collaboration Agreement, AbbVie is required to use commercially reasonable efforts to develop and commercialize at least one Licensed Product in each of the United States, Japan, the United Kingdom, Germany, France, Italy and Spain. After exercise of the License Option, AbbVie is solely responsible for all development and commercialization activities relating to Licensed Compounds and Licensed Products at its sole cost and expense (subject to our obligation to complete any remaining research and development activities set forth in the agreed-upon plans), except that we may elect to share in AbbVie's development costs relating to a Licensed Product on an indication-by-indication basis in exchange for a specified increase in royalties. If we exercise this cost-sharing option, we may either reimburse AbbVie for AbbVie's applicable development costs or, in the case of certain budget overruns, AbbVie may instead deduct applicable development costs, up to a specified cap, from milestone and royalty payments owed by AbbVie to us.

Manufacturing

During both the research period and the development period, we will be solely responsible for the manufacture and supply of all preclinical and clinical requirements for the Research Compounds and Product Candidates. If AbbVie were to exercise its License Option, we would be required, at AbbVie's request, to effect a full transfer of the manufacturing process for each Licensed Compound and corresponding Licensed Product to AbbVie. Following such transfer, we have agreed to disclose, on a continuing basis, all modifications, enhancements and improvements to manufacturing processes for the Licensed Products, and AbbVie has agreed to grant to us a non-exclusive, royalty-free license to modifications to the manufacturing process made by AbbVie, in each case subject to specified limitations.

Financial Terms

Under the terms of the AbbVie Tau Collaboration Agreement, AbbVie paid us an upfront payment of \$69.0 million in February 2018. AbbVie has also agreed to pay us within 30 days after the applicable exercise date: (1) upon AbbVie's exercise of a Development Option, (a) \$80.0 million for the first Selected Research Compound and its corresponding Selected Product Candidate and (b) \$30.0 million each for up to two additional Selected Research Compounds and their corresponding Selected Product Candidates, and (2) upon AbbVie's exercise of the License Option, a one-time payment of \$75.0 million. We will be eligible to receive (1) specified development and first-sale milestone payments for each Licensed Compound of up to an aggregate of \$550.0 million in the case of an Alzheimer's disease indication, up to \$230.0 million in the case of the first indication other than Alzheimer's disease, and \$115.0 million for subsequent non-Alzheimer's disease indication; and (2) tiered, escalating royalties, in a range from a high-single digit to a mid-to-high teen (or, if we have exercised our cost-sharing option, low-twenties) percentage of aggregate net sales of Licensed Products on a Licensed Compound by Licensed Compound basis. The royalties are subject to potential reductions for biosimilar market penetration, patent claim expiration, and other provisions, subject to specified

limits. For each Licensed Product, AbbVie may make a one-time request either to decrease its royalty payments to a specified low-single digit percentage or to terminate them altogether in exchange for a one-time payment by AbbVie at a fair market value to be negotiated by the parties. If the parties are not able to agree to the terms of such buy-down, the parties may seek a fair market value determination for the buy-down pursuant to dispute resolution procedures specified in the AbbVie Tau Collaboration Agreement.

Intellectual Property

Under the terms of the AbbVie Tau Collaboration Agreement, each party will own the entire right, title and interest in and to all know-how and patent rights first made or invented solely by it or its affiliates or its or their sublicensees in the course of the collaboration, with certain specified exceptions. Also subject to specified exceptions, the parties will jointly own all rights, title and interest in and to all know-how and patent rights first made or invented jointly by such party or its affiliates or its or their sublicensees in the course of the collaboration. Regardless of whether AbbVie has exercised a Development Option or the License Option, we have agreed to grant AbbVie perpetual, exclusive or non-exclusive (as the case may be), worldwide licenses to certain know-how and patent rights developed by us or jointly by the parties arising from the collaboration.

Exclusivity

During the term of the AbbVie Tau Collaboration Agreement, (i) neither party nor any of its respective affiliates is permitted to directly or indirectly exploit any vectorized antibody compound targeting a tau protein, which we refer to as Vectorized Antibody Exclusivity, and (ii) neither we nor any of our affiliates is permitted to directly exploit any Research Antibody targeting a tau protein, which we refer to as Research Antibody Exclusivity, in each case subject to specified exceptions, including our conduct of basic research.

Termination

Unless earlier terminated, the AbbVie Tau Collaboration Agreement will expire on the earliest to occur of the expiration of (i) the Development Option Period, without AbbVie's exercise of a Development Option; (ii) the License Option Period, without AbbVie's exercise of its License Option; and (iii) the last-to-expire royalty term with respect to all Licensed Products in all countries. Subject to a cure period, either we or AbbVie may terminate the AbbVie Tau Collaboration Agreement, in whole or, in the case of us, in part, subject to specified conditions, in the event of the other party's uncured material breach. Either we or AbbVie may also terminate, subject to specified conditions, for insolvency of the other party, certain failures or delays to obtain certain regulatory clearances of the collaboration, or a joint determination of scientific infeasibility by the parties. AbbVie may terminate the AbbVie Tau Collaboration Agreement (i) without cause, in its entirety or, after its exercise of the License Option, on a country-by-country basis, with 180 days' prior written notice or (ii) for our non-compliance with certain anti-bribery or anti-corruption covenants. We may terminate the AbbVie Tau Collaboration Agreement, subject to specified conditions, if AbbVie or its affiliates challenge the validity or enforceability of certain of our, or jointly-held intellectual property rights.

Upon termination in certain cases, AbbVie has agreed to grant to us reversionary licenses to certain Licensed Compounds. In such case, we may be required to pay royalties to AbbVie in a range from a low to high single digit percentage of net sales of Licensed Products containing or comprised of such License Compound, subject to potential reduction in some cases. Additionally, upon termination in certain cases, the Vectorized Antibody Exclusivity and Research Antibody Exclusivity will survive until the third anniversary of the termination date. If the parties mutually agree to terminate for infeasibility or AbbVie terminates for our failure to deliver a final research or development report, neither us nor any of its affiliates may directly or indirectly exploit a vectorized antibody compound that targets or binds to a tau protein for 18 months after the termination date.

Neurocrine Collaboration

In January 2019, we entered into the Neurocrine Collaboration Agreement for the research, development and commercialization of certain of our AAV gene therapy products. Under the Neurocrine Collaboration Agreement, upon the expiration or termination of applicable waiting periods and the receipt of any required approvals or clearances including antitrust clearance, we have agreed to collaborate on the conduct of four collaboration programs, which we refer to collectively as the Neurocrine Programs: the VY-AADC Program for the treatment of Parkinson's disease, the FA Program for the treatment of Friedreich's ataxia including the development of the VY-FXN01 product candidate, which together with the VY-AADC Program, we refer to as the Existing Programs, and two programs to be determined by us and Neurocrine at a later date, which we refer to as the Discovery Programs.

Collaboration and Licenses

Under the terms of the Neurocrine Collaboration Agreement, subject to the rights retained by us thereunder, we have agreed to collaborate with Neurocrine on, and to grant, exclusive, royalty-bearing, non-transferable, sublicensable licenses to certain of our intellectual property rights, for all human and veterinary diagnostic, prophylactic, and therapeutic uses, for the research, development, and commercialization of gene therapy products, which we refer to as the Collaboration Products, under (i) the VY-AADC Program, on a worldwide basis; (ii) the FA Program, in the United States and, all countries in the world in which the Neurocrine Collaboration Agreement remains in effect with respect to the FA Program; and (iii) each Discovery Program, on a worldwide basis.

As a result of the June 2019 Sanofi Genzyme Termination Agreement, we gained worldwide rights to the Huntington's Program and ex-U.S. rights to the FA program. We subsequently transferred the ex-U.S. rights to the FA Program to Neurocrine pursuant to the Neurocrine Collaboration Agreement. To facilitate our transfer of the ex-U.S. rights to the FA Program to Neurocrine, we and Neurocrine amended the Neurocrine Collaboration Agreement and we received a \$5.0 million payment from Neurocrine.

Pursuant to development plans to be agreed by the parties, which will be overseen by a joint steering committee, or JSC, we have operational responsibility, subject to certain exceptions, for the conduct of each Neurocrine Program prior to the Transition Event for each Program, as described below, and are required to use commercially reasonable efforts to develop the Collaboration Products. Neurocrine has agreed to be responsible for all costs incurred by us in conducting these activities for each Neurocrine Program, in accordance with an agreed budget. If we breach our development responsibilities or in certain circumstances upon a change in control of us, Neurocrine has the right but not the obligation to assume the activities under such Neurocrine Program.

Upon the occurrence of a specified event for each Neurocrine Program, or a Transition Event, Neurocrine has agreed to assume responsibility for development, manufacturing and commercialization activities for such Neurocrine Program from us and to pay milestones and royalties on future net sales as described further below. For each Existing Program, we have the option, or a Co-Co Option, to co-develop and co-commercialize such Neurocrine Program upon the occurrence of a specified event, or a Co-Co Trigger Event. Should we elect to exercise our Co-Co Option, we have agreed to enter into a cost- and profit-sharing arrangement with Neurocrine, or a Co-Co Agreement, whereby we have agreed to jointly develop and commercialize Collaboration Products for such Neurocrine Program, or Co-Co Products, and share in its costs, profits and losses, and we agree to forfeit certain milestones and royalties on net sales in the United States during the effective period of the applicable Co-Co Agreement. The Transition Events are (i) with respect to the VY-AADC Program, our receipt of topline data for the ongoing RESTORE-1 Phase 2 clinical trial for VY-AADC (NBIB-1817); (ii) with respect to the FA Program, our receipt of topline data for the initial Phase 1 clinical trial for an FA Program product candidate; and (iii) with respect to each Discovery Program, the preparation by us and the approval by Neurocrine of an investigational new drug application to be filed with the FDA by Neurocrine for the first development candidate in such Discovery Program. The Co-Co Trigger Events are (i) with respect to the VY-AADC Program, our receipt of topline data for the ongoing RESTORE-1 Phase 2 clinical trial for VY-AADC (NBIB-1817) and (ii) with respect to the FA Program, the achievement of milestones or metrics specified in the applicable development plan, as determined by the JSC.

Subject to exceptions specified in the Neurocrine Collaboration Agreement, profits and losses under our Co-Co Option are agreed to be allocated (i) 50% to Neurocrine and 50% to us for a Collaboration Product from the VY-AADC Program and (ii) 60% to Neurocrine and 40% to us for a Collaboration Product from the FA Program; provided, however, that Neurocrine may elect, within a specified period following the acceptance for filing of a BLA from the FDA, to pay a \$35.0 million rate-shifting fee to us to change the allocation for the VY-AADC Program to 55% to Neurocrine and 45% to us. The parties have agreed that each Co-Co Agreement will provide us the right to terminate for any reason upon prior written notice to Neurocrine and Neurocrine the right to terminate in certain circumstances upon our change of control.

Governance

Our research and development activities under the Neurocrine Collaboration Agreement are to be conducted pursuant to plans agreed to by the parties, on a program-by-program basis, and overseen by the JSC, which is composed of an equal number of representatives from the parties. The JSC may delegate matters within its authority to subcommittees of the JSC. In addition, the Neurocrine Collaboration Agreement establishes working groups to handle specified matters on a subject matter-by-subject matter basis. If a working group or subcommittee cannot agree on a matter within its purview within a specified time, such matter is to be referred sequentially to the JSC and then the executive officers of the parties. If the executive officers are not able to resolve the matter, then (i) with respect to each Existing Program, subject to specified exceptions, (x) Neurocrine has the right to resolve such matter prior to our exercise of our Co-Co Option with regard to such Co-Co Product or if such Co-Co Option expires or goes unexercised and (y) following the timely exercise by us of our Co-Co Option, depending on the subject of such matter, either Neurocrine, in certain instances, or the parties jointly or the JSC, in other instances, would have the right to resolve such matter, and (ii) with respect to Discovery Programs, subject to specified exceptions, Neurocrine has the right to resolve such matter.

Candidate Selection

The parties have committed, following the effective date of the Neurocrine Collaboration Agreement, to agree on a list of up to eight target genes, or Targets, from which Neurocrine has the right to nominate Targets for the two Discovery Programs. Each Target for the Discovery Programs must be approved by a consensus of the JSC or the executive officers.

Manufacturing

Prior to the Transition Event for a Neurocrine Program, we are responsible for the manufacture of any Collaboration Products for the Program. Following the Transition Event, the parties shall negotiate the manufacturing and supply responsibilities, subject to the terms of any applicable Co-Co Agreement.

Financial Terms

Under the terms of the Neurocrine Collaboration Agreement, Neurocrine has paid us an upfront payment of \$115.0 million. In connection with the Neurocrine Collaboration Agreement, Neurocrine also paid us \$50.0 million as consideration for an equity purchase of 4,179,728 shares of our common stock. The Neurocrine Collaboration Agreement provides for aggregate development milestone payments from Neurocrine to us for Collaboration Products under (i) the VY-AADC Program of up to \$170.0 million; (ii) the FA Program of up to \$195.0 million, and (iii) each of the two Discovery Programs of up to \$130.0 million per Discovery Program. We may be entitled to receive aggregate commercial milestone payments for each Collaboration Product of up to \$275.0 million, subject to an aggregate cap on commercial milestone payments across all Neurocrine Programs of \$1.1 billion.

Neurocrine has also agreed to pay us royalties, based on future net sales of the Collaboration Products. Such royalty percentages, for net sales in and outside the United States, as applicable, range (i) for the VY-AADC Program, from the mid-teens to thirty and the low-teens to twenty, respectively; (ii) for the FA Program, from the low-teens to high-teens and high-single digits to mid-teens, respectively; and (iii) for each Discovery Program, from the high-single

digits to mid-teens and mid-single digits to low-teens, respectively. On a country-by-country and program-by-program basis, royalty payments would commence on the first commercial sale of a Collaboration Product and terminate on the later of (a) the expiration of the last patent covering the Collaboration Product or its method of use in such country, (b) 10 years from the first commercial sale of the Collaboration Product in such country and (c) the expiration of regulatory exclusivity in such country, or the Royalty Term. Royalty payments may be reduced by up to 50% in specified circumstances, including expiration of patents rights related to a Collaboration Product, approval of biosimilar products in a given country or required payment of licensing fees to third parties related to the development and commercialization of any Collaboration Product. Additionally, the licenses granted to Neurocrine shall automatically convert to fully paid-up, non-royalty bearing, perpetual, irrevocable, exclusive licenses on a country-by-country and product-by-product basis upon the expiration of the Royalty Term applicable to such Collaboration Product in such country.

Intellectual Property

Under the terms of the Neurocrine Collaboration Agreement and subject to specified exceptions therein, each party owns the entire right, title and interest in and to all intellectual property rights made solely by its employees or agents in the course of the collaboration. The parties jointly own all rights, title and interest in and to all intellectual property rights made or invented jointly by employees or agents of both parties.

Exclusivity

During the term of the Neurocrine Collaboration Agreement, neither party nor any of its respective affiliates is permitted to directly or indirectly exploit any AAV-based gene therapy products directed to a Target to which a Collaboration Product is directed, subject to specified exceptions, including the parties' conduct of basic research and our activities under the Sanofi Genzyme Collaboration.

Termination

Unless earlier terminated, the Neurocrine Collaboration Agreement expires on the later of (i) the expiration of the last to expire Royalty Term with respect to a Collaboration Product in all countries in the relevant territory or (ii) the expiration or termination of all Co-Co Agreements. Neurocrine may terminate the Neurocrine Collaboration Agreement in its entirety or on a program-by-program or country-by-country basis by providing at least (a) 180-day advance notice if such notice is provided prior to the first commercial sale of the Collaboration Product to which the termination applies or (b) one-year advance notice if such notice is provided after the first commercial sale of the Collaboration Product to which the termination applies. We may terminate the Neurocrine Collaboration Agreement, subject to specified conditions, if (i) Neurocrine fails to make the equity purchase of 4,179,728 shares of our common stock, for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$50.0 million, or (ii) Neurocrine challenges the validity or enforceability of certain of our intellectual property rights. Subject to a cure period, either party may terminate the Neurocrine Collaboration Agreement in the event of a material breach by the other party in whole or in part, subject to specified conditions. Either party may also terminate the Neurocrine Collaboration Agreement if specified regulatory agencies seek to enjoin the transaction or if the parties are unable to obtain antitrust clearance within 180 days of the applicable antitrust filings.

Upon termination in certain cases, Neurocrine has agreed to grant to us licenses to certain Neurocrine intellectual property, subject to a negotiation between the parties to establish royalty rates for use of such intellectual property. In the event of a breach by us with respect to a Neurocrine Program, if such termination were to occur after a Transition Event, then (i) if a Co-Co Agreement is in effect with respect to such program, Neurocrine can terminate the Co-Co Agreement for such program and we would no longer have co-development and co-commercialization rights with respect to the Collaboration Product and (ii) subject to any license agreements, Neurocrine would no longer have any obligations with respect to any Collaboration Products resulting from such program.

AbbVie Alpha-Synuclein Collaboration

In February 2019, we entered into the AbbVie Alpha-Synuclein Collaboration Agreement with AbbVie for the research, development and commercialization of AAV and other virus-based gene therapy products directed against pathological species of alpha-synuclein for the potential treatment of indications including Parkinson's disease and other synucleinopathies.

Collaboration and AbbVie Options

Under the AbbVie Alpha-Synuclein Collaboration Agreement, we and AbbVie have agreed to collaborate on the research and development of specified vectorized antibody compounds comprised of an AAV or other viral capsid and a virus vector genome that encodes one or more antibodies that target and bind to the alpha-synuclein protein. The collaboration is comprised of a research period and a development period.

Research Period and AbbVie Development Option

During the research period, we are obligated to conduct research activities directed to constructing one or more virus vectors that encode antibodies designated by AbbVie, or AbbVie Designated Antibodies, which initially are to be antibodies provided by AbbVie. We are obligated to use diligent efforts to conduct research activities to create research compounds and to develop product candidates containing or comprised of such research compounds. We are solely responsible for the costs and expenses during the research period. During a specified portion of the research period, AbbVie may exercise one or more of its exclusive development options to select up to a total of four research compounds and their corresponding Product candidates to proceed to the development period.

Development Period and AbbVie License Option

During the development period, we are obligated to use diligent efforts to conduct development activities, including IND application-enabling and Phase 1 clinical trial activities, for the selected research compounds and corresponding selected product candidates. We are solely responsible for the costs and expenses during the development period. During a specified portion of the development period, AbbVie may exercise its exclusive license option to further develop and commercialize all of the research compounds and corresponding product candidates. Upon AbbVie's exercise of its license option, we have agreed to grant to AbbVie an exclusive, worldwide license, with the right to sublicense, under certain of our intellectual property rights to develop and commercialize the licensed compounds and the licensed products for all human diagnostic, prophylactic and therapeutic uses. In addition, after AbbVie's exercise of the license option, we have certain obligations to complete any remaining research and development activities that have not been completed for any research compounds and product candidates.

Governance

Our research and development activities are to be conducted pursuant to the plans agreed to by the parties and overseen by a joint governance committee, or the ASN JGC, comprised of an equal number of representatives from each of us and AbbVie. Prior to AbbVie's exercise of its License Option, we have final decision-making authority within the ASN JGC, subject to specified limitations; thereafter, AbbVie is entitled to final decision-making authority, subject to specified limitations. Any material amendment to the research or development plans, however, must be mutually agreed to by the parties, which may be through the ASN JGC.

Commercialization

Under the AbbVie Alpha-Synuclein Collaboration Agreement, AbbVie is required to use commercially reasonable efforts to develop and commercialize at least one licensed product in each of the United States, Japan, the United Kingdom, Germany, France, Italy and Spain. After exercise of the license option, AbbVie is solely responsible for all development and commercialization activities relating to licensed compounds and licensed products at its sole cost and expense, subject to our obligation to complete any remaining research and development activities set forth in the agreed-upon plans.

Manufacturing

During both the research period and the development period, we are solely responsible for the manufacture and supply of all pre-clinical and clinical requirements for the research compounds and product candidates. If AbbVie were to exercise its license option, we would be required, at AbbVie's request, to effect a full transfer of the manufacturing process for each licensed compound and corresponding licensed product to AbbVie. Following such transfer, we have agreed to disclose, on a continuing basis, all modifications, enhancements and improvements to manufacturing processes for the licensed products, and AbbVie has agreed to grant to us a non-exclusive, royalty-free license to modifications to the manufacturing process made by AbbVie, in each case, subject to specified limitations.

Financial

Under the terms of the AbbVie Alpha-Synuclein Collaboration Agreement, AbbVie has agreed to pay us an upfront payment of \$65.0 million within 15 business days of entry into the AbbVie Alpha-Synuclein Collaboration Agreement. AbbVie has also agreed to pay to us, within 30 days after the applicable exercise date: (i) upon AbbVie's exercise of a development option, (a) \$80.0 million for the first selected research compound and its corresponding selected product candidate and (b) \$30.0 million each for up to three additional selected research compounds and their corresponding selected product candidates, and (ii) upon AbbVie's exercise of the license option, a one-time payment of \$75.0 million. We are eligible to receive (i) specified regulatory milestone payments for each licensed compound of up to an aggregate of \$450.0 million in the case of a Parkinson's disease indication and up to \$185.0 million in the case of the first indication other than Parkinson's disease and \$92.5 million for a subsequent non-Parkinson's disease indication; (ii) specified commercial milestone payments for all licensed products for all indications up to an aggregate of \$500.0 million; and (iii) tiered, escalating royalties, in the mid-single digit percentage range for aggregate net sales of licensed products on a licensed compound by licensed compound basis. The royalties are subject to potential reductions for biosimilar market penetration, patent claim expiration, and other provisions, subject to specified limits. Subject to certain exceptions, we and AbbVie have agreed to be financially responsible for all payments owed to a third party with which it has contracted for any use of in-licensed intellectual property under the AbbVie Alpha-Synuclein Collaboration Agreement.

Intellectual Property

Under the terms of the AbbVie Alpha-Synuclein Collaboration Agreement, each party owns the entire right, title and interest in and to all know-how and patent rights first made or invented solely by it or its affiliates or its or their sublicensees in the course of the collaboration, with certain specified exceptions. Also subject to specified exceptions, the parties jointly own all rights, title and interest in and to all know-how and patent rights first made or invented jointly by such party or its affiliates or its or their sublicensees in the course of the collaboration. Regardless of whether AbbVie has exercised a development option or the license option, we have agreed to grant AbbVie perpetual, exclusive or non-exclusive (as the case may be), worldwide licenses to certain know-how and patent rights developed by the Company or jointly by the parties arising from the collaboration.

Exclusivity

During the term of the AbbVie Alpha-Synuclein Collaboration Agreement, (i) neither party nor any of its respective affiliates is permitted to directly or indirectly exploit any vectorized antibody compound targeting the alpha-synuclein protein, or Vectorized Antibody Exclusivity and (ii) neither us nor any of our affiliates is permitted to directly or indirectly exploit any AbbVie Designated Antibody, in each case subject to specified exceptions, including AbbVie's conduct of basic research.

Termination

Unless earlier terminated, the AbbVie Alpha-Synuclein Collaboration Agreement expires on the earliest to occur of the expiration of (i) the development option period, without AbbVie's exercise of a development option; (ii) the license option period, without AbbVie's exercise of its license option; and (iii) the last-to-expire royalty term with respect to all licensed products in all countries. Subject to a cure period, either party may terminate the AbbVie Alpha-

Synuclein Collaboration Agreement, in whole or, in the case of us, in part, subject to specified conditions, in the event of the other party's uncured material breach. Either party may also terminate, subject to specified conditions, for insolvency of the other party, certain failures or delays to obtain certain regulatory clearances of the collaboration, or a joint determination of scientific infeasibility by the parties. AbbVie may terminate the AbbVie Alpha-Synuclein Collaboration Agreement (i) without cause, in its entirety or, after its exercise of the license option, on a country-by-country basis, with 180 days' prior written notice or (ii) for our non-compliance with certain anti-bribery or anti-corruption covenants. We may terminate the AbbVie Alpha-Synuclein Collaboration Agreement, subject to specified conditions, if AbbVie or its affiliates challenge the validity or enforceability of certain of our or jointly-held intellectual property rights.

Upon termination in certain cases, the Vectorized Antibody Exclusivity and AbbVie Designated Exclusivity survives until the third anniversary of the termination date. If the parties mutually agree to terminate for infeasibility or AbbVie terminates for our failure to deliver a final research or development report, neither we nor any of our affiliates may directly or indirectly exploit a vectorized antibody compound that targets or binds to the alpha-synuclein protein for 18 months after the termination date.

License Agreement with University of Massachusetts

On January 30, 2014, we entered into a license agreement with the University of Massachusetts, or UMass, pursuant to which UMass granted us an exclusive, worldwide, royalty-bearing license to certain of its licensed patents to make, have made, use, offer for sale, sell, have sold and import certain licensed products in the field of human diseases that use gene therapy applications. Our license is subject to any rights that may be required to be granted to the government of the United States, and UMass reserves the right to use the licensed patents for education and research and, with our consent, for non-commercial patient care, without the payment of any compensation to us.

In consideration for rights granted to us under the agreement, we made an upfront payment of \$0.2 million to UMass. We are obligated to pay UMass (i) low-single digit royalty payments based on net sales of the licensed products, (ii) annual maintenance payments of \$30.0 thousand, which are creditable against royalties payable in such period, (iii) minimum aggregate annual royalty payments that are creditable against royalties payable in such period, with the minimum aggregate amount payable being in the low-six digits for each of the first four years of this agreement and a minimum aggregate amount payable being in the mid-six digits for each year, thereafter, (iv) milestone payments of up to \$1.8 million, per licensed product for the first five licensed products, based on the achievement of development and regulatory milestones and (v) a percentage of sublicensing income that decreases over time from low double digit percentages to a mid-single digit percentage. We also agreed to reimburse UMass approximately \$0.7 million for patent related expenses incurred by UMass as of the effective date of the agreement over a two-year period.

Under the agreement, we agreed to use commercially reasonable efforts to develop licensed products and to introduce such licensed products into the commercial market, and further agreed to certain development milestones.

The agreement will terminate on the date that is the later of (i) seven years after the first commercial sale of the last licensed product under the agreement or (ii) such time as there are no valid claims covering a licensed product. We have the right to terminate the agreement for any reason upon 90 days prior written notice, and we and UMass have the right to terminate the agreement if the other party fails to cure a written breach within 60 days of receiving written notice of such breach.

MassBiologics and UMass Collaboration Agreement

On October 20, 2014, we entered into a Collaboration Agreement with UMass and MassBiologics, pursuant to which we shall (i) fund certain projects that will be conducted by UMass or MassBiologics, (ii) fund certain educational programs of UMass, including post-doctoral research at our laboratories beginning in 2015 and an annual lecture series beginning in 2015 and (iii) collaborate with MassBiologics to establish scalable processes for manufacturing recombinant AAV vector products using cGMP.

In November 2014, we agreed to the first project under this agreement whereby we funded approximately \$2.9 million over a 16-month period for certain research and development services performed by MassBiologics. The project commenced in January 2015 and completed during 2016. We and UMass and/or MassBiologics may agree to conduct other projects in the future, the terms of which will be agreed upon at such time.

This agreement will remain in effect for a period of five years and automatically renews for additional one-year periods. Either party has the right to terminate this agreement, once in each renewal period, for any reason upon providing the other party with 90 days written notice or in the event of a material breach of the agreement by the other party that is not cured within 60 days of written notice.

We will own all intellectual property rights generated under this agreement, either by our employees, UMass and/or MassBiologics employees, or jointly by our employees and UMass and/or MassBiologics employees, that cover AAV materials. We and UMass and/or MassBiologics, as applicable, will jointly own any intellectual property rights generated under this agreement jointly by our employees and the employees of UMass and/or MassBiologics, as applicable, that do not cover AAV materials.

Competition

The biopharmaceutical industry is characterized by intense and dynamic competition to develop new technologies and proprietary therapies. Any product candidates that we successfully develop into products and commercialize may compete with existing therapies and new therapies that may become available in the future. While we believe that our gene therapy platform, product programs, product candidates and scientific expertise in the fields of gene therapy and neuroscience provide us with competitive advantages, we face potential competition from various sources, including larger and better-funded pharmaceutical, specialty pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies, as well as from academic institutions, governmental agencies and public and private research institutions.

We are aware of several companies focused on developing AAV gene therapies in various indications, including AAVANTIBio, Inc., Abeona Therapeutics, Inc., Adverum Biotechnologies, Inc., Aevitas Therapeutics, Inc., Apic Bio, Inc., Applied Genetic Technologies Corporation, Asklepios BioPharmaceutical, Inc., Audentes Therapeutics, Inc., AveXis, Inc. (acquired by Novartis AG in 2018), or AveXis, Axovant Sciences Ltd., or Axovant, Biogen, Inc., or Biogen, Brain Neurotherapy Bio, Inc., GenSight Biologics SA, Homology Medicines, Inc., LogicBio Therapeutics, Inc., Lysogene SA, MeiraGTx Ltd., or MeiraGTx, Neurogene, Inc., Passage Bio, Inc., Pfizer, Inc., Prevail Therapeutics, Inc., PTC Therapeutics, Inc., REGENXBio Inc., Sarepta Therapeutics, Inc., Solid Biosciences, Inc., Spark Therapeutics, Inc. (acquired by F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd., or Roche, in 2019), or Spark, StrideBio, Inc., and uniQure NV, or uniQure, as well as several companies addressing other methods for modifying genes and regulating gene expression. Any advances in gene therapy technology made by a competitor may be used to develop therapies that could compete against any of our product candidates.

We expect that VY-AADC (NBIB-1817) will compete with a variety of therapies currently marketed and in development for Parkinson's disease, including DBS marketed by Medtronic plc, Abbott Laboratories (acquired from St. Jude Medical in 2017), and other medical device companies, DUOPA/Duodopa marketed by AbbVie, as well as other novel, non-oral forms of levodopa in development, including Mitsubishi Tanabe Pharma's ND0612 (acquired from NeuroDerm in 2017), Acorda Therapeutics' inhaled levodopa, INBRIJA (CVT-301), and Sunovion Pharmaceuticals', or Sunovion's, sublingual apomorphine, APL-130277. Gene therapy competition for Parkinson's disease previously included AMT-090 or AAV-GDNF, but this was deprioritized by uniQure in 2016. Axovant is developing a second generation LentiVector gene therapy, AXO-Lenti-PD (previously OXB-102, licensed from Oxford Biomedica in 2018). MeiraGTx is developing AAV-GAD, acquired from Vector Neurosciences, Inc. in 2018.

We expect that our preclinical programs will compete with a variety of therapies in development, including:

- VY-HTT01 for Huntington's disease will potentially compete with RG6042 (IONIS-HTTR_x) being developed by Roche in collaboration with Ionis Pharmaceuticals, Inc., or Ionis, WVE-120101 and WVE-

120102 being developed by WAVE Life Sciences Ltd. in collaboration with Takeda Pharmaceutical Company Limited, or Takeda, a Zinc Finger Protein (ZFP) therapy being developed by Sangamo Therapeutics, Inc. in collaboration with Shire plc, and gene therapies being developed by uniQure and Spark;

- VY-SOD102 for a monogenic form of ALS will potentially compete with BIIB067 (IONIS-SOD1R_x) being developed by Biogen, in collaboration with Ionis, and gene therapies being developed by AveXis and Apic Bio, Inc.; VY-FXN01 for Friedreich's ataxia will potentially compete with AAV gene therapies being developed by Pfizer, Inc., PTC Therapeutics, Inc., StrideBio, Inc. in collaboration with Takeda, AAVANTIBio, Inc., and AveXis;
- Our Tau program for tauopathies including Alzheimer's disease, PSP, and FTD will potentially compete with tau antibodies being developed by Roche Genentech Inc. in collaboration with AC Immune SA, Eli Lilly & Co., AbbVie, Biogen, and several other companies, as well as an antisense oligonucleotide program being developed by Ionis in collaboration with Biogen; and
- Our alpha-synuclein program for synucleinopathies, including Parkinson's disease, Lewy Body Dementia, and multiple system atrophy, will potentially compete with alpha-synuclein antibodies being developed by Roche in collaboration with Prothena Corporation, Biogen in collaboration with Neurimmune AG, AstraZeneca plc in collaboration with Takeda, and several other companies, as well as an antisense oligonucleotide program being developed by Ionis in collaboration with Biogen.

In addition, companies that are currently engaged in gene therapy for non-neurological diseases could at any time decide to develop gene therapies for neurological diseases.

Many of our competitors, either alone or with their strategic partners, have substantially greater financial, technical and human resources than we do and significantly greater experience in the discovery and development of product candidates, obtaining FDA and other regulatory approvals of product candidates and commercializing those product candidates. Accordingly, our competitors may be more successful than us in obtaining approval for product candidates and achieving widespread market acceptance. Our competitors' product candidates may be more effective, or more effectively marketed and sold, than any product candidate we may commercialize and may render our treatments obsolete or non-competitive before we can recover the expenses of developing and commercializing any of our product candidates.

Mergers and acquisitions in the biotechnology and pharmaceutical industries may result in even more resources being concentrated among a smaller number of our competitors. These competitors also compete with us in recruiting and retaining qualified scientific and management personnel and establishing clinical trial sites and subject registration for clinical trials, as well as in acquiring technologies complementary to, or necessary for, our programs. Smaller or early-stage companies may also prove to be significant competitors, particularly through collaborative arrangements with large and established companies.

We anticipate that we will face intense and increasing competition as new product candidates enter the market and advanced technologies become available. We expect any product candidates that we develop and commercialize to compete on the basis of, among other things, efficacy, safety, convenience of administration and delivery, price, and the availability of reimbursement from government and other third-party payers.

Our commercial opportunity could be reduced or eliminated if our competitors develop and commercialize products that are safer, more effective, have fewer or less severe side effects, are more convenient or are less expensive than any products that we may develop. Our competitors also may obtain FDA or other regulatory approval for their product candidates more rapidly than we may obtain approval for ours, which could result in our competitors establishing a strong market position before we are able to enter the market.

Manufacturing

The manufacture of gene therapy products is technically complex, and necessitates substantial expertise and capital investment. Production difficulties caused by unforeseen events may delay the availability of material for our clinical studies. To meet the requirements of our current and planned future trials we have developed a proprietary manufacturing platform that provides a robust and scalable process for AAV production. We are using the baculovirus/Sf9 AAV production system, a technology for producing AAV vectors at scale in insect-derived cells. We focus on developing internal processes and capabilities to produce high-yield and high-quality gene therapies. The process has been successfully transferred to our contract manufacturing organizations where it is used in manufacturing of clinical materials in accordance with the FDA's cGMP. We have entered into agreements with Brammer Bio and Fujifilm Diosynth Biotechnologies to further expand our manufacturing capabilities to support the development of our gene therapy programs. We have also built an onsite, state-of-the-art process research and development facility to enable the manufacturing of high quality AAV gene therapy vectors at laboratory scale.

We presently contract with third parties for the manufacturing of our program materials. We currently have no plans to build our own clinical or commercial scale manufacturing capabilities. The use of contracted manufacturing and reliance on collaboration partners is relatively cost efficient and has eliminated the need for our direct investment in manufacturing facilities and additional staff early in development. Although we rely on contract manufacturers, we have personnel with manufacturing and quality experience to oversee our contract manufacturers.

Intellectual Property

Overview

We strive to protect the proprietary technology, inventions, and know-how to enhance improvements that are commercially important to the development of our business, including seeking, maintaining, and defending patent rights, whether developed internally or licensed from third parties. We also rely on trade secrets and know-how relating to our proprietary technology platform, on continuing technological innovation and on in-licensing opportunities to develop, improve and maintain the strength of our position in the field of gene therapy that may be important for the development of our business. We additionally may rely on regulatory protection afforded through data exclusivity, market exclusivity and patent term extensions where available.

Our commercial success may depend in part on our ability to: obtain and maintain patent and other protections for commercially important technology, inventions and know-how related to our business; defend and enforce our patents; preserve the confidentiality of our trade secrets; and operate without infringing the valid enforceable patents and intellectual property rights of third parties. Our ability to stop third parties from making, having made, using, selling, offering to sell or importing our products may depend on the extent to which we have rights under valid and enforceable licenses, patents or trade secrets that cover these activities. In some cases, these rights may need to be enforced by third-party licensors. With respect to both licensed and company-owned intellectual property, we cannot be sure that patents will be granted with respect to any of our pending patent applications or with respect to any patent applications filed by us in the future, nor can we be sure that any of our existing patents or any patents that may be granted to us in the future will be commercially useful in protecting our commercial products and methods of manufacturing the same.

We have 272 patent applications pending in the United States and foreign jurisdictions. At least 27 patent applications have been filed and are pending in the United States and foreign jurisdictions by or on behalf of universities which have granted us exclusive license rights to the technology. To date, 72 patents have issued to our licensors which have granted us exclusive license rights to the technology. To date, 106 patents have issued to our licensors which have granted us non-exclusive license rights to the technology with 45 applications pending. Our policy is to file patent applications to protect technology, inventions and improvements to inventions that are commercially important to the development of our business. We seek United States and international patent protection for a variety of technologies, including: research tools and methods, methods for transferring genetic material into cells, AAV-based biological products, methods of designing novel AAV constructs, methods for treating diseases of interest and methods for manufacturing our AAV-based products. We also intend to seek patent protection or rely upon trade secret rights to

protect other technologies that may be used to discover and validate targets and that may be used to identify and develop novel biological products. We seek protection, in part, through confidentiality and proprietary information agreements. We are a party to various other license agreements that give us rights to use specific technologies in our research and development.

Company-Owned Intellectual Property

Parkinson's Disease

We own five pending patent families with three issued patents and 55 patent applications directed to AAV constructs encoding the gene AADC for therapeutic uses. Patents that grant from these patent families are generally expected to start to expire in 2035, subject to possible patent term extensions.

Huntington's Disease

We own five pending patent families with 31 patent applications directed to pharmaceutical compositions and methods for targeting HTT for the treatment of Huntington's disease. Patents from this family are generally expected to start to expire in 2037, subject to possible patent term extensions.

ALS

We own five pending patent families with 28 patent applications directed to targeting SOD1 for the treatment of ALS, and we have an ownership interest in a sixth patent family with two patent applications directed to pharmaceutical compositions and methods for the treatment of ALS to protect our intellectual property arising from a funded grant from The Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis Association. We own one pending patent family with 1 patent application directed to chromosome 9 open reading frame 72, or C9orf72, for the treatment of ALS. Patents that grant from these patent families are generally expected to start to expire in 2035, subject to possible patent term extensions.

Friedreich's Ataxia

We own three pending patent families with 11 patent applications and we have an ownership interest in one pending patent family with two patent applications directed to AAVs encoding frataxin constructs for the treatment of Friedreich's ataxia. Patents that grant from these patent families are generally expected to start to expire in 2036, subject to possible patent term extensions.

Tauopathies, Synucleinopathies and Antibodies

We own seven pending patent families directed to antibodies with 16 patent applications. The first patent family has five patent applications directed to assays for the detection of neutralizing antibodies. The next six patent families have ten patent applications directed to vectorized antibodies and other therapies. The last patent family has one patent application directed to vectored augmentation of proteins. Patents from these families are generally expected to start to expire in 2036, subject to possible patent term extensions.

We have one pending patent family with two patent applications directed to pharmaceutical compositions and methods for the treatment of Alzheimer's Disease. We also have one pending patent family with one patent applications directed to pharmaceutical compositions and methods for the treatment of tauopathies. Patents from these families are generally expected to start to expire in 2040, subject to possible patent term extensions.

We have one pending patent family with one patent applications directed to pharmaceutical compositions and methods for the treatment of Synucleinopathies. Patents from this family are generally expected to start to expire in 2040, subject to possible patent term extensions.

Neuropathic Pain

We own one pending patent family with one patent application directed to pharmaceutical compositions and methods for the treatment of neuropathic pain. Patents from this family are generally expected to start to expire in 2040, subject to possible patent term extensions.

Regulatable Expression

We own two pending patent families with five patent applications directed to regulatable expression control of AAV transgenes. Patents that grant from this patent family are generally expected to start to expire in 2036, subject to possible patent term extensions.

Delivery

We own one pending patent family with two patent applications directed to cannula delivery system and methods of use. Patents that grant from this patent family are generally expected to start to expire in 2039, subject to possible patent term extensions.

We have an ownership interest in two pending patent families directed to trajectory array delivery devices, including the V-TAG device and methods of use. Patents that grant from these patent families are generally expected to start to expire in 2037, subject to possible patent term extensions.

Engineering

We own eight pending patent families with 20 patent applications directed to AAV production and/or engineering of the capsid and we have an ownership interest in two patent families with two patent applications directed to engineering of the capsid. Patents that grant from these patent families are generally expected to start to expire in 2035, subject to possible patent term extensions.

We own three patent families with 43 patent applications directed to engineering of the vector genome. Patents that grant from these patent families are generally expected to start to expire in 2035, subject to possible patent term extensions.

We own one patent family with one patent application directed to genome engineering. Patents that grant from this patent family are generally expected to start to expire in 2040, subject to possible patent term extensions.

Production; Chemistry, Manufacturing, and Controls

We own 22 pending patent families with 33 patent applications directed to AAV production and CMC. Patents that grant from this patent family are generally expected to start to expire in 2035, subject to possible patent term extensions.

Licensed Intellectual Property

We have obtained exclusive licenses and non-exclusive licenses to patents directed to both compositions of matter and methods of use.

We have licensed six families of patents and patent applications, in the exclusive field of gene therapy for human diseases, directed to RNAi constructs as vector payloads, their design and use in the treatment of neurological disorders from the University of Massachusetts. These families of patents and applications are pending and/or granted in the United States and other territories and comprises 85 granted patents and 14 applications. Patents have been granted in

the United States, Canada, Europe, Israel, Japan, Korea and Australia. Nationalization for some members has taken place in Germany, Spain, France, Great Britain, Italy, and Netherlands. Patents that grant from these patent families are generally expected to expire between 2022 and 2025, subject to possible patent term extensions.

We have exclusively licensed three families of patents and patent applications directed to novel AAV capsids from the University of Massachusetts. These families of patents and applications, pending and/or granted in the United States and other territories, and comprises 31 granted patents and 18 applications. Patents have been granted in the United States, Europe and Japan. Nationalization for some members has taken place in Switzerland, Germany, Denmark, Spain, France, Great Britain, Ireland, Italy, Netherlands, and Sweden. Patents that grant from these patent families are generally expected to expire between 2030 and 2035, subject to possible patent term extensions.

We have non-exclusively licensed a patent family directed to production methods for AAV in insect cells from the NIH, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. This family of patents is granted in the United States, Canada, Australia and Europe and further nationalized in Germany, France and Great Britain and comprises eight granted patents. Patents that grant from this patent family are generally expected to expire in 2022, subject to possible patent term extensions.

We have non-exclusively licensed one patent family directed to novel AAV capsids from the Board of Trustees of the Leland Stanford Junior University. This family comprises five granted patents. Patents that grant from these patent families are generally expected to expire beginning in 2027, subject to possible patent term extensions.

We have non-exclusively licensed two families of patents and patent applications from Ablexis, LLC. These families of patents and patent applications are pending and/or granted in the United States and other territories and comprise 31 granted patents and 18 applications. Patents have been granted in Australia, Canada, Europe, Korea, New Zealand and the United States. Nationalization for some members has taken place in Austria, Belgium, Denmark, France, Germany, Ireland, Italy, Netherlands, Poland, Spain, Switzerland, and United Kingdom. Patents that grant from these patent families are generally expected to expire between 2029 and 2030, subject to possible patent term extensions.

We have non-exclusively licensed two families of patents and patent applications directed to AAV capsids from the California Institute of Technology. These families of patents and patent applications are pending in the United States and internationally and comprise 18 granted patents and 22 applications. Patents have been granted in the United States. Patents that grant from these patent families are generally expected to start to expire in 2034, subject to possible patent term extensions.

Trademark Protection

We own U.S. Reg. Nos. 4,545,283 for the service mark VOYAGER THERAPEUTICS and 4,621,083 for the service mark VOYAGER THERAPEUTICS Logo for “pharmaceutical research and development in the field of gene therapy.” These marks were granted registration on the Principal Register of the United States Patent and Trademark Office, or USPTO, on June 3, 2014 and October 14, 2014, respectively.

We also own pending trademark applications in the USPTO for the marks V-TAG and the V-TAG Logo, for “medical system comprised of a surgical device for guiding, locating or placing a diagnostic device or therapeutic device, namely, stents, probes, needles, leads, grafts, pumps, syringes, catheters, and implants during a medical procedure and related software sold as a unit, for use in the field of neurology; MRI-compatible medical system comprised of an MRI-compatible surgical device for guiding, locating or placing a diagnostic device or therapeutic device, namely, stents, probes, needles, leads, grafts, pumps, syringes, catheters, and implants during a MRI-guided procedure and related software sold as a unit, for use in the field of neurology,” as well as European Community trademark registrations for VOYAGER TRAJECTORY ARRAY GUIDE (No. 017430042, registered May 8, 2018) and V-TAG (No. 017430182, registered May 8, 2018) for these same goods.

We plan to register trademarks in connection with our biological products.

Trade Secret Protection

Finally, we may rely, in some circumstances, on trade secrets to protect our technology. We seek to protect our proprietary technology and processes, in part, by entering into confidentiality agreements with our employees, consultants, scientific advisors and contractors. We also seek to preserve the integrity and confidentiality of our data and trade secrets by maintaining physical security of our premises and physical and electronic security of our information technology systems. While we have confidence in these individuals, organizations and systems, agreements or security measures may be breached, and we may not have adequate remedies for any breach. In addition, our trade secrets may otherwise become known or be independently discovered by competitors. To the extent that our consultants, contractors or collaborators use intellectual property owned by others in their work for us, disputes may arise as to the rights in related or resulting know-how and inventions.

Government Regulation and Product Approval

In the United States, biological products, including gene therapy products, are licensed by FDA for marketing under the Public Health Service Act, or PHS Act, and regulated under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, or FDCA. Both the FDCA and the PHS Act and their corresponding regulations govern, among other things, the testing, manufacturing, safety, purity, potency, efficacy, labeling, packaging, storage, record keeping, distribution, import, export, reporting, advertising and other promotional practices involving biological products. FDA clearance must be obtained before clinical testing of biological products, and each clinical study protocol for a gene therapy product is reviewed by the FDA and, in some instances, the NIH, through its RAC. FDA licensure also must be obtained before marketing of biological products. The process of obtaining regulatory approvals and the subsequent compliance with appropriate federal, state, local and foreign statutes and regulations require the expenditure of substantial time and financial resources.

Within the FDA, the Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research, or CBER, regulates gene therapy products. Within CBER, the Office of Tissues and Advanced Therapies (OTAT) is responsible for gene therapy review and evaluation. CBER works closely with the NIH and its RAC, which makes recommendations to the NIH on gene therapy issues and engages in a public discussion of scientific, safety, ethical and societal issues related to proposed and ongoing gene therapy protocols. The FDA and the NIH have published guidance documents with respect to the development and submission of gene therapy protocols. The FDA also has published guidance documents related to, among other things, gene therapy products in general, their preclinical assessment, observing subjects involved in gene therapy studies for delayed adverse events, viral shedding, environmental assessments, potency testing, and chemistry, manufacturing and control information in gene therapy INDs. FDA guidance documents provide the agency's current thinking about a particular subject but are not legally binding.

U.S. Biological Products Development Process

The process required by the FDA before a biological product may be marketed in the United States generally involves the following:

- completion of nonclinical laboratory tests and animal studies according to good laboratory practices, or GLPs, and applicable requirements for the humane use of laboratory animals or other applicable regulations;
- preparation of clinical trial material in accordance with cGMPs;
- submission to the FDA of an application for an IND, which must become effective before human clinical trials may begin;
- approval by an institutional review board, or IRB, reviewing each clinical site before each clinical trial may be initiated;
- approval by an institutional biosafety committee, or IBC, assessing the safety of the clinical research and identifying any potential risk to public health or the environment;

- performance of adequate and well-controlled human clinical trials according to the FDA's regulations commonly referred to as good clinical practice, or GCPs, and any additional requirements for the protection of human research subjects and their health information, to establish the safety, purity, potency, and efficacy, of the proposed biological product for its intended use;
- submission to the FDA of a BLA, for marketing approval that includes substantive evidence of safety, purity, potency, and efficacy from results of nonclinical testing and clinical trials;
- satisfactory completion of an FDA inspection prior to BLA approval of the manufacturing facility or facilities where the biological product is produced to assess compliance with cGMP, to assure that the facilities, methods and controls are adequate to preserve the biological product's identity, strength, quality and purity;
- potential FDA audit of the nonclinical and clinical study sites that generated the data in support of the BLA;
- potential FDA Advisory Committee meeting to elicit expert input on critical issues and including a vote by external Committee members;
- FDA review and approval, or licensure, of the BLA, and payment of associated user fees; and
- compliance with any post approval requirements, including the potential requirement to implement a Risk Evaluation and Mitigation Strategy, or REMS, and the potential requirement to conduct post approval studies.

Before testing any biological product candidate, including a gene therapy product, in humans, the product candidate enters the preclinical testing stage. Preclinical tests, also referred to as nonclinical tests, include laboratory evaluations of product chemistry, toxicity and formulation, as well as animal studies to assess the potential safety and activity of the product candidate. The conduct of the preclinical tests must comply with federal regulations and requirements including GLPs.

Previously, when a gene therapy study was conducted at, or sponsored by, institutions receiving NIH funding for recombinant DNA research, prior to the submission of an IND to the FDA, a protocol and related documentation was to be submitted to and the study was registered with the NIH Office of Biotechnology Activities, or OBA, pursuant to the NIH Guidelines for Research Involving Recombinant DNA Molecules, or NIH Guidelines. Compliance with the NIH Guidelines was mandatory for investigators at institutions receiving NIH funds for research involving recombinant DNA, however many companies and other institutions not otherwise subject to the NIH Guidelines had voluntarily followed them. Under an FDA and NIH proposal in 2018, the role of the Recombinant DNA Advisory Committee, or RAC, in reviewing gene therapy protocols would be entirely eliminated and sponsors would no longer be required to submit reports to NIH on such protocols. Going forward, NIH says the RAC will continue to function as an advisory board to NIH on emerging fields such as gene editing, synthetic biology and neurotechnology.

The FDA has issued various guidance documents regarding gene therapies, including recent final guidance documents released in January 2020 relating to chemistry, manufacturing and controls information for gene therapy INDs, gene therapies for rare diseases and gene therapies for retinal disorders. Although the FDA has indicated that these and other guidance documents it previously issued are not legally binding, we believe that our compliance with them is likely necessary to gain approval for any gene therapy product candidate we may develop. The guidance documents provide additional factors that the FDA will consider at each of the above stages of development and relate to, among other things, the proper preclinical assessment of gene therapies; the chemistry, manufacturing, and control information that should be included in an IND application; the proper design of tests to measure product potency in support of an IND or BLA application; and measures to observe delayed adverse effects in subjects who have been exposed to investigational gene therapies when the risk of such effects is high. Further, the FDA usually recommends that sponsors observe subjects for potential gene therapy-related delayed adverse events for a 15-year period, including a minimum of five years of annual examinations followed by 10 years of annual queries, either in person or by questionnaire.

The clinical study sponsor must submit the results of the preclinical tests, together with manufacturing information, analytical data, any available clinical data or literature and a proposed clinical protocol, to the FDA as part

of the IND. Some preclinical testing typically continues after the IND is submitted. An IND is an exemption from the FDCA that allows an unapproved product to be shipped in interstate commerce for use in an investigational clinical trial and a request for FDA authorization to administer an investigational product to humans. The IND automatically becomes effective 30 days after receipt by the FDA, unless the FDA requests certain changes to a protocol before the study can begin, or the FDA places the clinical study on a clinical hold within that 30-day time period. In such a case, the IND sponsor and the FDA must resolve any outstanding concerns before the clinical study can begin. With gene therapy protocols, if the FDA allows the IND to proceed, but the RAC decides that full public review of the protocol is warranted, the FDA will request at the completion of its IND review that sponsors delay initiation of the protocol until after completion of the RAC review process. The FDA may also impose clinical holds on a biological product candidate at any time before or during clinical trials due to safety concerns or non-compliance. If the FDA imposes a clinical hold, studies may not recommence without FDA authorization and then only under terms authorized by the FDA. Accordingly, we cannot be sure that submission of an IND will result in the FDA allowing clinical trials to begin, or that, once begun, issues will not arise that suspend or terminate such studies.

Clinical trials involve the administration of the biological product candidate to healthy volunteers or subjects under the supervision of qualified investigators, generally physicians not employed by or under the study sponsor's control. Clinical trials are conducted under protocols detailing, among other things, the objectives of the clinical study, dosing procedures, subject selection and exclusion criteria, and the parameters to be used to monitor subject safety, including stopping rules that assure a clinical study will be stopped if certain adverse events should occur. Each protocol and any amendments to the protocol must be submitted to the FDA as part of the IND. Clinical trials must be conducted and monitored in accordance with the FDA's regulations comprising the GCP requirements, including the requirement that all research subjects provide informed consent. Further, each clinical study must be reviewed and approved by an independent IRB, at or servicing each institution at which the clinical study will be conducted. An IRB is charged with protecting the welfare and rights of study participants and considers such items as whether the risks to individuals participating in the clinical trials are minimized and are reasonable in relation to anticipated benefits. The IRB also approves the form and content of the informed consent that must be signed by each clinical study subject or his or her legal representative and must monitor the clinical study until completed. Additionally, some trials are overseen by an independent group of qualified experts organized by the trial sponsor, known as a data safety monitoring board or committee. Clinical trials involving recombinant or synthetic (or both) nucleic acid molecules performed at or sponsored by an institution that receives any NIH funding for such research also must be reviewed by an IBC, a local institutional committee that reviews and oversees basic and clinical research conducted at that institution. The IBC assesses the safety of the research and identifies any potential risk to public health or the environment.

Human clinical trials are typically conducted in three sequential phases that may overlap or be combined:

- *Phase 1.* The biological product is initially introduced into healthy human subjects and tested for safety. In the case of some products for severe or life-threatening diseases, especially when the product may be too inherently toxic to ethically administer to healthy volunteers, the initial human testing is often conducted in patients. Guidelines on clinical trials with gene therapy products issued by OTAT state that the FDA has determined that the benefit-risk ratio of these products does not warrant their evaluation in healthy human subjects.
- *Phase 2.* The biological product is evaluated in a limited patient population to identify possible adverse effects and safety risks, to preliminarily evaluate the efficacy of the product for specific targeted diseases and to determine dosage tolerance, optimal dosage and dosing schedule.
- *Phase 3.* Clinical trials are undertaken to further evaluate dosage, clinical efficacy, potency and safety in an expanded patient population at geographically dispersed clinical trial sites. These clinical trials are intended to establish the overall risk/benefit ratio of the product and provide an adequate basis for product labeling.

Post-approval clinical trials, sometimes referred to as Phase 4 clinical trials, may be conducted after initial marketing approval. These clinical trials are used to gain additional experience from the treatment of patients in the intended therapeutic indication, particularly for long-term safety follow-up. The FDA recommends that sponsors observe subjects for potential gene therapy-related delayed adverse events for a 15-year period, including a minimum of five

years of annual examinations followed by ten years of annual queries, either in person or by questionnaire, of trial subjects.

During all phases of clinical development, regulatory agencies require extensive monitoring and auditing of all clinical activities, clinical data, and clinical trial investigators. Annual progress reports detailing the results of the clinical trials must be submitted to the FDA. Written IND safety reports must be promptly submitted to the FDA, the NIH and the investigators for serious and unexpected adverse events, any findings from other studies, tests in laboratory animals or *in vitro* testing that suggest a significant risk for human subjects, or any clinically important increase in the rate of a serious suspected adverse reaction over that listed in the protocol or investigator brochure. The sponsor must submit an IND safety report within 15 calendar days after the sponsor determines that the information qualifies for reporting. The sponsor also must notify the FDA of any unexpected fatal or life-threatening suspected adverse reaction within seven calendar days after the sponsor's initial receipt of the information. Phase 1, Phase 2, and Phase 3 clinical trials may not be completed successfully within any specified period, if at all. The FDA or the sponsor or its data safety monitoring board may suspend a clinical trial at any time on various grounds, including a finding that the research subjects or patients are being exposed to an unacceptable health risk. Similarly, an IRB can suspend or terminate approval of a clinical trial at its institution if the clinical trial is not being conducted in accordance with the IRB's requirements or if the biological product has been associated with unexpected serious harm to patients.

Human gene therapy products are a new category of therapeutics. Because this is a relatively new and expanding area of novel therapeutic interventions, there can be no assurance as to the length of the study period, the number of patients the FDA will require to be enrolled in the studies in order to establish the safety, efficacy, purity and potency of human gene therapy products, or that the data generated in these studies will be acceptable to the FDA to support marketing approval. The NIH and the FDA have a publicly accessible database, the Genetic Modification Clinical Research Information System which includes information on gene transfer studies and serves as an electronic tool to facilitate the reporting and analysis of adverse events on these studies. The FDA has issued various guidance documents regarding gene therapies, including draft guidance documents released in July 2018 relating to gene therapies for human retinal disorders and gene therapies for rare diseases, and on January 15, 2019, the FDA issued a statement that it would issue additional guidance to facilitate the development of gene therapy products.

Concurrent with clinical trials, companies usually complete additional animal studies and must also develop additional information about the physical characteristics of the biological product as well as finalize a process for manufacturing the product in commercial quantities in accordance with cGMP requirements. To help reduce the risk of the introduction of adventitious agents with use of biological products, the PHS Act emphasizes the importance of manufacturing control for products whose attributes cannot be precisely defined. The manufacturing process must be capable of consistently producing quality batches of the product candidate and, among other things, the sponsor must develop methods for testing the identity, strength, quality, potency and purity of the final biological product. Additionally, appropriate packaging must be selected and tested and stability studies must be conducted to demonstrate that the biological product candidate does not undergo unacceptable deterioration over its shelf life.

Information about certain clinical trials must be submitted within specific timeframes to the NIH for public dissemination on its ClinicalTrials.gov website. Similar requirements for posting clinical trial information are present in the European Union and other countries.

Expanded Access to an Investigational Drug for Treatment Use

Expanded access, sometimes called "compassionate use," is the use of investigational new drug products outside of clinical trials to treat patients with serious or immediately life-threatening diseases or conditions when there are no comparable or satisfactory alternative treatment options. The rules and regulations related to expanded access are intended to improve access to investigational drugs for patients who may benefit from investigational therapies. FDA regulations allow access to investigational drugs under an IND by the company or the treating physician for treatment purposes on a case-by-case basis for: individual patients (single-patient IND applications for treatment in emergency settings and non-emergency settings); intermediate-size patient populations; and larger populations for use of the drug under a treatment protocol or Treatment IND Application.

When considering an IND application for expanded access to an investigational product with the purpose of treating a patient or a group of patients, the sponsor and treating physicians or investigators will determine suitability when all of the following criteria apply: patient(s) have a serious or immediately life-threatening disease or condition, and there is no comparable or satisfactory alternative therapy to diagnose, monitor, or treat the disease or condition; the potential patient benefit justifies the potential risks of the treatment and the potential risks are not unreasonable in the context or condition to be treated; and the expanded use of the investigational drug for the requested treatment will not interfere initiation, conduct, or completion of clinical investigations that could support marketing approval of the product or otherwise compromise the potential development of the product.

On December 13, 2016, the 21st Century Cures Act established (and the 2017 Food and Drug Administration Reauthorization Act later amended) a requirement that sponsors of one or more investigational drugs for the treatment of a serious disease(s) or condition(s) make publicly available their policy for evaluating and responding to requests for expanded access for individual patients. Although these requirements were rolled out over time, they have now come into full effect. This provision requires drug and biologic companies to make publicly available their policies for expanded access for individual patient access to products intended for serious diseases.

Sponsors are required to make such policies publicly available upon the earlier of initiation of a Phase 2 or Phase 3 study; or 15 days after the drug or biologic receives designation as a breakthrough therapy, fast track product, or regenerative medicine advanced therapy.

In addition, on May 30, 2018, the Right to Try Act was signed into law. The law, among other things, provides a federal framework for certain patients to access certain investigational new drug products that have completed a Phase I clinical trial and that are undergoing investigation for FDA approval. Under certain circumstances, eligible patients can seek treatment without enrolling in clinical trials and without obtaining FDA permission under the FDA expanded access program. There is no obligation for a drug manufacturer to make its drug products available to eligible patients as a result of the Right to Try Act, but the manufacturer must develop an internal policy and respond to patient requests according to that policy.

U.S. Review and Approval Processes

After the completion of clinical trials of a biological product, FDA approval of a BLA, must be obtained before commercial marketing of the biological product. The BLA must include results of product development, laboratory and animal studies, human studies, information on the manufacture and composition of the product, proposed labeling and other relevant information. In addition, under the Pediatric Research Equity Act, or PREA, a BLA or supplement to a BLA must contain data to assess the safety and effectiveness of the biological product for the claimed indications in all relevant pediatric subpopulations and to support dosing and administration for each pediatric subpopulation for which the product is safe and effective. The FDA may grant deferrals for submission of data or full or partial waivers. Unless otherwise required by regulation, PREA does not apply to any biological product for an indication for which orphan designation has been granted. The testing and approval processes require substantial time and effort and there can be no assurance that the FDA will accept the BLA for filing and, even if filed, that any approval will be granted on a timely basis, if at all.

Under the Prescription Drug User Fee Act, or PDUFA, as amended, each BLA must be accompanied by a significant user fee. Under federal law, the submission of most applications is subject to an application user fee, which for federal fiscal year 2020 is \$2,942,965 for an application requiring clinical data. The sponsor of an approved application is also subject to an annual program fee, which for fiscal year 2020 is \$325,424. Fee waivers or reductions are available in certain circumstances, including a waiver of the application fee for the first application filed by a small business. Additionally, no user fees are assessed on BLAs for product candidates designated as orphan drugs, unless the product candidate also includes a non-orphan indication.

Within 60 days following submission of the application, the FDA reviews a BLA submitted to determine if it is substantially complete before the agency accepts it for filing. The FDA may refuse to file any BLA that it deems incomplete or not properly reviewable at the time of submission and may request additional information. In this event,

the BLA must be resubmitted with the additional information. The resubmitted application also is subject to review before the FDA accepts it for filing. The application also needs to be published and submitted in an electronic format that can be processed through the FDA's electronic systems. If the electronic submission is not compatible with FDA's systems, the BLA can be refused to file. Once the submission is accepted for filing, the FDA begins an in-depth substantive review of the BLA. The FDA reviews the BLA to determine, among other things, whether the proposed product is safe, potent, and effective, for its intended use, and has an acceptable purity profile, and whether the product is being manufactured in accordance with cGMP to assure and preserve the product's identity, safety, strength, quality, potency and purity. The FDA may refer applications for novel biological products or biological products that present difficult questions of safety or efficacy to an advisory committee, typically a panel that includes clinicians and other experts, for review, evaluation and a recommendation as to whether the application should be approved and under what conditions. The FDA is not bound by the recommendations of an advisory committee, but it considers such recommendations carefully when making decisions. During the biological product approval process, the FDA also will determine whether a Risk Evaluation and Mitigation Strategy, or REMS, is necessary to assure the safe use of the biological product. If the FDA concludes a REMS is needed, the sponsor of the BLA must submit a proposed REMS; the FDA will not approve the BLA without a REMS, if required.

Before approving a BLA, the FDA will inspect the facilities at which the product is manufactured. The FDA will not approve the product unless it determines that the manufacturing processes and facilities are in compliance with cGMP requirements and adequate to assure consistent production of the product within required specifications. Additionally, before approving a BLA, the FDA will typically inspect one or more clinical trial sites to assure that the clinical trials were conducted in compliance with IND study requirements and GCP requirements. To assure cGMP and GCP compliance, an applicant must incur significant expenditure of time, money and effort in the areas of training, record keeping, production, and quality control.

Finally, for a gene therapy product, the FDA also will not approve the product if the manufacturer is not in compliance with good tissue practices, or GTP. These standards are found in FDA regulations and guidance that govern the methods used in, and the facilities and controls used for, the manufacture of human cells, tissues, and cellular and tissue based products, or HCT/Ps, which are human cells or tissue intended for implantation, transplant, infusion, or transfer into a human recipient. The primary intent of the GTP requirements is to ensure that cell and tissue based products are manufactured in a manner designed to prevent the introduction, transmission, and spread of communicable disease. FDA regulations also require tissue establishments to register and list their HCT/Ps with the FDA and, when applicable, to evaluate donors through screening and testing.

Notwithstanding the submission of relevant data and information, the FDA may ultimately decide that the BLA does not satisfy its regulatory criteria for approval and deny approval. Data obtained from clinical trials are not always conclusive and the FDA may interpret data differently than we interpret the same data. If the agency decides not to approve the BLA in its present form, the FDA will issue a complete response letter that usually describes all of the specific deficiencies in the BLA identified by the FDA. The deficiencies identified may be minor, for example, requiring labeling changes, or major, for example, requiring additional clinical trials. Additionally, the complete response letter may include recommended actions that the applicant might take to place the application in a condition for approval. If a complete response letter is issued, the applicant may either resubmit the BLA, addressing all of the deficiencies identified in the letter, or withdraw the application.

If a product receives regulatory approval, the approval may be significantly limited to specific diseases and dosages or the indications for use may otherwise be limited, which could restrict the commercial value of the product. Further, the FDA may require that certain contraindications, warnings or precautions be included in the product labeling. The FDA may impose restrictions and conditions on product distribution, prescribing, or dispensing in the form of a risk management plan, or otherwise limit the scope of any approval. In addition, the FDA may require post marketing clinical trials, sometimes referred to as Phase 4 clinical trials, designed to further assess a biological product's safety and effectiveness, and testing and surveillance programs to monitor the safety of approved products that have been commercialized. As a condition for approval, the FDA may also require additional non-clinical testing as a Phase 4 commitment.

One of the performance goals agreed to by the FDA under the PDUFA is to review standard BLAs in 10 months from filing and priority BLAs in six months from filing, whereupon a review decision is to be made. The FDA does not always meet its PDUFA goal dates for standard and priority BLAs and its review goals are subject to change from time to time. The review process and the PDUFA goal date may be extended by three months if the FDA requests or the BLA sponsor otherwise provides additional information or clarification regarding information already provided in the submission within the last three months before the PDUFA goal date.

Post-Approval Requirements

Maintaining substantial compliance with applicable federal, state, and local statutes and regulations requires the expenditure of substantial time and financial resources. Rigorous and extensive FDA regulation of biological products continues after approval, particularly with respect to cGMP. We will rely, and expect to continue to rely, on third parties for the production of clinical and commercial quantities of any products that we may commercialize. Manufacturers of our products are required to comply with applicable requirements in the cGMP regulations, including quality control and quality assurance and maintenance of records and documentation. Following approval, the manufacturing facilities are subject to biennial inspections by the FDA's biologics team and such inspections may result in an issuance of FDA Form 483 deficiency observations or a warning letter, which can lead to plant shutdown and other more serious penalties and fines. Prior to the institution of any manufacturing changes, a determination needs to be made whether FDA approval is required in advance. If not done in accordance with FDA expectations, the FDA may restrict supply and may take further action. Annual product reports are required to be submitted annually. Other post-approval requirements applicable to biological products, include reporting of cGMP deviations that may affect the identity, potency, purity and overall safety of a distributed product, record-keeping requirements, reporting of adverse effects, reporting updated safety and efficacy information, and complying with electronic record and signature requirements. After a BLA is approved, the product also may be subject to official lot release. As part of the manufacturing process, the manufacturer is required to perform certain tests on each lot of the product before it is released for distribution. If the product is subject to official release by the FDA, the manufacturer submits samples of each lot of product to the FDA together with a release protocol showing a summary of the history of manufacture of the lot and the results of all of the manufacturer's tests performed on the lot. The FDA also may perform certain confirmatory tests on lots of some products, such as viral vaccines, before releasing the lots for distribution by the manufacturer. In addition, the FDA conducts laboratory research related to the regulatory standards on the safety, purity, potency, and effectiveness of biological products. Systems need to be put in place to record and evaluate adverse events reported by health care providers and patients and to assess product complaints. An increase in severity or new adverse events can result in labeling changes or product recall. Defects in manufacturing of commercial products can result in product recalls.

We also must comply with the FDA's advertising and promotion requirements, such as those related to direct-to-consumer advertising, the prohibition on promoting products for uses or in patient populations that are not described in the product's approved labeling (known as "off-label use"), industry-sponsored scientific and educational activities, and promotional activities involving the internet. Discovery of previously unknown problems or the failure to comply with the applicable regulatory requirements may result in restrictions on the marketing of a product or withdrawal of the product from the market as well as possible civil or criminal sanctions. Failure to comply with the applicable U.S. requirements at any time during the product development process, approval process or after approval, may subject an applicant or manufacturer to administrative or judicial civil or criminal sanctions and adverse publicity. FDA sanctions could include refusal to approve pending applications, withdrawal of an approval or license revocation, clinical hold, warning or untitled letters, product recalls, product seizures, total or partial suspension of production or distribution, injunctions, fines, refusals of government contracts, mandated corrective advertising or communications with doctors, debarment, restitution, disgorgement of profits, or civil or criminal penalties. Any agency or judicial enforcement action could have a material adverse effect on us.

Biological product manufacturers and other entities involved in the manufacture and distribution of approved biological products are required to register their establishments with the FDA and certain state agencies, and are subject to periodic unannounced inspections by the FDA and certain state agencies for compliance with cGMPs and other laws. Accordingly, manufacturers must continue to expend time, money, and effort in the area of production and quality

control to maintain cGMP compliance. Discovery of problems with a product after approval may result in restrictions on a product, manufacturer, or holder of an approved BLA, including withdrawal of the product from the market. In addition, changes to the manufacturing process or facility generally require prior FDA approval before being implemented and other types of changes to the approved product, such as adding new indications and additional labeling claims, are also subject to further FDA review and approval.

Orphan Drug Designation

Under the Orphan Drug Act, the FDA may grant Orphan Drug Designation, or ODD, to a drug or biological product intended to treat a rare disease or condition, which is generally a disease or condition that affects fewer than 200,000 individuals in the United States, or more than 200,000 individuals in the United States and for which there is no reasonable expectation that the cost of developing and making a drug or biological product available in the United States for this type of disease or condition will be recovered from sales of the product. ODD must be requested before submitting a BLA. After the FDA grants ODD, the identity of the therapeutic agent and its potential orphan use are disclosed publicly by the FDA. ODD does not convey any advantage in or shorten the duration of the regulatory review and approval process.

If a product that has ODD receives the first FDA approval for the disease or condition for which it has such designation, the product is entitled to orphan product exclusivity, which means that the FDA may not approve any other applications to market the same biological product for the same indication for seven years, except in limited circumstances, such as not being able to supply the product for patients or showing clinical superiority to the product with orphan exclusivity. This is the case despite an earlier court opinion holding that the Orphan Drug Act unambiguously required the FDA to recognize orphan exclusivity regardless of a showing of clinical superiority.

Competitors, however, may receive approval of different products for the indication for which the orphan product has exclusivity or obtain approval for the same product but for a different indication for which the orphan product has exclusivity. In particular, the concept of what constitutes the "same drug" for purposes of orphan drug exclusivity remains in flux in the context of gene therapies, and the FDA has issued draft guidance suggesting that it would not consider two gene therapy products to be different drugs solely based on minor differences in the transgenes or vectors. Orphan product exclusivity also could block the approval of one of our products for seven years if a competitor obtains approval of the same biological product as defined by the FDA or if our product candidate is determined to be contained within the competitor's product for the same indication or disease. If a biological product designated as an orphan product receives marketing approval for an indication broader than what is designated, it may not be entitled to orphan product exclusivity.

Expedited Review and Approval Programs

The FDA has various programs, including fast track designation, priority review, accelerated approval, and breakthrough therapy designation, that are intended to expedite or simplify the process for the development and FDA review of biological products that are intended for the treatment of serious or life-threatening diseases or conditions and demonstrate the potential to address unmet medical needs. The purpose of these programs is to provide important new biological products to patients earlier than under standard FDA review procedures. To be eligible for a fast track designation, the FDA must determine, based on the request of a sponsor, that a biological product is intended to treat a serious or life-threatening disease or condition and demonstrates the potential to address an unmet medical need. The FDA will determine that a product will fill an unmet medical need if it will provide a therapy where none exists or provide a therapy that may be potentially superior to existing therapy based on efficacy or safety factors. In addition to other benefits, such as the ability to have greater interactions with the FDA, the FDA may initiate review of sections of a Fast Track BLA before the application is complete, a process known as rolling review.

The FDA may give a priority review designation to biological products that treats a serious condition and, if approved, would provide a significant improvement in safety or effectiveness. A priority review means that the goal for the FDA to review an application is six months, rather than the standard review of ten months under current PDUFA

guidelines. Most products that are eligible for fast track designation may also be considered appropriate to receive a priority review.

In addition, biological products studied for their safety and effectiveness in treating serious or life-threatening illnesses and that provide meaningful therapeutic benefit over existing treatments may receive accelerated approval and may be approved on the basis of adequate and well-controlled clinical trials establishing that the biological product has an effect on a surrogate endpoint that is reasonably likely to predict clinical benefit, or on a clinical endpoint that can be measured earlier than irreversible morbidity or mortality, that is reasonably likely to predict an effect on irreversible morbidity or mortality or other clinical benefit, taking into account the severity, rarity or prevalence of the condition and the availability or lack of alternative treatments. As a condition of approval, the FDA may require a sponsor of a biological product receiving accelerated approval to perform post-marketing studies to verify and describe the predicted effect on irreversible morbidity or mortality or other clinical endpoint, and the biological product may be subject to accelerated withdrawal procedures.

Moreover, under the Food and Drug Administration Safety and Innovation Act enacted in 2012, a sponsor can request designation of a product candidate as a “breakthrough therapy.” A breakthrough therapy is defined as a biological product that is intended, alone or in combination with one or more other drugs, to treat a serious or life-threatening disease or condition, and preliminary clinical evidence indicates that the biological product may demonstrate substantial improvement over existing therapies on one or more clinically significant endpoints, such as substantial treatment effects observed early in clinical development. Biological products designated as breakthrough therapies are also eligible for accelerated approval. The FDA must take certain actions, such as holding timely meetings and providing advice, intended to expedite the development and review of an application for approval of a breakthrough therapy.

Even if a product qualifies for one or more of these programs, the FDA may later decide that the product no longer meets the conditions for qualification or decide that the time period for FDA review or approval will not be shortened. Furthermore, fast track designation, priority review, accelerated approval and breakthrough therapy designation, do not change the standards for approval and may not ultimately expedite the development or approval process.

Expedited programs for regenerative medicine therapies for serious conditions

As part of the 21st Century Cures Act, Congress amended the FDCA to create an expedited development and approval program for regenerative medicine advanced therapies, which include cell therapies, therapeutic tissue engineering products, human cell and tissue products, and combination products using any such therapies or products. As of November 2017, the FDA has interpreted this definition as follows: gene therapies, including genetically modified cells, that lead to a durable modification of cells or tissues may meet the definition of a regenerative medicine advanced therapy. The FDA has now determined that ‘*in vitro*’ gene therapies will qualify as a regenerative medicine advanced therapy based on this definition. VY-AADC (NBIB-1817) is an ‘*in vitro*’ gene therapy for Parkinson’s disease and received this designation on June 18, 2018. Regenerative medicine advanced therapies do not include those human cells, tissues, and cellular and tissue-based products regulated solely under section 361 of the Public Health Service Act and 21 CFR Part 1271. The new program is intended to facilitate efficient development and expedite review of regenerative medicine advanced therapies, which are intended to treat, modify, reverse, or cure a serious or life-threatening disease or condition.

A drug sponsor may request that the FDA designate a drug as a regenerative medicine advanced therapy concurrently with or at any time after submission of an IND. The FDA has 60 calendar days to determine whether the drug meets the criteria, including whether there is preliminary clinical evidence indicating that the drug has the potential to address unmet medical needs for a serious or life-threatening disease or condition. A new drug application or BLA for a regenerative medicine advanced therapy may be eligible for priority review or accelerated approval through (i) surrogate or intermediate endpoints reasonably likely to predict long-term clinical benefit or (ii) reliance upon data obtained from a meaningful number of sites. Benefits of such designation also include early interactions with the FDA to discuss any potential surrogate or intermediate endpoint to be used to support accelerated approval. A regenerative medicine advanced therapy that is granted accelerated approval and is subject to post-approval requirements may fulfill

such requirements through the submission of clinical evidence, clinical studies, patient registries, or other sources of real world evidence, such as electronic health records; the collection of larger confirmatory data sets; or post-approval monitoring of all patients treated with such therapy prior to its approval.

U.S. Patent Term Restoration

Depending upon the timing, duration and specifics of the FDA approval of the use of our product candidates, some of our U.S. patents may be eligible for limited patent term extension under the Drug Price Competition and Patent Term Restoration Act of 1984, commonly referred to as the Hatch-Waxman Amendments. The Hatch-Waxman Amendments permit a patent restoration term of up to five years as compensation for patent term lost during product development and the FDA regulatory review process. However, patent term restoration cannot extend the remaining term of a patent beyond a total of 14 years from the product's approval date. The patent term restoration period is generally one-half the time between the effective date of an IND and the submission date of a BLA plus the time between the submission date of a BLA and the approval of that application, less any time the applicant failed to act with due diligence. Only one patent applicable to an approved biological product is eligible for the extension and the application for the extension must be submitted prior to the expiration of the patent. The U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, in consultation with the FDA, reviews and approves the application for any patent term extension or restoration. In the future, we may intend to apply for restoration of patent term for one of our currently owned or licensed patents to add patent life beyond its current expiration date, depending on the expected length of the clinical trials and other factors involved in the filing of the relevant BLA.

Market and Data Exclusivity

The 2010 Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, or the ACA, which was signed into law on March 23, 2010, included a subtitle called the Biologics Price Competition and Innovation Act of 2009 or BPCIA. The BPCIA established a regulatory scheme authorizing the FDA to approve biosimilars and interchangeable biosimilars. As of January 1, 2020, the FDA has approved 26 biosimilar products for use in the United States. No interchangeable biosimilars have been approved. The FDA has issued several guidance documents outlining an approach to review and approval of biosimilars. Additional guidance is expected to be finalized by FDA in the near term.

Under the BPCIA, a manufacturer may submit an application for licensure of a biologic product that is "biosimilar to" or "interchangeable with" a previously approved biological product or "reference product." In order for the FDA to approve a biosimilar product, it must find that there are no clinically meaningful differences between the reference product and proposed biosimilar product in terms of safety, purity and potency. For the FDA to approve a biosimilar product as interchangeable with a reference product, the FDA must find that the biosimilar product can be expected to produce the same clinical results as the reference product, and (for products administered multiple times) that the biologic and the reference biologic may be switched after one has been previously administered without increasing safety risks or risks of diminished efficacy relative to exclusive use of the reference biologic.

Under the BPCIA, an application for a biosimilar product may not be submitted to the FDA until four years following the date of approval of the reference product. The FDA may not approve a biosimilar product until 12 years from the date on which the reference product was approved. Even if a product is considered to be a reference product eligible for exclusivity, another company could market a competing version of that product if the FDA approves a full BLA for such product containing the sponsor's own preclinical data and data from adequate and well-controlled clinical trials to demonstrate the safety, purity and potency of their product. The BPCIA also created certain exclusivity periods for biosimilars approved as interchangeable products. At this juncture, it is unclear whether products deemed "interchangeable" by the FDA will, in fact, be readily substituted by pharmacies, which are governed by state pharmacy law.

Pediatric Exclusivity

Pediatric exclusivity is another type of non-patent exclusivity in the United States and, if granted, provides for the attachment of an additional six months of marketing protection to the term of any existing regulatory exclusivity or

patent protection, including the non-patent and orphan exclusivity. This six-month exclusivity may be granted if an application sponsor submits pediatric data that fairly respond to a written request from the FDA for such data. The data do not need to show the product to be effective in the pediatric population studied; rather, if the clinical trial is deemed to fairly respond to the FDA's request, the additional protection is granted. If reports of requested pediatric studies are submitted to and accepted by the FDA within the statutory time limits, whatever statutory or regulatory periods of exclusivity or patent protection cover the product are extended by six months. Thus, pediatric exclusivity adds six months to existing exclusivity periods applicable to biological products under the BPCIA—namely, the four-year period during which the FDA will not consider an application for a biosimilar product, and the 12-year period during which the FDA will not approve a biosimilar application.

Other Healthcare Laws

Although we currently do not have any products on the market, we may be subject to additional healthcare regulation and enforcement by the federal government and by authorities in the states in which we conduct our business. Such laws include, without limitation, state and federal anti-kickback, fraud and abuse, false claims, privacy and security and physician sunshine laws and regulations, many of which may become more applicable to us if our product candidates are approved and we begin commercialization. If our operations are found to be in violation of any of such laws or any other governmental regulations that apply to us, we may be subject to penalties, including, without limitation, administrative, civil and criminal penalties, damages, fines, disgorgement, the curtailment or restructuring of our operations, exclusion from participation in federal and state healthcare programs and imprisonment, any of which could adversely affect our ability to operate our business and our financial results.

In addition, the ACA is intended to broaden access to health insurance, reduce or constrain the growth of healthcare spending, enhance remedies against fraud and abuse, add transparency requirements for the healthcare and health insurance industries, impose taxes and fees on the health industry and impose additional health policy reforms. With regard to biopharmaceutical products, in addition to the Biologics Price Competition and Innovation Act of 2009 included in the ACA, among other things, the ACA expanded and increased industry rebates for drugs covered under Medicaid programs and made changes to the coverage requirements under the Medicare prescription drug benefit. Some of the provisions of the ACA have yet to be fully implemented, while certain provisions have been subject to judicial and Congressional challenges. In January 2017, Congress voted to adopt a budget resolution for fiscal year 2017, that while not a law, is widely viewed as the first step toward the passage of legislation that would repeal certain aspects of the ACA.

The current administration has also taken executive actions to undermine or delay implementation of the ACA. Since January 2017, President Trump has signed two Executive Orders which delay the implementation of certain provisions of the ACA or otherwise circumvent some of the requirements for health insurance mandated by the ACA. One Executive Order directs federal agencies with authorities and responsibilities under the ACA to waive, defer, grant exemptions from, or delay the implementation of any provision of the ACA that would impose a fiscal or regulatory burden on states, individuals, healthcare providers, health insurers, or manufacturers of pharmaceuticals or medical devices. The second Executive Order terminates the cost-sharing subsidies that reimburse insurers under the ACA. Several state Attorneys General filed suit to stop the Trump administration from terminating the subsidies, but their request for a restraining order was denied by a federal judge in California on October 25, 2017. In addition, the Center for Medicare & Medicaid Services has recently proposed regulations that would give states greater flexibility in setting benchmarks for insurers in the individual and small group marketplaces, which may have the effect of relaxing the essential health benefits required under the ACA for plans sold through such marketplaces. Further, on June 14, 2018, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit ruled that the federal government was not required to pay more than \$12.0 billion in ACA risk corridor payments to third-party payors who argued were owed to them. That decision is under review by the U.S. Supreme Court during its current term. This decision is under review by the U.S. Supreme Court during its current term. The full effects of this gap in reimbursement on third-party payors, the viability of the ACA marketplace, providers, and potentially our business, are not yet known.

Since enactment of the ACA, there have been, and continue to be, numerous legal challenges and Congressional actions to repeal and replace provisions of the law. For example, with enactment of the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act of 2017, known as the TCJA, which was signed by President Trump on December 22, 2017, Congress repealed the “individual mandate.” The repeal of this provision, which requires most Americans to carry a minimal level of health insurance, became effective in 2019. Additionally, the 2020 federal spending package permanently eliminated, effective January 1, 2020, the ACA-mandated “Cadillac” tax on high-cost employer-sponsored health coverage and medical device tax and, effective January 1, 2021, also eliminates the health insurer tax. Further, the Bipartisan Budget Act of 2018, among other things, amended the ACA, effective January 1, 2019, to increase from 50 percent to 70 percent the point-of-sale discount that is owed by pharmaceutical manufacturers who participate in Medicare Part D and to close the coverage gap in most Medicare drug plans, commonly referred to as the “donut hole.” The Congress may consider other legislation to replace elements of the ACA.

In addition, on December 14, 2018, a U.S. District Court judge in the Northern District of Texas ruled that the individual mandate portion of the ACA is an essential and inseparable feature of the ACA, and therefore because the mandate was repealed as part of the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act, the remaining provisions of the ACA are invalid as well. The Trump administration and CMS have both stated that the ruling will have no immediate effect, and on December 30, 2018 the same judge issued an order staying the judgment pending appeal. The Trump Administration recently represented to the Court of Appeals considering this judgment that it does not oppose the lower court’s ruling. On July 10, 2019, the Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit heard oral argument in this case. On December 18, 2019, that court affirmed the lower court’s ruling that the individual mandate portion of the ACA is unconstitutional and it remanded the case to the district court for reconsideration of the severability question and additional analysis of the provisions of the ACA. On January 21, 2020, the U.S. Supreme Court declined to review this decision on an expedited basis. Litigation and legislation over the ACA are likely to continue, with unpredictable and uncertain results.

Further, there have been several recent U.S. congressional inquiries and proposed federal and proposed and enacted state legislation designed to, among other things, bring more transparency to drug pricing, review the relationship between pricing and manufacturer patient programs, reduce the costs of drugs under Medicare and reform government program reimbursement methodologies for drug products. For example, there have been several recent U.S. congressional inquiries and proposed federal and proposed and enacted state legislation designed to, among other things, bring more transparency to drug pricing, review the relationship between pricing and manufacturer patient programs, reduce the costs of drugs under Medicare and reform government program reimbursement methodologies for drug products. At the federal level, Congress and the Trump administration have each indicated that it will continue to seek new legislative and/or administrative measures to control drug costs. For example, on May 11, 2018, the Trump administration issued a plan to lower drug prices. Under this blueprint for action, the Trump administration indicated that the Department of Health and Human Services will: take steps to end the gaming of regulatory and patent processes by drug makers to unfairly protect monopolies; advance biosimilars and generics to boost price competition; evaluate the inclusion of prices in drug makers’ ads to enhance price competition; speed access to and lower the cost of new drugs by clarifying policies for sharing information between insurers and drug makers; avoid excessive pricing by relying more on value-based pricing by expanding outcome-based payments in Medicare and Medicaid; work to give Part D plan sponsors more negotiation power with drug makers; examine which Medicare Part B drugs could be negotiated for a lower price by Part D plans, and improving the design of the Part B Competitive Acquisition Program; update Medicare’s drug-pricing dashboard to increase transparency; prohibit Part D contracts that include “gag rules” that prevent pharmacists from informing patients when they could pay less out-of-pocket by not using insurance; and require that Part D plan members be provided with an annual statement of plan payments, out-of-pocket spending, and drug price increases. In addition, on December 23, 2019, the Trump Administration published a proposed rulemaking that, if finalized, would allow states or certain other non-federal government entities to submit importation program proposals to FDA for review and approval. Applicants would be required to demonstrate their importation plans pose no additional risk to public health and safety and will result in significant cost savings for consumers. At the same time, FDA issued draft guidance that would allow manufacturers to import their own FDA-approved drugs that are authorized for sale in other countries (multi-market approved products).

At the state level, individual states are increasingly aggressive in passing legislation and implementing regulations designed to control pharmaceutical and biological product pricing, including price or patient reimbursement constraints, discounts, restrictions on certain product access and marketing cost disclosure and transparency measures, and, in some cases, designed to encourage importation from other countries and bulk purchasing. In addition, regional health care authorities and individual hospitals are increasingly using bidding procedures to determine what pharmaceutical products and which suppliers will be included in their prescription product and other health care programs. These measures could reduce the ultimate demand for our products, once approved, or put pressure on our product pricing. We expect that additional state and federal healthcare reform measures will be adopted in the future, any of which could limit the amounts that federal and state governments will pay for healthcare products and services, which could result in reduced demand for our product candidates or additional pricing pressures.

Additional Regulation

In addition to the foregoing, state and federal laws regarding environmental protection and hazardous substances, including the Occupational Safety and Health Act, the Resource Conservancy and Recovery Act and the Toxic Substances Control Act, affect our business. These and other laws govern our use, handling and disposal of various biological, chemical and radioactive substances used in, and wastes generated by, our operations. If our operations result in contamination of the environment or expose individuals to hazardous substances, we could be liable for damages and governmental fines. We believe that we are in material compliance with applicable environmental laws and that continued compliance therewith will not have a material adverse effect on our business. We cannot predict, however, how changes in these laws may affect our future operations.

U.S. Foreign Corrupt Practices Act

The U.S. Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, to which we are subject, prohibits corporations and individuals from engaging in certain activities to obtain or retain business or to influence a person working in an official capacity. It is illegal to pay, offer to pay or authorize the payment of anything of value to any foreign government official, government staff member, political party or political candidate in an attempt to obtain or retain business or to otherwise influence a person working in an official capacity.

Review and Clearance of Companion Diagnostics in the United States

If safe and effective use of a therapeutic depends on an *in vitro* diagnostic, then the FDA generally will require approval or clearance of that diagnostic, known as a companion diagnostic, at the same time that the FDA approves the therapeutic product. In August 2014, the FDA issued final guidance clarifying the requirements that will apply to approval of therapeutic products and *in vitro* companion diagnostics. According to the guidance, for novel drugs, a companion diagnostic device and its corresponding therapeutic should be approved or cleared contemporaneously by the FDA for the use indicated in the therapeutic product's labeling. In July 2016, the FDA issued a draft guidance intended to assist sponsors of the drug therapeutic and *in vitro* companion diagnostic device on issues related to co-development of the products.

If FDA determines that a companion diagnostic device or delivery device (combination product) is essential to the safe and effective use of a novel therapeutic product or indication, FDA generally will not approve the therapeutic product or new therapeutic product indication if the companion diagnostic or delivery device is not approved or cleared for that indication. Approval or clearance of the companion diagnostic or delivery device will ensure that the device has been adequately evaluated and has adequate performance characteristics in the intended population. The review of *in vitro* companion diagnostics in conjunction with the review of our therapeutic treatments for cancer will, therefore, likely involve coordination of review by the FDA's Center for Drug Evaluation and Research and the FDA's CDRH Office.

Under the FDCA, *in vitro* diagnostics, including companion diagnostics, are regulated as medical devices. In the United States, the FDCA and its implementing regulations, and other federal and state statutes and regulations govern, among other things, medical device design and development, preclinical and clinical testing, premarket clearance

or approval, registration and listing, manufacturing, labeling, storage, advertising and promotion, sales and distribution, export and import, and post-market surveillance. Unless an exemption applies, diagnostic tests require marketing clearance or approval from the FDA prior to commercial distribution. The two primary types of FDA marketing authorization applicable to a medical device are premarket notification, also called 510(k) clearance, and premarket approval, or PMA approval.

The PMA process, including the gathering of clinical and preclinical data and the submission to and review by the FDA, can take several years or longer. It involves a rigorous premarket review during which the applicant must prepare and provide the FDA with reasonable assurance of the device's safety and effectiveness and information about the device and its components regarding, among other things, device design, manufacturing and labeling. PMA applications are subject to fees for medical device product review. For federal fiscal year 2020, the standard fee for review of a PMA is \$340,995 and the small business fee is \$85,249.

A 510(k) must demonstrate that the proposed device is substantially equivalent to another legally marketed device, or predicate device, that did not require premarket approval. In evaluating a 510(k), the FDA will determine whether the device has the same intended use as the predicate device, and (i) has the same technological characteristics as the predicate device, or (ii) has different technological characteristics, and (a) the data supporting substantial equivalence contains information, including appropriate clinical or scientific data, if deemed necessary by the FDA, that demonstrates that the device is as safe and as effective as a legally marketed device, and (b) does not raise different questions of safety and effectiveness than the predicate device. Most 510(k)s do not require clinical data for clearance, but the FDA may request such data. The FDA seeks to review and act on a 510(k) within 90 days of submission, but it may take longer if the agency finds that it requires more information to review the 510(k). If the FDA concludes that a new device is not substantially equivalent to a predicate device, the new device will be classified in Class III and the manufacturer will be required to submit a PMA to market the product. On July 23, 2018, the CDRH of the FDA cleared the 510(k) for our V-TAG™ device that is compatible for use with MRIs.

Government Regulation Outside of the United States

In addition to regulations in the United States, we will be subject to a variety of regulations in other jurisdictions governing, among other things, clinical trials and any commercial sales and distribution of our products. Because biologically sourced raw materials are subject to unique contamination risks, their use may be restricted in some countries.

Whether or not we obtain FDA approval for a product, we must obtain the requisite approvals from regulatory authorities in foreign countries prior to the commencement of clinical trials or marketing of the product in those countries. Certain countries outside of the United States have a similar process that requires the submission of a clinical trial application much like the IND prior to the commencement of human clinical trials, e.g., a clinical trial application for each clinical trial for each EU country in which the trial is conducted; a clinical trial notification is required in Japan.

If we fail to comply with applicable foreign regulatory requirements, we may be subject to, among other things, fines, suspension or withdrawal of regulatory approvals, product recalls, seizure of products, operating restrictions and criminal prosecution.

Coverage, Pricing and Reimbursement for Biopharmaceutical Products

Sales of our products, when and if approved for marketing, will depend, in part, on the extent to which our products will be covered by third-party payors, such as federal, state, and foreign government health care programs, commercial insurance and managed healthcare organizations. These third-party payors are increasingly reducing reimbursements for medical products, drugs and services. In addition, the U.S. government, state legislatures and foreign governments have continued implementing cost containment programs, including price controls, restrictions on coverage and reimbursement and requirements for substitution of generic products. Adoption of price controls and cost containment measures, and adoption of more restrictive policies in jurisdictions with existing controls and measures,

could further limit our net revenue and results. Decreases in third-party reimbursement for our product candidates or a decision by a third-party payor not to cover our product candidates could reduce physician usage of our products once approved and have a material adverse effect on our sales, results of operations and financial condition.

Our Corporate Information

We were incorporated under the laws of Delaware in June 2013. Our principal executive offices are located at 75 Sidney Street, Cambridge, MA 02139. Other operations, including laboratory space, are located at 64 Sidney Street, Cambridge, MA 02139. We lease our office and laboratory space, which consist of approximately 74,000 square feet located in two locations in Cambridge, Massachusetts. Our lease expires in 2026.

Employees

As of December 31, 2019, we employed 185 full-time employees in the United States, including 144 in research and development and 41 in general and administrative, and one part-time employee. Sixty of our employees have either an MD, PhD, or PharmD. We have never had a work stoppage, and none of our employees is represented by a labor organization or under any collective-bargaining arrangements. We consider our employee relations to be positive.

Legal Proceedings

As of the date of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, we were not party to any material legal matters or claims. In the future, we may become party to legal matters and claims arising in the ordinary course of business, the resolution of which we do not anticipate would have a material adverse impact on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Available Information

Our Internet address is <http://www.voyagertherapeutics.com>. We make available, free of charge, on or through our website our annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, proxy statements and any amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities and Exchange Act as soon as reasonably practicable after such material is electronically filed with or furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission. The information on our website is not part of this Annual Report for the year ended December 31, 2019.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

The following risk factors and other information in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, including our financial statements and related notes thereto, should be carefully considered. The risks and uncertainties described below are not the only ones we face. Additional risks and uncertainties not presently known to us or that we presently deem less significant may also impair our business operations. Please see page 3 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K for a discussion of some of the forward-looking statements that are qualified by these risk factors. If any of the following risks occur, our business, financial condition, results of operations and future growth prospects could be materially and adversely affected.

Risks Related to Our Financial Position and Need for Capital

We have incurred significant losses since inception and anticipate that we will continue to incur losses for the foreseeable future and may never achieve or maintain profitability.

We are a clinical-stage gene therapy company with a limited operating history and have not yet generated revenues from the sales of our product candidates. Investment in biotechnology companies is highly speculative because it entails substantial upfront capital expenditures and significant risk that any product candidates will fail to be safe and

efficacious, obtain regulatory approval or become commercially viable. We have not yet demonstrated the ability to complete any clinical trials of our product candidates, obtain marketing approvals, manufacture a commercial-scale product or conduct sales and marketing activities necessary for successful commercialization. We continue to incur significant expenses related to research and development, and other operations in order to commercialize our product candidates. As a result, we are not and have never been profitable and have incurred losses since our inception. Our net losses were \$43.6 million, \$88.3 million, and \$70.7 million for the years ended December 31, 2019, 2018, and 2017 respectively. As of December 31, 2019, we had an accumulated deficit of \$312.6 million.

We historically have financed our operations primarily through private placements of our redeemable convertible preferred stock, public offerings of our common stock, and strategic collaborations, including those with Sanofi Genzyme Corporation, or Sanofi Genzyme, AbbVie Biotechnology Ltd and AbbVie Ireland Unlimited Company, or collectively, AbbVie, and Neurocrine Biosciences, Inc., or Neurocrine. On November 16, 2015 we closed our initial public offering whereby we sold 5,750,000 shares of common stock at a public offering price of \$14.00 per share, including 750,000 shares of common stock issued upon the full exercise by the underwriters of their option to purchase additional shares, resulting in net proceeds to us of \$72.9 million after deducting underwriting discounts, commissions and offering expenses payable by us. On November 7, 2017, we sold 5,175,000 shares of common stock to the public at an offering price of \$12.00 per share, including 675,000 shares of common stock issued upon the full exercise by the underwriters of their option to purchase additional shares, resulting in net proceeds to us of \$58.0 million after deducting underwriting discounts, commissions, and offering expenses payable by us. On March 11, 2019, in connection with our collaboration with Neurocrine, we sold 4,179,728 shares of common stock to Neurocrine at a price of \$11.9625 per share, resulting in net proceeds to us of \$50.0 million.

To date, we have devoted substantially all of our financial resources to building our gene therapy platform, selecting product programs, conducting research and development, including preclinical development of our product candidates, building our intellectual property portfolio, building our team, and establishing strategic collaborations. We expect that it could be several years before we have a commercialized product, if we ever succeed in doing so. We expect to continue to incur significant expenses and increasing operating losses for the foreseeable future. The net losses we incur may fluctuate significantly from quarter to quarter. We anticipate that our expenses will increase substantially if, and as, we:

- continue investing in our gene therapy platform to optimize capsid engineering and payload development, manufacturing, dosing, and delivery techniques;
- work with our collaboration partner Neurocrine to advance VY-AADC (NB1b-1817) as a treatment for Parkinson's disease through Phase 1 development and the VY-AADC RESTORE-1 Phase 2 clinical trial;
- initiate additional preclinical studies and clinical trials for, and continue research and development of, our other programs;
- conduct joint research and development under our strategic collaborations for the research, development, and commercialization of certain of our pipeline programs;
- continue our process research and development activities, as well as establish our research-grade and commercial manufacturing capabilities;
- identify additional neurological diseases for treatment with our AAV gene therapies and develop additional programs or product candidates;
- work to identify and optimize novel AAV capsids;
- expand our manufacturing capabilities;

- develop, obtain and maintain regulatory clearances for devices to deliver our AAV gene therapies, and to provide financial and operating support to partners manufacturing and supplying these devices for use in our clinical development program;
- seek marketing and regulatory approvals for VY-AADC (NB1b-1817) or other product candidates or devices that arise from our programs that successfully complete clinical development;
- maintain, expand, protect and enforce our intellectual property portfolio;
- identify, acquire or in-license other product candidates and technologies;
- develop a sales, marketing and distribution infrastructure to commercialize any product candidates for which we may obtain marketing approval;
- expand our operational, financial and management systems and personnel, including personnel to support our clinical development, manufacturing and commercialization efforts and our operations as a public company;
- increase our product liability and clinical trial insurance coverage as we expand our clinical trials and commercialization efforts; and
- continue to operate as a public company.

Because of the numerous risks and uncertainties associated with pharmaceutical product development, we are unable to accurately predict the timing or amount of increased expenses or when, or if, we will be able to achieve profitability. Our expenses will increase if:

- we are required by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration, or FDA, or the European Medicines Agency, or EMA, or other regulatory agencies to redesign or modify trials or studies or to perform trials or studies in addition to those currently expected;
- there are any delays in the receipt of regulatory clearance to begin our planned clinical programs or to use companion devices required in such clinical programs; or
- there are any delays in enrollment of patients in or completing our clinical trials or the development of our product candidates.

To become and remain profitable, we must develop and eventually commercialize, alone or with our collaborators, product candidates with significant market potential, which will require us to be successful in a range of challenging activities. These activities can include completing preclinical studies and clinical trials of our product candidates; obtaining marketing approval for these product candidates; developing and obtaining marketing approval of any required companion devices; manufacturing at clinical and commercial scale; marketing and selling those products that are approved; satisfying any post-marketing requirements and achieving an adequate level of market acceptance of and obtaining and maintaining adequate coverage and reimbursement from third-party payors for such products; and protecting our rights to our intellectual property portfolio. We may never succeed in any or all of these activities and, even if we do, we may never generate revenues that are significant or large enough to achieve profitability. If we do achieve profitability, we may not be able to sustain or increase profitability on a quarterly or annual basis. Our failure to become and remain profitable would decrease the value of our company and could impair our ability to raise capital, maintain our research and development efforts, expand our business or continue our operations. A decline in the value of our company also could cause our stockholders to lose all or part of their investment.

We may not be able to generate sufficient revenue from the commercialization of our product candidates and may never be profitable.

Our ability to generate revenue and achieve profitability depends on our ability, alone or with our collaboration partners, to successfully complete the development of, and obtain the regulatory approvals necessary to commercialize, our current and future product candidates. Our lead product candidate VY-AADC (NB1b-1817), which we have the option to co-commercialize with Neurocrine in the United States, is being evaluated in the Phase 1b clinical trial, the separate Phase 1 clinical trial, and the RESTORE-1 Phase 2 clinical trial. We do not anticipate generating revenues from product sales for the next several years, and we may never succeed in doing so. Our ability to generate future revenues from product sales depends heavily on our and our collaborators' success in:

- completing preclinical and clinical development of our product candidates and any required companion devices and identifying new product candidates;
- seeking and obtaining regulatory and marketing approvals for product candidates for which we complete clinical trials;
- launching and commercializing product candidates for which we obtain regulatory and marketing approval by establishing a sales, marketing and distribution infrastructure or, alternatively, collaborating with a commercialization partner;
- obtaining and maintaining adequate coverage and reimbursement by government and third-party payors for our product candidates if and when approved;
- maintaining and enhancing a sustainable, scalable, reproducible and transferable manufacturing process for our vectors and product candidates;
- establishing and maintaining supply and manufacturing relationships with third parties that have the financial, operating and technical capabilities to provide adequate products and services, in both amount and quality, to support clinical development and the market demand for our product candidates, if and when approved;
- obtaining an adequate level of market acceptance of our product candidates as a viable treatment option;
- addressing any competing technological and market developments;
- implementing additional internal systems and infrastructure, as needed;
- negotiating favorable terms in any collaboration, licensing or other arrangements into which we may enter and performing our obligations in such collaborations;
- obtaining, maintaining, protecting, enforcing and expanding our portfolio of intellectual property rights, including patents, trade secrets and know-how;
- avoiding and defending against third-party claims of interference or infringement; and
- attracting, hiring and retaining qualified personnel.

Even if one or more of the product candidates that we develop is approved for commercial sale, we anticipate incurring significant costs associated with commercializing any approved product candidate. Our expenses could increase beyond expectations if we are required by the FDA, EMA, or other regulatory authorities to redesign or modify preclinical studies or clinical trials or to perform preclinical studies or clinical trials in addition to those that we currently anticipate. Even if we are able to generate revenues from the sale of any approved products, we may not become profitable and may need to obtain additional funding to continue operations.

We will need to raise additional funding, which may not be available on acceptable terms, or at all. Failure to obtain this necessary capital when needed may force us to delay, limit or terminate certain of our product development efforts or other operations.

We expect our expenses to increase in connection with our ongoing and planned activities, particularly as we continue the research and development of, continue or initiate clinical trials of, and seek marketing approval for, our product candidates. In addition, if we obtain marketing approval for any of our product candidates, we expect to incur significant expenses related to product sales, medical affairs, marketing, manufacturing and distribution. Since the completion of our IPO on November 16, 2015, we have also incurred costs associated with operating as a public company. Accordingly, we will need to obtain substantial additional funding in connection with our continuing operations. If we are unable to raise capital or enter into business development transactions when needed or on acceptable terms, we could be forced to delay, reduce or eliminate certain of our research and development programs or any future commercialization efforts.

Our operations have consumed significant amounts of cash since inception. As of December 31, 2019, our cash, cash equivalents, and marketable debt securities were \$281.5 million. Based upon our current operating plan, we expect that our existing cash, cash equivalents, and marketable debt securities, as well as ongoing reimbursement amounts expected from development costs related to our collaboration and license agreement with Neurocrine, or the Neurocrine Collaboration Agreement, will enable us to meet our operating expenses and capital expenditure requirements into mid-2022.

Our future capital requirements will depend on many factors, including:

- the scope, progress, results, and costs of product discovery, preclinical studies and clinical trials for our product candidates and any required companion devices;
- the scope, progress, results, costs, prioritization, and number of our research and development programs;
- the progress and status of our strategic collaborations, including any research and development costs for which we are responsible, the potential exercise by our collaboration partners of options to develop or license certain products and product candidates, and our potential receipt of future milestone payments and royalties from our collaboration partners;
- the extent to which we are obligated to reimburse, or entitled to reimbursement of, preclinical development and clinical trial costs, or the achievement of milestones or occurrence of other developments that trigger payments, under any other collaboration agreement to which we might become a party;
- the costs, timing and outcome of regulatory review of our product candidates;
- our ability to establish and maintain collaboration, distribution, or other marketing arrangements for our product candidates on favorable terms, if at all;
- the costs and timing of preparing, filing and prosecuting patent applications, maintaining and enforcing our intellectual property rights and defending intellectual property-related claims;

- the extent to which we acquire or in-license other product candidates and technologies, including any intellectual property associated with such candidates or technologies, or acquire or invest in other businesses, such as our investment in CLPT;
- the costs related to evaluating possible alternative devices that may be useful in the delivery of our product candidates, including our potential delivery devices, such as the Variable Trajectory Array Guide, or V-TAG™;
- the costs of advancing our manufacturing capabilities and of securing manufacturing arrangements for pre-commercial and commercial production;
- the level of product sales by us or our collaborators from any product candidates for which we obtain marketing approval in the future;
- the costs of operating as a public company, meeting applicable financial, regulatory, and quality control standards, fulfilling healthcare compliance requirements, and maintaining adequate product, clinical trial, and directors' and officers' liability insurance coverage; and
- the costs of establishing or contracting for sales, manufacturing, marketing, distribution, and other commercialization capabilities if we obtain regulatory approvals to market our product candidates.

Identifying potential product candidates and conducting preclinical studies and clinical trials is a time-consuming, expensive, and uncertain process that takes years to complete. We may never generate the necessary data or results required to obtain marketing approval and achieve product sales. In addition, our product candidates, if approved, may not achieve commercial success. Our product revenues, if any, and any commercial milestone payments or royalty payments under our collaboration agreements will be derived from sales of products that may not be commercially available for many years, if at all. Accordingly, we will need to continue to rely on additional financing and business development to achieve our business objectives. Adequate additional financing or business development transactions may not be available to us on acceptable terms, or at all.

Raising additional capital may cause dilution to our stockholders, restrict our operations or require us to relinquish rights to our technologies or product candidates.

Until such time, if ever, as we can generate product revenues sufficient to achieve profitability, we expect to finance our cash needs through a combination of equity offerings, debt financings, collaborations, strategic alliances, and licensing arrangements. We do not have any committed external source of funds other than the amounts we are entitled to receive from our collaboration partners for potential option exercises, the achievement of specified regulatory and commercial milestones, and royalty payments under our collaboration agreements. To the extent that we raise additional capital through the sale of equity or equity-linked securities, including convertible debt, our stockholders' ownership interests will be diluted, and the terms of these securities may include liquidation or other preferences that adversely affect our existing stockholders' rights as holders of our common stock. Debt financing and preferred equity financing, if available, may involve agreements that include covenants limiting or restricting our ability to take specific actions, such as incurring additional debt, obtaining additional capital, acquiring or divesting businesses, making capital expenditures or declaring dividends. In addition, we may seek additional capital due to favorable market conditions or strategic considerations, even if we believe we have sufficient funds for our current or future operating plans. Our issuance of additional securities, whether equity or debt, or the possibility of such issuance, may cause the market price of our common stock to decline. Further, our existing stockholders may not agree with the terms of such financings.

If we raise additional funds through collaborations, strategic alliances, or licensing arrangements with third parties, we may have to relinquish valuable rights to our technologies, future revenue streams, research programs or product candidates or to grant licenses on terms that may not be favorable to us. If we are unable to raise additional funds through equity or debt financings when needed, we may be required to delay, limit, reduce or terminate our product development or future commercialization efforts or grant rights to develop and market products or product candidates

that we would otherwise prefer to develop and market ourselves. Such collaborations, alliances, or licensing arrangements could therefore cause the market price of common stock to decline.

Our limited operating history may make it difficult for our stockholders to evaluate the success of our business to date and to assess our future viability.

We are a clinical stage company. Our operating history is short, and to date has been limited to building our team, business planning, raising capital, establishing our intellectual property portfolio, determining which neurological diseases to pursue, advancing our product candidates including delivery and manufacturing and conducting preclinical studies and clinical trials. Consequently, any predictions about our future success or viability may not be as accurate as they could be if we had a longer operating history.

In addition, as a new business, we may encounter unforeseen expenses, difficulties, complications, delays and other known and unknown factors. To achieve our current goals, we will need to transition in the future from a company with a research and development focus to a company capable of supporting commercial activities. We may not be successful in such a transition.

We expect our financial condition and operating results to continue to fluctuate significantly from quarter-to-quarter and year-to-year due to a variety of factors, many of which are beyond our control. Accordingly, our stockholders should not rely upon the results of any quarterly or annual periods as indications of future operating performance.

Risks Related to the Development and Regulatory Approval of Our Product Candidates

Our AAV gene therapy product candidates are based on a relatively novel technology, which makes it difficult and potentially infeasible to predict the duration and cost of development of, and subsequently obtaining regulatory approval for, our product candidates. Only two AAV gene therapy products have been approved in the United States. In Europe, only two AAV gene therapy products have been approved.

We have concentrated our research and development efforts to date on our gene therapy platform, identifying our initial targeted disease indications, and our initial product candidates. Our future success depends on our successful development of viable AAV gene therapy product candidates. Currently, only one of our product candidates, VY-AADC (NBIb-1817), is in clinical development, and the remainder of our product candidates are in preclinical development. AAV gene therapies are a relatively new technology. We cannot accurately predict when or if any of our product candidates will prove effective or safe in humans or whether these product candidates will receive marketing approval. There can be no assurance that we will not experience problems or delays in the preclinical testing or development of our product candidates and that such problems or delays will not cause unanticipated costs, or that any such problems or delays can be solved in a timely or profitable basis, if at all. We also may experience unanticipated problems or delays in expanding our manufacturing capacity.

The clinical trial requirements of the FDA, the EMA and other regulatory authorities and the criteria these regulators use to determine the safety and efficacy of a product candidate vary substantially according to the type, complexity, novelty and intended use and market of the product candidate. The regulatory approval process for novel product candidates such as gene therapies can be more expensive and take longer than for other, better known or more extensively studied product candidates. Until August 2017, the FDA had never approved a gene therapy product. Since that time, it has approved Luxturna, an AAV gene therapy product by Spark for patients with an inherited form of vision loss, and Zolgensma, an AAV gene therapy product by Avexis, a Novartis company, for pediatric patients with spinal muscular atrophy. The FDA has also approved two non-AAV gene therapy products, Kymriah by Novartis International AG, for pediatric and young adult patients with a form of acute lymphoblastic leukemia and Yescarta by Kite Pharma, Inc., for adult patients with certain forms of non-Hodgkin lymphoma. In Europe, two AAV gene therapy products, Glybera by uniQure N.V., or uniQure, and Luxturna by Spark, have been granted marketing authorization; however, uniQure decided not to pursue renewal of such authorization in 2017 and has since withdrawn Glybera from the European market. The European Commission also has approved four non-AAV gene therapy products, Strimvelis by

Orchard Therapeutics (Netherlands) BV, Kymriah, Yescarta, and ZYNTEGLO by bluebird bio for a form of transfusion-dependent β -thalassemia.

It is difficult to determine how long it will take or how much it will cost to obtain regulatory approvals for our product candidates in either the United States or the European Union or how long it will take to commercialize our product candidates. The few regulatory approvals to date may not be indicative of what the FDA, European Commission, or other regulatory authorities may require for approval or whether different or additional preclinical studies or clinical trials may be required to support regulatory approval in a particular jurisdiction. Delay or failure to obtain, or unexpected costs in obtaining, the regulatory approval necessary to bring a potential product candidate to market could decrease our ability to generate sufficient product revenue, and our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects may be harmed.

Regulatory requirements governing gene and cell therapy products have changed frequently and may continue to change in the future. Such requirements may lengthen the regulatory review process, require us to modify current studies or perform additional studies or increase our development costs, which in turn may force us to delay, limit, or terminate certain of our programs.

The Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research, or CBER, of the FDA regulates biological products for human use. The Office of Tissues and Advanced Therapies, or OTAT, formerly known as the Office of Cellular, Tissue and Gene Therapies, within CBER reviews gene therapy and related products and has established the Cellular, Tissue and Gene Therapies Advisory Committee to advise CBER in its review.

NIH-funded institutions need to have their institutional biosafety committee, or IBC, as well as their institutional review board, or IRB, review proposed clinical trials to assess the safety of the trial. The PD-1101 Phase 1b clinical trial of VY-AADC (NBIB-1817) and the PD-1102 Phase 1 trial exploring the delivery of VY-AADC (NBIB-1817) using a posterior trajectory are being conducted at multiple sites, and therefore are subject to oversight by these authorities. Such trials will need to be re-reviewed by the respective institutional IRBs if the protocols for the trials are amended. For any new clinical trial protocols, including the RESTORE-1 Phase 2 clinical trial protocol, the same processes and issues apply.

Adverse developments in clinical trials of gene therapy products conducted by us or others may cause the FDA or other oversight bodies to change the requirements for approval of any of our product candidates. Similarly, EMA and local health authorities of individual countries within the European Union may issue new guidelines concerning the clinical development and marketing authorization for gene therapy medicinal products and require that we comply with these new guidelines. The EMA and agencies at both the federal and state level in the United States have expressed an interest in further regulating new biotechnologies, including gene therapy. In addition, gene therapy products are considered genetically-modified organism, or GMO, products and are regulated as such in each country. Designation of the type of GMO product and subsequent handling and disposal requirements can vary across countries and is variable throughout the European Union. Addressing each specific country requirement and obtaining approval to commence a clinical trial in these countries could result in delays in starting, conducting, or completing a clinical trial. Similar issues could be faced in other regions of the world including the Asia-Pacific region.

These regulatory review committees and advisory groups and the new guidelines they promulgate may lengthen the regulatory review process, require us to perform additional studies, increase our development costs, lead to changes in regulatory positions and interpretations, delay or prevent approval and commercialization of these product candidates or lead to significant post-approval limitations or restrictions. As we advance our product candidates, we will be required to consult with these regulatory and advisory groups and comply with applicable guidelines. We have requested feedback from the FDA on, among other matters, the regulatory pathway for VY-AADC (NBIB-1817) and the design of our proposed pivotal program. We had multiple interactions with the FDA throughout 2018 and received certain written feedback requiring additional clarification. In December 2018, we held a Type B meeting with the FDA to discuss the overall development and pivotal program for VY-AADC (NBIB-1817). In connection with our Neurocrine Collaboration Agreement, we agreed to transfer sponsorship of the VY-AADC Program to Neurocrine, which required the related investigational new drug, or IND, application to be transferred to Neurocrine. The transition process required additional regulatory filings with and review by the FDA. We received written feedback from the FDA, including FDA guidance

received during the Type B meeting that in a disease such as Parkinson's two adequate and well-controlled clinical trials is suggested. Based upon feedback received from the FDA, we and Neurocrine have amended the RESTORE-1 clinical trial protocol to support a future registration filing for VY-AADC (NB1b-1817) for the treatment of Parkinson's disease in the United States. The protocol amendments include increasing the planned enrollment to approximately 85 patients, from the previously planned 42 patients, and future enrollment in the trial will be randomized 2:1 to VY-AADC (NB1b-1817) or placebo surgery, respectively, compared to the previous 1:1 randomization. Any further guidance that we may receive from the FDA could lead to further modification of the clinical VY-AADC (NB1b-1817) protocol and to additional costs or delays in the VY-AADC Program.

We plan to continue to seek and incorporate FDA guidance in our ongoing development plans for each of our potential clinical candidates, including VY-AADC (NB1b-1817) on which we collaborate with Neurocrine. If we fail to consult or solicit guidance from regulators or are unable to obtain sufficiently frequent or detailed guidance from regulators, we may be required to delay or discontinue development of certain of our product candidates. These additional processes may result in a review and approval process that is longer than we otherwise would have expected. Delays as a result of increased or lengthier regulatory approval process and further restrictions on development of our product candidates can be costly and could negatively impact our or our collaborators' ability to complete clinical trials and commercialize our current and future product candidates in a timely manner, if at all.

Results from preclinical studies and early-stage clinical trials may not be indicative of efficacy in late-stage clinical trials.

All of our product candidates are in early stages of development. Clinical testing is expensive, is difficult to design and implement, can take many years to complete and is uncertain as to outcome. A failure of one or more clinical trials can occur at any stage of testing. Our product candidates may fail to show the desired safety and efficacy in preclinical testing or clinical development despite demonstrating promising results in earlier preclinical studies or clinical trials. In addition, the outcome of preclinical testing and early clinical trials may not be predictive of the success of later stage clinical trials. Similarly, interim results generated from clinical trials do not necessarily predict final results, and results from one completed clinical trial may not be replicated in a subsequent clinical trial with a similar study design. Some of our clinical trials, including the Phase 1b clinical trial and the separate Phase 1 clinical trial exploring the delivery of VY-AADC (NB1b-1817) using a posterior trajectory (PD-1101 and PD-1102, respectively), were conducted with small patient populations and were not blinded or placebo-controlled, making it difficult to predict whether the favorable results that we observed in such trials will be sustained or repeated in larger and more advanced clinical trials. Moreover, preclinical and clinical data are often susceptible to varying interpretations and analyses, and many companies that have believed their product candidates performed satisfactorily in preclinical studies and clinical trials have nonetheless failed to obtain marketing approval of their products.

There is a high failure rate for product candidates proceeding through preclinical studies and clinical trials. A number of companies in the pharmaceutical and biotechnology industries have suffered significant setbacks in late-stage clinical trials even after achieving promising results in early-stage clinical trials. If a larger population of patients does not experience positive results, if these results are not reproducible, or if our products show diminishing activity over time, our products may not receive approval from the EMA or the FDA. Data obtained from preclinical and clinical activities are subject to varying interpretations, which may delay, limit or prevent regulatory approval. In addition, we may encounter regulatory delays or rejections as a result of many factors, including changes in regulatory policy during the period of product development. Failure to confirm favorable results from earlier trials by demonstrating the safety and effectiveness of our products in late-stage clinical trials with larger patient populations could harm our business and we may never succeed in commercialization or generating product revenue.

The dosing and coverage of the putamen in the VY-AADC (NBIB-1817) Phase 1b clinical trial, the separate Phase 1 clinical trial, and the RESTORE-1 Phase 2 clinical trial are different than the dosing and coverage of the putamen in prior clinical trials conducted by other parties. The maximum total vector genome dose chosen in the RESTORE-1 Phase 2 clinical trial may not demonstrate the safety and effectiveness of VY-AADC (NBIB-1817) in the RESTORE-1 Phase 2 clinical trial, or in the planned RESTORE-2 Phase 3 trial. Any failure to demonstrate safety or effectiveness could result in a decision to modify dosing and/or coverage of the putamen in any subsequent clinical trials, and such decisions could cause a delay in achieving marketing authorization, or may result in limiting or terminating the program entirely.

The clinical trial results of some of our collaborators have been negatively affected by factors that had not been fully anticipated prior to the design of the clinical trials. For example, the magnitude of some of the clinical responses seen in the Phase 1 clinical trial of AAV2-AADC were similar to the placebo effects observed in previous surgical therapies for Parkinson's disease. As a result, we are unable to rely on the results of this prior Phase 1 trial as an indicator of the efficacy of treatment with VY-AADC (NBIB-1817). We and Neurocrine believe that to increase the likelihood of a clinical benefit, the dose and volume of infusion of VY-AADC (NBIB-1817) should be optimized to substantially increase the coverage of the putamen, the region of the brain targeted by VY-AADC (NBIB-1817). However, it is not possible at this time to know if we are optimizing these parameters, and as a result, to know if we will be able to achieve sufficient coverage of the putamen and a clinical benefit.

The PD-1101 Phase 1b clinical trial of VY-AADC (NBIB-1817) incorporated several design features in an attempt to increase the coverage area of the putamen, particularly the posterior putamen. We employed larger infusion volumes and higher doses of VY-AADC (NBIB-1817), and we used the ClearPoint[®] System to provide real-time, intra-operative, magnetic resonance imaging, or MRI, assistance to the physician surgically administering VY-AADC (NBIB-1817) to the patient.

In the PD-1102 Phase 1 clinical trial, we utilized posterior, or back of the head, delivery of VY-AADC (NBIB-1817) into the putamen, compared to a transfrontal, or top of the head, delivery approach used in cohorts 1 through 3 of the Phase 1b clinical trial described above. A posterior approach better aligns the infusion of VY-AADC (NBIB-1817) with the anatomical structure of the putamen to potentially reduce the total procedure time and increase the total coverage of the putamen. Administration of VY-AADC (NBIB-1817) with this posterior approach has been well-tolerated to date with no reported serious adverse events, or SAEs.

Due to the nature of the techniques used in the Phase 1 clinical development and the numerous variables that can be changed, it is possible that the data generated from this trial may not provide evidence of statistically significant or durable clinical benefit. For example, physicians may use cannulas, which are small tubes of differing lengths, in the infusion procedure, or may use differing infusion speeds or infusion angles. These differences could affect the dose of VY-AADC (NBIB-1817) that ultimately reaches the putamen, leading to highly variable results. Similarly, we have limited experience to date with the posterior delivery approach which we have selected as the preferred surgical route of administration for the RESTORE-1 Phase 2 clinical trial. Further, use of a posterior approach may not generate outcomes that are clinically superior to the outcomes achieved with a transfrontal approach.

For the RESTORE-1 Phase 2 clinical trial, we have selected a dose of up to 2.5×10^{12} vector genomes as a maximum total bilateral dose. This dosing level is between the up to maximum total vector genome doses administered in Cohorts 2 and 3 from the Phase 1b trial when considering the higher volume administered with the posterior trajectory and vector produced using the baculovirus system. We have not previously evaluated this dosing level in a clinical trial. To achieve safety, primary and secondary efficacy endpoints, the dose concentration and volume selected for the RESTORE-1 Phase 2 clinical trial may be modified, and regardless of the dose concentration and volume selected, we may never achieve desired safety and efficacy outcomes.

The RESTORE-1 Phase 2 trial is a randomized, double-blind, placebo-surgery controlled trial with a planned enrollment of approximately 85 patients who have been diagnosed with Parkinson's disease for at least four years, are not responding adequately to oral medications, and have at least three hours of OFF time during the day as measured by a validated self-reported patient diary. Patients will be randomized 2:1 to VY-AADC (NBIB-1817) or placebo surgery, respectively. Patient eligibility criteria and the protocol design, including the total number of patients in the trial and the

number of patients who receive VY-AADC (NB1b-1817) or placebo, may change during the course of the trial in response to recruiting challenges, clinical patient assessments, data collection, statistical analysis modifications, and other factors, such as modifications to the clinical trial protocol made to date.

The primary efficacy endpoint of the RESTORE-1 Phase 2 clinical trial is the mean improvement from baseline to 12 months on time without troublesome dyskinesia, or good ON time, as measured by a validated self-reported patient diary at 12 months compared to placebo. Secondary endpoints include diary OFF time, other motor function and quality of life measures from the United Parkinson's Disease Rating Scales (UPDRS-II,-III scores), the Parkinson's Disease Questionnaire (PDQ-39), and patient's global function as measured by the proportion of participants with improvement on the Clinical Global Impression (CGI) score. The trial will also measure non-motor symptoms from the Non-Motor Symptom Scale (NMSS), as well as safety. Primary and secondary endpoints may be adjusted during the trial in response to changes in the protocol design.

Biomarker data collected during the RESTORE-1 Phase 2 clinical trial will include measurements of the coverage of the putamen, the specific region of the brain targeted with VY-AADC (NB1b-1817), and measurements of AADC enzyme expression and activity in the putamen measured by positron emission tomography (PET) using fluorodopa F-18. Changes in patients' daily doses of oral levodopa and related medications will also be recorded.

We plan to continue to seek and incorporate FDA guidance in our clinical trial plans. We are currently evaluating the written feedback from the FDA, including guidance from the Type B meeting to conduct two adequate and well-controlled clinical trials for a large patient population such as Parkinson's disease. Additional interaction with the FDA regarding the RESTORE-1 and RESTORE-2 clinical trial plans could result in changes to the current plan.

Additionally, we are using a different manufacturing process for our AAV gene therapy vector in the RESTORE-1 Phase 2 clinical trial and the planned RESTORE-2 Phase 3 clinical trial. We have begun to manufacture VY-AADC (NB1b-1817) using our baculovirus/Sf9 system as opposed to manufacturing in HEK 293 cells, which were used in the Phase 1 clinical trials. We have conducted studies to demonstrate comparability between the current version and the new version. It is possible, however, that the results of the RESTORE-1 Phase 2 clinical trial and the planned RESTORE-2 Phase 3 clinical trial in Parkinson's disease may differ from the results of the Phase 1b (PD-1101) or the separate Phase 1 clinical trial (PD-1102) based on the use of VY-AADC (NB1b-1817) manufactured using our baculovirus/Sf9 system as opposed to using HEK 293 cells.

We may in the future conduct, and intend to conduct, clinical trials for product candidates at sites outside the United States, and the FDA may not accept data from trials conducted in such locations.

To date, we have only conducted clinical trials in the United States. However, we may in the future choose to conduct, one or more of our clinical trials or include sites in current or future clinical trials outside the United States. We may include international sites in the RESTORE-1 Phase 2 clinical trial. The transfer of sponsorship of the VY-AADC Program to Neurocrine requires Neurocrine to be the sponsor of the RESTORE-1 Phase 2 clinical trial in any international sites. Any sponsorship transition could require additional regulatory filings with and review by regulatory officials, and may lead to additional costs or delays in the initiation of the RESTORE-1 Phase 2 clinical trial and the enrollment of patients in those international sites.

Although the FDA may accept data from sites or clinical trials outside the United States, acceptance of these data is subject to conditions imposed by the FDA. For example, the clinical trial must be well-designed and conducted and performed by qualified investigators in accordance with ethical principles. The trial population must also adequately represent the U.S. population, and the data must be applicable to the U.S. population and U.S. medical practice in ways that the FDA deems clinically meaningful. In addition, while these clinical trials or trial sites are subject to the applicable local laws, FDA acceptance of the data will depend on its determination that the trials or trial sites also complied with all applicable U.S. laws and regulations. If the FDA does not accept the data from any trial or trial site outside the United States, it would likely result in the need for additional trials, which would be costly and time-consuming and would delay or permanently halt our development of the applicable product candidates.

Other risks inherent in conducting international clinical trials or using international trial sites include:

- foreign regulatory requirements that could restrict or limit our ability to conduct our clinical trials;
- administrative burden of complying with a variety of foreign laws, medical standards and regulatory requirements, including the regulation of pharmaceutical and biotechnology products and treatment;
- failure of enrolled patients to adhere to clinical protocols or inadequate collection and assessment of clinical data as a result of differences in healthcare services or cultural customs;
- foreign exchange fluctuations;
- diminished or loss of protection of intellectual property in the relevant jurisdiction; and
- political and economic risks relevant to foreign countries.

We may encounter substantial delays or difficulties in commencement, enrollment or completion of our preclinical studies or clinical trials, or may fail to demonstrate safety and efficacy to the satisfaction of applicable regulatory authorities, which could prevent us from commercializing our current and future product candidates on a timely basis, if at all.

Before obtaining marketing approval from regulatory authorities for the sale of our current and future product candidates, we must conduct extensive clinical trials to demonstrate the safety and efficacy of the product candidates. To conduct clinical trials, we must first complete preclinical testing and studies to support IND applications or similar applications in other jurisdictions. We cannot be certain of the timely completion or outcome of our preclinical testing and studies and cannot predict if the FDA or similar regulatory authorities outside the United States will accept our planned clinical programs or if the outcome of our preclinical testing and studies will ultimately support the further development of our preclinical programs.

We have very limited experience with clinical trials. The transfer of sponsorship of the VY-AADC Program to Neurocrine requires Neurocrine to be the sponsor of the RESTORE-1 Phase 2 clinical trial in any sites. Any sponsorship transition could require additional regulatory filings with and review by the FDA, European Union, or other regulatory officials, and may lead to additional costs or delays in the initiation of the RESTORE-1 Phase 2 clinical trial in those sites.

The RESTORE-1 Phase 2 clinical trial of VY-AADC (NB1b-1817) is being conducted at several locations. We cannot guarantee that any clinical trials will be conducted as planned or completed on schedule, if at all. A clinical trial failure can occur at any stage of testing. Similarly, there may be delays or difficulties in our initiation of future clinical trials. Due to the additional regulatory uncertainties associated with gene therapy products, we did not initiate the RESTORE-1 Phase 2 clinical trial for VY-AADC (NB1b-1817) as a treatment for Parkinson's disease until we met with OTAT to discuss our proposed trial design and overall development plan. While we have received OTAT's feedback and incorporated it as appropriate in our plans, the clinical trial as designed may not achieve the prospectively defined primary clinical endpoints or provide a favorable benefit to risk ratio to support a biologics license application, or BLA filing or approval.

Identifying and qualifying patients to participate in clinical trials of our product candidates is critical to our success. We may not be able to identify, recruit and enroll a sufficient number of patients, or those with required or desired characteristics, to complete our clinical trials in a timely manner or at all pursuant to the requirements of the FDA, EMA, or other regulatory authorities. Patient enrollment and trial completion are affected by many factors including:

- perceived risks and benefits of AAV gene therapy approaches for the treatment of neurological diseases;

- perceived risks of the delivery procedure, such as intracranial infusion for VY-AADC (NBIB-1817);
- formulation changes to our product candidates, which may require us to conduct additional clinical studies to bridge our modified product candidates to earlier versions;
- size of the patient population and process for identifying patients;
- design of the trial protocol;
- eligibility and exclusion criteria;
- patients with preexisting antibodies to the gene therapy vector that preclude their participation in the trial;
- perceived risks and benefits of the product candidate under study;
- availability of competing therapies and clinical trials;
- severity of the disease under investigation;
- availability of genetic testing for potential patients;
- proximity and availability of clinical trial sites for prospective patients;
- lack of adequate compensation of patients;
- ability to obtain and maintain patient consent;
- risk that enrolled patients will drop out before completion of the trial;
- our ability to locate appropriately trained physicians to conduct such clinical trials, which may be particularly difficult for the VY-AADC (NBIB-1817) RESTORE-1 Phase 2 and RESTORE-2 Phase 3 clinical trials as we have historically used, and expect to use, the ClearPoint System, which is only available at a small number of academic medical centers in the United States;
- the ability to commercially launch V-TAG, our real-time, intra-operative, MRI-compatible device, and to train physicians to conduct clinical trials using the device;
- willingness of patients to participate in a placebo-controlled trial;
- patient referral practices of physicians; and
- ability to monitor patients adequately during and after treatment.

Further, we plan to seek marketing approvals in the United States, the European Union and other jurisdictions, which may require that we conduct clinical trials in foreign countries. Our ability to successfully initiate, enroll and complete a clinical trial in any foreign country is subject to numerous risks unique to conducting business in foreign countries, including:

- difficulty in establishing or managing relationships with clinical research organizations, or CROs, and physicians;

- different standards for the conduct of clinical trials;
- absence in some countries of established groups with sufficient regulatory expertise for review of AAV gene therapy protocols;
- our inability to locate qualified local partners or collaborators for such clinical trials; and
- the potential burden of complying with a variety of foreign laws, medical standards and regulatory requirements, including the regulation of pharmaceutical and biotechnology products and treatment.

If we have difficulty enrolling a sufficient number of patients to conduct our clinical trials as planned, we may need to delay, limit or terminate ongoing or planned clinical trials in some or all localities, any of which would harm our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

Other events that may prevent successful or timely completion of clinical development include:

- delays in reaching a consensus with regulatory authorities or collaborators on trial design;
- delays in reaching agreement on acceptable terms with prospective CROs and clinical trial sites;
- delays in opening clinical trial sites or obtaining required IRB or independent ethics committee approval at each clinical trial site;
- imposition of a clinical hold by regulatory authorities as a result of a serious adverse event or after an inspection of our clinical trial operations or trial sites or our decision or the requirement of regulators or IRBs to suspend or terminate clinical research for various reasons, including noncompliance with regulatory requirements or a finding that the participants are being exposed to unacceptable health risks;
- failure by us, our collaboration partners, any CROs we engage, or any other third parties to adhere to clinical trial protocols or regulatory requirements;
- failure by us, our collaboration partners, any CROs we engage, or any other third parties to perform in accordance with the FDA's good clinical practices, or GCPs, or applicable regulatory guidelines in the European Union;
- failure by physicians to adhere to delivery protocols leading to variable results;
- delays in the testing, validation, manufacturing and delivery of our product candidates to the clinical sites, including delays by third parties with whom we have contracted to perform certain of those functions;
- insufficient or inadequate supply or quality of our product candidates or other materials necessary to conduct clinical trials of our product candidates, including potential delays in the RESTORE-1 Phase 2 clinical trial in Parkinson's disease associated with the commercial availability of V-TAG;
- delays in having patients complete participation in a trial or return for post-treatment follow-up;
- clinical trial sites or patients dropping out of a trial at a rate higher than we anticipate;
- selection of clinical endpoints that require prolonged periods of clinical observation or analysis of the resulting data;

- receipt of negative or inconclusive clinical trial results;
- occurrence of serious adverse events associated with the product candidate that are viewed to outweigh its potential benefits;
- occurrence of serious adverse events in trials of the same class of agents conducted by other sponsors;
- changes in regulatory requirements and guidance that require amending or submitting new clinical protocols; or
- the cost of clinical trials of our product candidates may be greater than we anticipate.

Any inability to successfully complete preclinical studies and clinical trials could result in additional costs and potential delays to us or impair our ability to generate revenues from product sales, regulatory and commercialization milestones and royalties. We do not know whether any of our preclinical studies or clinical trials will begin as planned, will need to be restructured, or will be completed on schedule, or at all. In addition, if we make manufacturing or formulation changes to our product candidates, we may need to conduct additional studies to bridge our modified product candidates to earlier versions. Clinical trial delays also could shorten any periods during which we may have the exclusive right to commercialize our product candidates or allow our competitors to bring products to market before we do, which could impair our ability to successfully commercialize our product candidates and may harm our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

Additionally, if the results of our clinical trials are inconclusive or if there are safety concerns or SAEs associated with our product candidates, we may:

- be delayed in obtaining marketing approval for our product candidates, if we are able to do so at all;
- obtain approval for indications or patient populations that are not as broad as intended or desired;
- obtain approval with labeling that includes significant use or distribution restrictions or safety warnings;
- be subject to changes in the way the product is administered;
- be required to perform additional clinical trials to support approval or be subject to additional post-marketing testing requirements;
- have regulatory authorities withdraw, or suspend, their approval of the product or impose restrictions on its distribution in the form of a Risk Evaluation and Mitigation Strategy, or REMS;
- be subject to the addition of labeling statements, such as warnings or contraindications;
- be sued; or
- experience damage to our reputation.

Our product candidates or the process for administering our product candidates may cause undesirable side effects or have other properties that could delay or prevent their regulatory approval, limit their commercial potential or result in significant negative consequences following any potential marketing approval.

In past clinical trials that were conducted by others with non-AAV gene therapy vectors, several significant side effects were caused by gene therapy treatments, including reported cases of leukemia and death. Other potential side effects could include an immunologic reaction and insertional oncogenesis, which is the process whereby the insertion of

a functional gene near a gene that is important in cell growth or division results in uncontrolled cell division, which could potentially enhance the risk of malignant transformation. If our vectors demonstrate a similar adverse effect, or other adverse effects, we may be required to halt or delay further clinical development of our product candidates or withdraw the product from the market post-approval. For example, in a recently published review of patients with hepatocellular carcinomas, it was shown that a small subset contained an integrated genome sequence of wild-type AAV2 and it was suggested that AAV2 may be associated with insertional oncogenesis.

In addition to side effects caused by the product candidate, the administration process or related procedures also can cause side effects. VY-AADC (NB1b-1817) and VY-HTT01 will be administered directly to the targeted areas and cells in the brain, requiring the patient to undergo brain surgery. In a previous Phase 1 clinical trial conducted by UCSF, three patients experienced hemorrhages caused by the surgical procedure for administering VY-AADC (NB1b-1817). In the RESTORE-1 Phase 2 clinical trial of VY-AADC (NB1b-1817), we are using the ClearPoint System to provide accurate placement of the cannula in the putamen and allow for real-time, intra-operative MRI to assist the physician in visualizing the delivery of VY-AADC (NB1b-1817) to the putamen and to avoid specific blood vessels during the duration of the surgical procedure, with the goal of reducing the risk of hemorrhages. The ClearPoint System has only been used in limited gene therapy neurosurgeries to date. One patient in the Phase 1b trial experienced two SAEs, a pulmonary embolism, or blood clot in the lungs, and related heart arrhythmia, or irregular heartbeat, which were determined to be related to the surgical procedure and prolonged immobility, not VY-AADC (NB1b-1817). In the Phase 2 and future trials, we may use V-TAG, a proprietary real-time, intra-operative, MRI-compatible device that we developed with CLPT. For VY-SOD102 in the treatment for amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, or ALS, the product candidate is planned to be injected directly into the spinal cord. Limited clinical data are available for this route of administration. If other side effects were to occur in connection with the surgical procedures described above, or problems were encountered with the use of the ClearPoint System or V-TAG, our clinical trials could be suspended or terminated.

If in the future we are unable to demonstrate that such side effects were caused by the administration process or related procedures or are unable to modify the trial protocol adequately to address such side effects, the FDA, the European Commission, the EMA or other regulatory authorities could order us to cease further development of, or deny approval of, our product candidates for any or all targeted indications. For products that “knock down” or reduce the expression of a gene or the production of its encoded protein, their effects on other parts of the body, or “off target” effects, could result in unforeseen toxicity. Even if we are able to demonstrate that any future SAEs are not product-related, and regulatory authorities do not order us to cease further development of our product candidates, such occurrences could affect patient recruitment or the ability of enrolled patients to complete the trial. Moreover, if we elect, or are required, to delay, suspend or terminate any clinical trial of any of our product candidates, the commercial prospects of such product candidates may be harmed and our ability to generate product revenues from any of these product candidates may be delayed or eliminated. Any of these occurrences may harm our ability to develop other product candidates and may harm our business, financial condition and prospects significantly.

Additionally, if any of our product candidates receives marketing approval, the FDA could require us to adopt a REMS to ensure that the benefits outweigh its risks. Such REMS may include, among other things, a medication guide outlining the risks of the product for distribution to patients and a communication plan to health care practitioners or the limitation of the use of the product to specifically trained neurosurgeons and/or certain centers. Furthermore, adverse events which were initially considered unrelated to the study treatment of the clinical trial may later be found to be caused by the study treatment. If we or others later identify undesirable side effects caused by our product candidate, several potentially significant negative consequences could result, including:

- regulatory authorities may suspend or withdraw approvals of such product candidate;
- regulatory authorities may require additional warnings on the label;
- we may be required to change the way a product candidate is administered or conduct additional clinical trials;
- we could be sued and held liable for harm caused to patients; and

- our reputation may suffer.

Any of these events could prevent us from achieving or maintaining market acceptance of our product candidates and could significantly harm our business, prospects, financial condition and results of operations.

We may be unable to obtain orphan drug designation or exclusivity for any of our product candidates for which we seek such designation. If our competitors are able to obtain orphan drug exclusivity for products that constitute the same drug and treat the same indications as our product candidates, we may not be able to have competing products approved by the applicable regulatory authority for a significant period of time. For products for which we may obtain orphan drug designation or exclusivity, we may be unable to prevent the approval or marketing authorization of other similar products based upon regulator decisions regarding product “sameness”.

Regulatory authorities in some jurisdictions, including the United States and the European Union, may designate drugs for relatively small patient populations as orphan drugs. Under the Orphan Drug Act of 1983, or the Orphan Drug Act, the FDA may designate a product candidate as an orphan drug or biological product if it is intended to treat a rare disease or condition, which is generally defined as having a patient population of fewer than 200,000 individuals in the United States, or a patient population greater than 200,000 in the United States where there is no reasonable expectation that the cost of developing the drug or biological product will be recovered from sales in the United States. We have received feedback from the FDA that VY-AADC (NB1b-1817) for the treatment of Parkinson’s disease does not qualify for orphan disease designation because the potential for its use in earlier stages of Parkinson’s disease exceeds the 200,000 patient population criterion in the United States. In the European Union, EMA’s Committee for Orphan Medicinal Products grants orphan drug designation to promote the development of products that are intended for the diagnosis, prevention or treatment of a life-threatening or chronically debilitating condition affecting not more than five in 10,000 persons in the European Union. Additionally, orphan designation is granted for products intended for the diagnosis, prevention or treatment of a life-threatening, seriously debilitating or serious and chronic condition and when, without incentives, it is unlikely that sales of the drug in the European Union would be sufficient to justify the necessary investment in developing the drug or biologic product. We have received feedback from the Committee for Orphan Medicinal Products that orphan designation likely would not be granted for VY-AADC (NB1b-1817) in Parkinson’s disease since the Committee does not grant such status for products targeting more severe stages of a disease.

Generally, if a product candidate with an orphan drug designation receives the first marketing approval for the indication for which it has such designation, the product is entitled to a period of marketing exclusivity, which precludes the applicable regulatory authority from approving another marketing application for a product that constitutes the same drug treating the same indication for that marketing exclusivity period, except in limited circumstances. If another sponsor receives such approval before we do (regardless of our orphan drug designation), we may be precluded from receiving marketing approval for our product for the applicable exclusivity period. The applicable period is seven years in the United States and 10 years in the European Union. The exclusivity period in the United States can be extended by nine months if the BLA sponsor submits pediatric data that adequately respond to a written request from the FDA for such data. The exclusivity period in the European Union can be reduced to six years if a product no longer meets the criteria for orphan drug designation or if the product is sufficiently profitable so that market exclusivity is no longer justified. Orphan drug exclusivity may be revoked if any regulatory agency determines that the request for designation was materially defective or if the manufacturer is unable to assure sufficient quantity of the product to meet the needs of patients with the rare disease or condition.

We believe that all of our current programs may qualify for orphan drug designation except for VY-AADC (NB1b-1817) for Parkinson’s disease. On March 15, 2019, we received notification from the FDA that VY-HTT01, an AAV gene therapy containing a transgene that encodes a microRNA targeting huntingtin messenger RNA, had been granted orphan drug designation for the treatment of Huntington’s disease. Even if we obtain orphan drug exclusivity for a product candidate, that exclusivity may not effectively protect the product candidate from competition because different drugs or biological products can be approved for the same condition. In the United States, even after an orphan drug is approved, the FDA may subsequently approve another drug or biological product for the same condition if the FDA concludes that the latter drug or biological product is not the same drug or biological product or is clinically superior in that it is shown to be safer or more effective or makes a major contribution to patient care. In particular, the

concept of what constitutes the "same drug" for purposes of orphan drug exclusivity remains in flux in the context of gene therapies, and the FDA has issued recent draft guidance suggesting that it would not consider two gene therapy products to be different drugs solely based on minor differences in the transgenes or vectors. In the European Union, marketing authorization may be granted to a similar medicinal product for the same orphan indication if:

- the second applicant can establish in its application that its medicinal product, although similar to the orphan medicinal product already authorized, is safer, more effective or otherwise clinically superior;
- the holder of the marketing authorization for the original orphan medicinal product consents to a second orphan medicinal product application; or
- the holder of the marketing authorization for the original orphan medicinal product cannot supply sufficient quantities of orphan medicinal product.

Even if we seek orphan drug designation from the FDA, the European Commission or other regulatory agencies for a product candidate, there can be no assurances that the regulatory agency or agencies will grant such designation. Additionally, the designation of any of our product candidates as an orphan drug does not guarantee that any regulatory agency will ultimately approve that product candidate or prevent other products from receiving marketing authorization due to decisions of the applicable regulatory agency regarding "sameness" of the products.

On August 3, 2017, the Congress passed the FDA Reauthorization Act of 2017, or FDARA. FDARA, among other things, codified the FDA's pre-existing regulatory interpretation to require that a drug sponsor demonstrate the clinical superiority of an orphan drug that is otherwise the same as a previously approved drug for the same rare disease in order to receive orphan drug exclusivity. The new legislation reverses prior precedent holding that the Orphan Drug Act unambiguously requires that the FDA recognize the orphan exclusivity period regardless of a showing of clinical superiority. The FDA may further reevaluate the Orphan Drug Act and its regulations and policies. We do not know if, when, or how the FDA may change the orphan drug regulations and policies in the future, and it is uncertain how any changes might affect our business. Depending on what changes the FDA may make to its orphan drug regulations and policies, our business could be adversely impacted.

A potential breakthrough therapy designation by the FDA for our product candidates may not lead to a faster development or regulatory review or approval process, and it does not increase the likelihood that our product candidates will receive marketing approval.

We have sought and may in the future seek a breakthrough therapy designation for some of our product candidates. A breakthrough therapy is defined as a drug or biological product that is intended, alone or in combination with one or more other drugs, to treat a serious or life-threatening disease or condition, and preliminary clinical evidence indicates that the drug or biological product may demonstrate substantial improvement over existing therapies on one or more clinically significant endpoints, such as substantial treatment effects observed early in clinical development. For drugs or biological products that have been designated as breakthrough therapies, interaction and communication between the FDA and the sponsor of the trial can help to identify the most efficient path for clinical development while minimizing the number of patients placed in ineffective control regimens. Drugs designated as breakthrough therapies by the FDA may also be eligible for accelerated approval.

Designation as a breakthrough therapy is within the discretion of the FDA. Accordingly, even if we believe one of our product candidates meets the criteria for designation as a breakthrough therapy, the FDA may disagree and instead determine not to make such designation. In any event, the receipt of a breakthrough therapy designation for a product candidate may not result in a faster development process, review or approval compared to drugs considered for approval under conventional FDA procedures and does not assure ultimate approval by the FDA. In addition, even if one or more of our product candidates qualify as breakthrough therapies, the FDA may later decide that the drugs or biological products no longer meet the conditions for qualification.

A potential regenerative medicine advanced therapy designation by the FDA for our product candidates may not lead to a faster development or regulatory review or approval process, and it does not increase the likelihood that our product candidates will receive marketing approval.

We have sought and may in the future seek a regenerative medicine advanced therapy, or RMAT, designation for some of our product candidates. Under the 21st Century Cures Act, or the Cures Act, to be eligible to receive RMAT designation from the FDA, a product candidate must be (i) considered a “regenerative medicine therapy” as defined in the Cures Act; (ii) intended to treat, modify, reverse, or cure one or more serious or life-threatening diseases or conditions; and (iii) indicated, in preliminary clinical evidence, to have the potential to address unmet medical needs for such diseases or conditions. Gene therapies, including genetically modified cells, that lead to a durable modification of cells or tissues may meet the definition in the Cures Act of a regenerative medicine therapy.

The RMAT program is intended to facilitate efficient development and expedite review of such therapies. A new drug application or a BLA for a product candidate that has received an RMAT designation may be eligible for priority review or accelerated approval through (1) surrogate or intermediate endpoints reasonably likely to predict long-term clinical benefit or (2) reliance upon data obtained from a meaningful number of sites. Benefits of such designation also include early interactions with FDA to discuss any potential surrogate or intermediate endpoint to be used to support accelerated approval. A product candidate that has received an RMAT designation that is granted accelerated approval and is subject to post-approval requirements may fulfill such requirements through the submission of clinical evidence, clinical studies, patient registries, or other sources of real world evidence, such as electronic health records; the collection of larger confirmatory data sets; or post-approval monitoring of all patients treated with such therapy prior to its approval.

In June 2018, the FDA granted RMAT designation for the VY-AADC (NB1b-1817) gene therapy treatment for Parkinson’s disease in patients with motor fluctuations that are refractory to medical management. The designation was based on data from the Phase 1b clinical trial.

RMAT designation is within the discretion of the FDA. Accordingly, even if we believe one of our other product candidates meets the criteria for RMAT designation, the FDA may disagree and instead determine not to make such designation. In any event, the receipt of RMAT designation for a product candidate may not result in a faster development process, review or approval compared to drugs considered for approval under conventional FDA procedures and does not assure ultimate approval by the FDA. In addition, the FDA may later decide that a product candidate that received RMAT designation no longer meets the conditions for designation.

Fast track designation by the FDA may not actually lead to a faster development or regulatory review or approval process and does not assure FDA approval of our product candidate.

If a drug is intended for the treatment of a serious or life-threatening condition and the drug demonstrates the potential to address unmet medical need for this condition, the drug sponsor may apply for FDA fast track designation. VY-AADC (NB1b-1817) has been granted fast track designation by the FDA. We may seek such a designation for our other product candidates. A fast track designation does not ensure that the product candidate will receive marketing approval or that approval will be granted within any particular timeframe. Thus, fast track products may not experience a faster development process, review or approval compared to conventional FDA procedures. In addition, the FDA may withdraw fast track designation if it believes that the designation is no longer supported by data from a product candidate’s clinical development program. Fast track designation alone does not guarantee qualification for the FDA’s priority review procedures.

Priority review designation by the FDA may not lead to a faster regulatory review or approval process and, in any event, does not assure FDA approval of our product candidate.

If the FDA determines that a product candidate offers major advances in treatment or provides a treatment where no adequate therapy exists, the FDA may designate the product candidate for priority review. A priority review designation means that the FDA’s goal to review an application is six months, rather than the standard review period of ten months. We may request priority review for our product candidates. The FDA has broad discretion with respect to

whether or not to grant priority review status to a product candidate, so even if we believe a particular product candidate is eligible for such designation or status, the FDA may decide not to grant it. Moreover, a priority review designation does not necessarily mean a faster regulatory review process or necessarily confer any advantage with respect to approval compared to conventional FDA procedures. Receiving priority review from the FDA does not guarantee approval within the six-month review cycle or thereafter.

Even if we complete the necessary preclinical studies and clinical trials, the marketing approval process is expensive, time-consuming and uncertain and may prevent us from obtaining approvals for the commercialization of some or all of our product candidates. If we or any current or future collaborators are not able to obtain, or if there are delays in obtaining, required regulatory approvals, we or they may not be able to commercialize our products, and our ability to generate revenue may be materially impaired.

Our product candidates and the activities associated with their development and commercialization, including their design, testing, manufacture, safety, efficacy, recordkeeping, labeling, storage, approval, advertising, promotion, sale and distribution, export and import, are subject to comprehensive regulation by the FDA and other regulatory agencies in the United States and by the EMA and comparable regulatory authorities in other countries. Failure to obtain marketing approval for a product candidate will prevent us from commercializing the product candidate. We have not received approval to market any of our product candidates from regulatory authorities in any jurisdiction. We have only limited experience in filing and supporting the applications necessary to gain marketing approvals and expect to rely on third-party CROs to assist us in this process.

Securing marketing approval requires the submission of extensive preclinical and clinical data and supporting information to the various regulatory authorities for each therapeutic indication to establish the product candidate's safety and efficacy. Securing regulatory approval also requires the submission of information about the product manufacturing process to, and inspection of manufacturing facilities by, the relevant regulatory authority. Our product candidates may not be effective, may be only moderately effective or may prove to have undesirable or unintended side effects, toxicities or other characteristics that may preclude our obtaining marketing approval or prevent or limit commercial use.

The process of obtaining marketing approvals, both in the United States and abroad, is expensive; may take many years if additional clinical trials are required, if approval is obtained at all and can vary substantially based upon a variety of factors, including the type, complexity and novelty of the product candidates involved. In the United States, for example, the submission fee to obtain U.S. marketing approval is more than \$2.0 million, and may be higher in the future. Changes in marketing approval policies during the development period, in or the enactment of additional statutes or regulations, or in regulatory review for each submitted product application, may cause delays in the approval or rejection of an application. The FDA and comparable authorities in other countries have substantial discretion in the approval process and may refuse to accept any application or may decide that our data are insufficient for approval and require additional preclinical, clinical or other studies. In addition, varying interpretations of the data obtained from preclinical and clinical testing could delay, limit or prevent marketing approval of a product candidate. Any marketing approval we, or any current or future collaborators, ultimately obtain may be limited or subject to restrictions or post-approval commitments that render the approved product not commercially viable.

Additionally, in July 2018, we received 510(k) regulatory clearance of V-TAG, our potential delivery device, from the Center for Devices and Radiological Health of the FDA, or CDRH. There are additional steps needed in making this device available for use including the manufacture of the product and compliance with state and federal laws and regulations for medical devices. We expect to rely on third parties in the development and manufacture of our potential delivery devices. In May 2018, for example, we entered into a master services and supply agreement with CLPT which provides for CLPT to perform certain manufacturing, supply, development, and services as requested by us, including the supply of the ClearPoint System and cannula devices as well as to collaborate on V-TAG. In March 2019, we transferred our premarket notification (510(k)) clearance for the V-TAG device to CLPT. CLPT has sole responsibility for regulatory compliance related to V-TAG.

Accordingly, if we or any current or future collaborators experience delays in obtaining approval or if we or they fail to obtain or retain approval of our product candidates and devices, the commercial prospects for our product candidates may be harmed and our ability to generate revenues could be materially impaired.

Even if we obtain regulatory approval for a product candidate, our products will remain subject to regulatory oversight.

Even if we obtain any regulatory approval for our product candidates, they will be subject to ongoing regulatory requirements for manufacturing, labeling, packaging, storing, advertising, promoting, sampling, record-keeping and submitting safety and other post-market information. Any regulatory approvals that we receive for our product candidates also may be subject to a REMS, limitations on the approved indicated uses for which the product may be marketed or to the conditions of approval or contain requirements for potentially costly post-marketing testing, including Phase 4 clinical trials, and surveillance to monitor the quality, safety and efficacy of the product. For example, the holder of an approved BLA is obligated to monitor and report adverse events and any failure of a product to meet the specifications in the BLA. FDA guidance advises that patients treated with some types of gene therapy undergo follow-up observations for potential adverse events for as long as 15 years. The holder of an approved BLA also must submit new or supplemental applications and obtain FDA approval for certain changes to the approved product, product labeling or manufacturing process. Advertising and promotional materials must comply with FDA rules and are subject to FDA review, in addition to other potentially applicable federal and state laws.

In addition, product manufacturers and their facilities are subject to payment of user fees and continual review and periodic inspections by the FDA and other regulatory authorities for compliance with cGMP, requirements and adherence to commitments made in the BLA or foreign marketing application. If we, or a regulatory authority, discover previously unknown problems with a product, such as adverse events of unanticipated severity or frequency, or problems with the facility where the product is manufactured or such regulatory authority disagrees with the promotion, marketing or labeling of that product, the regulatory authority may impose restrictions relative to that product, the manufacturing facility or us, including requiring recall or withdrawal of the product from the market or suspension of manufacturing.

If we fail to comply with applicable regulatory requirements following approval of any of our product candidates, a regulatory authority may:

- issue a warning letter asserting that we are in violation of the law;
- seek an injunction or impose administrative, civil or criminal penalties or monetary fines;
- suspend or withdraw regulatory approval;
- suspend any ongoing clinical trials;
- refuse to approve a pending BLA or comparable foreign marketing application, or any supplements thereto, submitted by us or our collaboration partners;
- restrict the marketing or manufacturing of the product;
- seize or detain the product or otherwise require the withdrawal of the product from the market;
- refuse to permit the import or export of products; or
- refuse to allow us to enter into supply contracts, including government contracts.

Any government investigation of alleged violations of law could require us to expend significant time and resources in response and could generate negative publicity. The occurrence of any event or penalty described above

may inhibit our ability to commercialize our product candidates and adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

In addition, FDA policies, and those of equivalent foreign regulatory agencies, may change and additional government regulations may be enacted that could prevent, limit or delay regulatory approval of our product candidates. We cannot predict the likelihood, nature or extent of government regulation that may arise from future legislation or administrative action, either in the United States or abroad. If we are slow or unable to adapt to changes in existing requirements or the adoption of new requirements or policies, or if we are not able to maintain regulatory compliance, we may lose any marketing approval that we may have obtained and we may not achieve or sustain profitability, which would harm our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

We face significant competition in an environment of rapid technological change and the possibility that our competitors may achieve regulatory approval before us or develop therapies that are more advanced or effective than ours, which may harm our business and financial condition, and our ability to successfully market or commercialize our product candidates.

The biopharmaceutical industry is characterized by intense and dynamic competition to develop new technologies and proprietary therapies. Any product candidates that we successfully develop into products and commercialize may compete with existing therapies and new therapies that may become available in the future. While we believe that our gene therapy platform, product programs, product candidates and scientific expertise in the fields of gene therapy and neuroscience provide us with competitive advantages, we face potential competition from various sources, including larger and better-funded pharmaceutical, specialty pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies, as well as from academic institutions, governmental agencies and public and private research institutions.

We are aware of several companies focused on developing AAV gene therapies in various indications, including AAVANTIBio, Inc., Abeona Therapeutics, Inc., Adverum Biotechnologies, Inc., Aevitas Therapeutics, Inc., Apic Bio, Inc., Applied Genetic Technologies Corporation, Asklepios BioPharmaceutical, Inc., Audentes Therapeutics, Inc., AveXis, Inc. (acquired by Novartis AG in 2018), or AveXis, Axovant Sciences Ltd., or Axovant, Biogen, Inc., or Biogen, Brain Neurotherapy Bio, Inc., GenSight Biologics SA, Homology Medicines, Inc., LogicBio Therapeutics, Inc., Lysogene SA, MeiraGTx Ltd., or MeiraGTx, Neurogene, Inc., Passage Bio, Inc., Pfizer, Inc., Prevail Therapeutics, Inc., PTC Therapeutics, Inc., REGENXBio Inc., Sarepta Therapeutics, Inc., Solid Biosciences, Inc., Spark Therapeutics, Inc. (acquired by F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd., or Roche, in 2019), or Spark, StrideBio, Inc., and uniQure NV, or uniQure, as well as several companies addressing other methods for modifying genes and regulating gene expression. Any advances in gene therapy technology made by a competitor may be used to develop therapies that could compete against any of our product candidates.

We expect that VY-AADC (NB1b-1817) will compete with a variety of therapies currently marketed and in development for Parkinson's disease, including DBS marketed by Medtronic plc, Abbott Laboratories (acquired from St. Jude Medical in 2017), and other medical device companies, DUOPA/Duodopa marketed by AbbVie, as well as other novel, non-oral forms of levodopa in development, including Mitsubishi Tanabe Pharma's ND0612 (acquired from NeuroDerm in 2017), Acorda Therapeutics' inhaled levodopa, INBRIJA (CVT-301), and Sunovion Pharmaceuticals', or Sunovion's, sublingual apomorphine, APL-130277. Gene therapy competition for Parkinson's disease previously included AMT-090 or AAV-GDNF, but this was deprioritized by uniQure in 2016. Axovant is developing a second generation LentiVector gene therapy, AXO-Lenti-PD (previously OXB-102, licensed from Oxford Biomedica in 2018). MeiraGTx is developing AAV-GAD, acquired from Vector Neurosciences, Inc. in 2018.

We expect that our preclinical programs will compete with a variety of therapies in development, including:

- VY-HTT01 for Huntington's disease will potentially compete with RG6042 (IONIS-HTTR_x) being developed by Roche in collaboration with Ionis Pharmaceuticals, Inc., or Ionis, WVE-120101 and WVE-120102 being developed by WAVE Life Sciences Ltd. in collaboration with Takeda Pharmaceutical Company Limited, or Takeda, a Zinc Finger Protein (ZFP) therapy being developed by Sangamo

Therapeutics, Inc. in collaboration with Shire plc, and gene therapies being developed by uniQure and Spark;

- VY-SOD102 for a monogenic form of ALS will potentially compete with BIIB067 (IONIS-SOD1R_x) being developed by Biogen, in collaboration with Ionis, and gene therapies being developed by AveXis and Apic Bio, Inc.; VY-FXN01 for Friedreich's ataxia will potentially compete with AAV gene therapies being developed by Pfizer, Inc., PTC Therapeutics, Inc., StrideBio, Inc. in collaboration with Takeda, AAVANTIBio, Inc., and AveXis;
- Our Tau program for tauopathies including Alzheimer's disease, PSP, and FTD will potentially compete with tau antibodies being developed by Roche Genentech Inc. in collaboration with AC Immune SA, Eli Lilly & Co., AbbVie, Biogen, and several other companies, as well as an antisense oligonucleotide program being developed by Ionis in collaboration with Biogen; and
- Our alpha-synuclein program for synucleinopathies, including Parkinson's disease, Lewy Body Dementia, and multiple system atrophy, will potentially compete with alpha-synuclein antibodies being developed by Roche in collaboration with Prothena Corporation, Biogen in collaboration with Neurimmune AG, AstraZeneca plc in collaboration with Takeda, and several other companies, as well as an antisense oligonucleotide program being developed by Ionis in collaboration with Biogen.

We are also aware of several companies and institutions who have developed or are developing real-time, intra-operative, MRI-compatible devices that would compete with V-TAG. Investigators in the Phase 1b, the separate Phase 1 posterior trajectory trial, and the RESTORE-1 Phase 2 clinical trial of VY-AADC (NB1b-1817) have used and are using the ClearPoint System from CLPT.

Many of our potential competitors, alone or with their strategic partners, have substantially greater financial, technical and other resources, such as larger research and development, clinical, marketing and manufacturing organizations. Mergers and acquisitions in the biotechnology and pharmaceutical industries, including recent transactions involving a number of gene therapy companies, may result in even more resources being concentrated among a smaller number of competitors. Smaller and other early stage companies may also prove to be significant competitors, particularly through collaborative agreements with large and established companies. Our commercial opportunity could be reduced or eliminated if competitors develop and commercialize products that are safer, more effective, have fewer or less severe side effects, are more convenient or are less expensive than any products that we may develop. Competitors also may obtain FDA or other regulatory approval for their products more rapidly or earlier than us or may obtain orphan drug or other marketing exclusivity, which could result in our competitors establishing a strong market position before we are able to enter the market or reducing the number of available subjects for enrollment in our clinical trials to support regulatory submissions and approvals of our product. Additionally, technologies developed or acquired by our competitors may render our potential product candidates uneconomical or obsolete, and we may not be successful in marketing our product candidates against competitors. These third parties also compete with us in recruiting and retaining qualified scientific and management personnel, establishing clinical trial sites, and registering patients for clinical trials.

In addition, as a result of the expiration or successful challenge of our patent rights, we could face more litigation with respect to the validity and scope of patents relating to our competitors' products. The availability of our competitors' products could limit the demand, and the price we are able to charge, for any products that we may develop and commercialize. If we are not able to compete effectively against potential competitors, our business will not grow and our financial condition and operations will be harmed.

Even if we obtain and maintain approval for our product candidates from the FDA, we may never obtain approval for our product candidates outside of the United States, which would limit our market opportunities and adversely affect our business.

Approval of a product candidate in the United States by the FDA does not ensure approval of such product candidate by regulatory authorities in other countries or jurisdictions, and approval by one foreign regulatory authority

does not ensure approval by regulatory authorities in other foreign countries or by the FDA. Sales of our product candidates outside of the United States will be subject to foreign regulatory requirements governing clinical trials and marketing approval. Even if the FDA grants marketing approval for a product candidate, comparable regulatory authorities of foreign countries also must approve the manufacturing and marketing of the product candidates in those countries. Approval procedures vary among jurisdictions and can involve requirements and administrative review periods different from, and more onerous than, those in the United States, including additional preclinical studies or clinical trials or manufacturing control requirements. In many countries outside the United States, a product candidate must be separately approved for reimbursement before it can be approved for sale in that country. In some cases, the price that we intend to charge for our products, if approved, is also subject to approval. We intend to submit a marketing authorization application to EMA for approval of our product candidates in the European Union but obtaining such approval from the European Commission following the opinion of EMA is a lengthy and expensive process. Even if a product candidate is approved, the FDA or the European Commission may limit the indications for which the product may be marketed, require extensive warnings on the product labeling or require expensive and time-consuming additional clinical trials or reporting as conditions of approval. Regulatory authorities in countries outside of the United States and the European Union also have requirements for approval of product candidates with which we must comply prior to marketing in those countries. Obtaining foreign regulatory approvals and compliance with foreign regulatory requirements could result in significant delays, difficulties and costs for us and could delay or prevent the introduction of our product candidates in certain countries.

Further, clinical trials conducted in one country may not be accepted by regulatory authorities in other countries. Regulatory approval for any of our product candidates may be withdrawn. If we fail to comply with the regulatory requirements, our target market will be reduced and our ability to realize the full market potential of our product candidates will be harmed and our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects will be harmed.

Additionally, on June 23, 2016, the electorate in the United Kingdom voted in favor of leaving the European Union, commonly referred to as Brexit. Following protracted negotiations, the United Kingdom left the European Union on January 31, 2020. Under the withdrawal agreement, there is a transitional period until December 31, 2020 (extendable up to two years). Discussions between the United Kingdom and the European Union have so far mainly focused on finalizing withdrawal issues and transition agreements but have been extremely difficult to date. To date, only an outline of a trade agreement has been reached. Much remains open but the Prime Minister has indicated that the United Kingdom will not seek to extend the transitional period beyond the end of 2020. If no trade agreement has been reached before the end of the transitional period, there may be significant market and economic disruption. The Prime Minister has also indicated that the United Kingdom will not accept high regulatory alignment with the European Union.

Since the regulatory framework for pharmaceutical products in the United Kingdom covering quality, safety, and efficacy of pharmaceutical products, clinical trials, marketing authorization, commercial sales, and distribution of pharmaceutical products is derived from European Union directives and regulations, Brexit could materially impact the future regulatory regime that applies to products and the approval of product candidates in the United Kingdom. Any delay in obtaining, or an inability to obtain, any marketing approvals, as a result of Brexit or otherwise, may force us to restrict or delay efforts to seek regulatory approval in the United Kingdom and/or European Union for our product candidates, which could significantly and materially harm our business.

Risks Related to Third Parties

To date, all of our revenue has been derived from our collaborations with Sanofi Genzyme, AbbVie, and Neurocrine. If any ongoing or future collaboration agreements were to be terminated, our business financial condition, results of operations and prospects could be harmed.

In February 2015, we entered into the Sanofi Genzyme Collaboration Agreement to leverage our combined expertise and assets in gene therapy for neurological diseases. Under the Sanofi Genzyme Collaboration Agreement, we received an upfront commitment of approximately \$100.0 million. Pursuant to the agreement, we granted Sanofi Genzyme an exclusive option to license, develop and commercialize (i) ex-U.S. rights to the Parkinson's disease program, or VY-AADC Program, Friedreich's ataxia program, or FA Program, and Huntington's disease program, or Huntington's Program, and a future program, collectively, the Split Territory Programs, with an incremental option to co-commercialize the product candidate from our Huntington's Program in the United States and (ii) worldwide rights to

our spinal muscular atrophy program. If Sanofi Genzyme would have exercised an option for a Split Territory Program, except for the VY-AADC Program, it would have been required to make an option exercise payment to us. At the inception of the agreement, we were eligible to receive up to \$745.0 million in the aggregate upon the achievement of specified regulatory and commercial milestones, as well as tiered royalty payments based on a percentage of net sales of product candidates from the programs for which Sanofi Genzyme exercised its option, or the Optioned Programs.

In June 2019, we and Sanofi Genzyme executed a termination agreement to terminate the Sanofi Genzyme Collaboration Agreement, or the Sanofi Genzyme Termination Agreement. Under the terms of the Sanofi Genzyme Termination Agreement, Sanofi Genzyme has relinquished its rights to its exclusive license options to the Huntington's Program, FA Program and the unnamed future program described above. We have been relieved of our obligations to perform the research and development services under those programs under the Sanofi Genzyme Collaboration Agreement. As a result, we gained worldwide rights to the Huntington's Program and ex-U.S. rights to the FA Program. Our ex-U.S. rights to the FA Program were, in turn, transferred from us to Neurocrine Biosciences pursuant to the Neurocrine Collaboration Agreement. In connection with the Sanofi Genzyme Termination Agreement, we have also relinquished our rights to the spinal muscular atrophy program thereunder. As of the termination date, we waived our right to approximately \$0.4 million in unused in-kind services, we have relinquished our rights to the spinal muscular atrophy program, and we no longer have the right to receive any option payments, regulatory or commercial milestone payments or royalties from Sanofi Genzyme under the Sanofi Genzyme Collaboration Agreement.

In February 2018, we entered into an exclusive collaboration and option agreement with AbbVie, which we refer to as the AbbVie Tau Collaboration Agreement, for the research, development, and commercialization of AAV gene therapy products for the treatment of diseases of the central nervous system and other neurodegenerative diseases related to defective or excess aggregation of tau protein in the human brain, including Alzheimer's disease. Under the terms of the AbbVie Tau Collaboration Agreement, we received an upfront payment of \$69.0 million and may receive option exercise payments, future development and regulatory milestone payments and royalties.

Under the AbbVie Tau Collaboration Agreement, we are obligated to use diligent efforts to conduct research and development activities, including IND-enabling and Phase 1 clinical trial activities, for which we are solely financially responsible. Our research and development activities in connection with this collaboration might not be successful. We cannot accurately predict when or if any of our product candidates will prove effective or safe in humans or whether these product candidates will receive marketing approval. There can be no assurance that we will not experience problems or delays in developing our product candidates and that such problems or delays will not cause unanticipated costs, or that any such development problems can be solved. The AbbVie Tau Collaboration Agreement shall automatically terminate if AbbVie decides not to exercise one or more of its options prior to the expiration of the specified periods of the collaboration. If AbbVie does not exercise one or more of its options, we would have incurred significant research and development expenses but would not receive any future option exercise, milestone, or royalty payments under the collaboration.

Such research and development activities shall be pursuant to plans agreed to by the parties and overseen by a joint governance committee, or JGC. Initially, we will have final decision-making authority within the JGC, subject to specified limitations. If AbbVie exercises its license option, however, AbbVie will assume final decision-making authority within the JGC. If AbbVie exercises its license option, it will also be solely responsible for all development and commercialization activities relating to compounds and products licensed pursuant to the agreement, and, at AbbVie's request, we could be required to effect a full transfer of the manufacturing process for such compound and products. Even if AbbVie does not exercise any of its options under the AbbVie Tau Collaboration Agreement, we have agreed to grant AbbVie perpetual, exclusive or non-exclusive (as the case may be), worldwide licenses to certain know-how and patent rights developed by us or jointly by us and AbbVie arising from the collaboration.

AbbVie might not be effective in administering the JGC, obtaining approvals for the product candidates arising from our collaboration, or commercializing or manufacturing the resulting products. Further, AbbVie's objectives in connection with the collaboration may not be consistent with our best interests. AbbVie could use its leadership of the JGC or intellectual property it has licensed under the AbbVie Tau Collaboration Agreement in a manner adverse to us, or it could halt, slow, or deprioritize its development and commercialization efforts under the collaboration. In any such instances, our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects could be materially harmed.

In January 2019, we entered into the Neurocrine Collaboration Agreement for the research, development and commercialization of four programs including the VY-AADC Program, our FA Program, and two programs to be determined by us and Neurocrine at a later date, or the Discovery Programs. Under the terms of the agreement, we received an upfront payment of \$115.0 million and may receive future development and regulatory milestones and royalties. In connection with the Neurocrine Collaboration Agreement, Neurocrine also paid us \$50.0 million as consideration for an equity purchase of 4,179,728 shares of our common stock. In June 2019, in conjunction with the termination of the Sanofi Genzyme Collaboration Agreement, we and Neurocrine amended the Neurocrine Collaboration Agreement to facilitate the transfer of the ex-U.S. rights to the FA Program which we acquired from Sanofi Genzyme to Neurocrine. In connection with the amendment, we received a \$5.0 million payment from Neurocrine.

Under the terms of the Neurocrine Collaboration Agreement, subject to the rights retained by us thereunder, we have agreed to collaborate with Neurocrine on, and to grant, exclusive, royalty-bearing, non-transferable, sublicensable licenses to certain of our intellectual property rights for all human and veterinary diagnostic, prophylactic, and therapeutic uses for the research, development, and commercialization of gene therapy Collaboration Products, under (i) the VY-AADC Program, on a worldwide basis; (ii) the FA Program, on a worldwide basis; and (iii) each Discovery Program, on a worldwide basis. We refer to each of these programs as a Neurocrine Program and, collectively, as the Neurocrine Programs.

Pursuant to development plans to be agreed by the parties, which will be overseen by a joint steering committee, we have operational responsibility, subject to certain exceptions, for the conduct of each Neurocrine Program (prior to specified transition events for each program), and are required to use commercially reasonable efforts to develop the Collaboration Products. Neurocrine has agreed to be responsible for all costs incurred by us in conducting these activities for each Neurocrine Program in accordance with an agreed budget. If we breach our development responsibilities or in certain circumstances upon a change in control of us, Neurocrine has the right but not the obligation to assume the activities under such Neurocrine Program.

Upon the occurrence of specified events for each program, Neurocrine has agreed to assume responsibility for development, manufacturing and commercialization activities for such program and to pay us milestones and royalties on future net sales. For each of the VY-AADC Program and the FA Program, we have the option to co-develop and co-commercialize such program upon the occurrence of a specified event. Should we elect to exercise our co-development and co-commercialization option, we and Neurocrine have agreed to enter into a cost- and profit-sharing arrangement whereby we and Neurocrine agree to jointly develop and commercialize Collaboration Products for such program and share in its costs, profits and losses, and we have agreed to forfeit certain milestones and royalties on net sales in the United States during the effective period of the applicable co-development and co-commercialization agreement. As described above, our research and development activities in connection with a collaboration might not be successful. Neurocrine may terminate the Neurocrine Collaboration Agreement in its entirety or on a program-by-program or country-by-country basis by providing at least 180-day advance notice if such notice is provided prior to the first commercial sale of the Collaboration Product to which the termination applies or one-year advance notice if such notice is provided after the first commercial sale of the Collaboration Product to which the termination applies. If Neurocrine were to terminate the agreement, we would become responsible for all research and development expenses relating to the Neurocrine Programs, and would not receive any future milestone payments or royalty payments under the Neurocrine Collaboration Agreement.

Neurocrine might not be successful in obtaining approvals for the product candidates arising from our collaboration, or commercializing or manufacturing the resulting products. Further, Neurocrine's objectives in connection with the collaboration may not be consistent with our best interests. With respect to the rights granted to Neurocrine by us, Neurocrine could take actions that may be adverse to us, or it could halt, slow, or deprioritize its development and commercialization efforts under the collaboration. In any such instances, our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects could be materially harmed.

In February 2019, we entered into a collaboration agreement, which we refer to as the AbbVie Alpha-Synuclein Collaboration Agreement, for the research, development, and commercialization of AAV gene therapy products directed against alpha-synuclein for indications including Parkinson's disease and other synucleinopathies. Under the terms of the AbbVie Alpha-Synuclein Collaboration Agreement, we received an upfront payment of \$65.0 million and may receive option exercise payments, future development and regulatory milestone payments and royalties.

Under the terms of the agreement, we and AbbVie have agreed to collaborate on the research and development of the specified vectorized antibody compounds, or the Research Compounds. We are obligated to conduct research activities directed to constructing one or more virus vectors that encode antibodies designated by AbbVie. We are obligated to use diligent efforts to conduct antibody engineering and other research activities to create Research Compounds and to develop product candidates containing or comprised of such Research Compounds, which we refer to as Product Candidates. We are solely responsible for the costs and expenses during the research period. During a specified portion of the Research Period, AbbVie may exercise one or more of its exclusive development options to select up to a total of four Research Compounds and their corresponding product candidates to proceed to the Development Period. As described above, our research and development activities in connection with a collaboration might not be successful. The AbbVie Alpha-Synuclein Collaboration Agreement shall automatically terminate if AbbVie decides not to exercise one or more of its options prior to the expiration of the specified periods of the collaboration. If AbbVie did not exercise one or more of its options, we would have incurred significant research and development expenses but would not receive any future option exercise, milestone payments, or royalty payments under the collaboration.

Such research and development activities shall be pursuant to plans agreed to by the parties and overseen by a JGC. Initially, we will have final decision-making authority within the JGC, subject to specified limitations. If AbbVie exercises its license option, however, AbbVie will assume final decision-making authority within the JGC. If AbbVie exercises its license option, it will also be solely responsible for all development and commercialization activities relating to compounds and products licensed pursuant to the AbbVie Alpha-Synuclein Collaboration Agreement, and, at AbbVie's request, we could be required to effect a full transfer of the manufacturing process for such compound and products. Even if AbbVie does not exercise any of its options under the AbbVie Alpha-Synuclein Collaboration Agreement, we have agreed to grant AbbVie perpetual, exclusive or non-exclusive (as the case may be), worldwide licenses to certain know-how and patent rights developed by us or jointly by us and AbbVie arising from the collaboration.

AbbVie might not be effective in administering the JGC, obtaining approvals for the product candidates arising from our collaboration, or commercializing or manufacturing the resulting products. Further, AbbVie's objectives in connection with the collaboration may not be consistent with our best interests. AbbVie could use its leadership of the JGC or intellectual property it has licensed under the AbbVie Alpha-Synuclein Collaboration Agreement in a manner adverse to us, or it could halt, slow, or deprioritize its development and commercialization efforts under the collaboration. In any such instances, our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects could be materially harmed.

We have only used the ClearPoint System to deliver our product candidates to date. While other devices for delivery may be used in the future, any issues with the ClearPoint System or the manufacturer of the ClearPoint System may result in delays in the development and commercialization of certain of our product candidates, which could have an adverse impact on our business.

The surgical approach that we are using for VY-AADC (NBIB-1817) is similar, in some respects, to the stereotactic approach used for DBS. One primary difference with our approach is the ability to assist the physician in visualizing the delivery of VY-AADC (NBIB-1817) to the putamen using real-time, intra-operative, magnetic resonance imaging scans, or MRI imaging, to avoid specific blood vessels to potentially reduce the risk of hemorrhages during the surgical procedure and to maximize the coverage of the putamen.

Investigators in the Phase 1b clinical trial, the separate Phase 1 posterior trajectory trial, and the RESTORE-1 Phase 2 clinical trial for VY-AADC (NBIB-1817) have used and are using the real-time, intra-operative, MRI imaging system known as the ClearPoint System. The ClearPoint System is manufactured by CLPT. Not all neurosurgical units within the United States utilize the ClearPoint system and may employ other neuro-navigational systems that are not compatible with real-time MRI imaging. We and Neurocrine intend to use the ClearPoint System at certain sites in the RESTORE-1 Phase 2 clinical trial and may choose to use it in future clinical trials of VY-AADC (NBIB-1817) and any other of our product candidates that are injected directly into the brain. Therefore, any issues with the ClearPoint System, such as a finding that use of the ClearPoint System causes adverse events or a product recall, or issues with CLPT, the manufacturer of the ClearPoint System, such as bankruptcy or a decision to stop production of the system due to lack of profitability, could delay the development or commercialization of certain of our product candidates, including VY-

AADC (NBIB-1817), as there currently is no other manufacturer of the ClearPoint System. Outside the United States, the ClearPoint System is not widely available or utilized in neurosurgical units.

We have developed V-TAG as our own real-time, intra-operative device that is compatible with MRI imaging and can be used with other neuro-navigational systems to dose VY-AADC (NBIB-1817) and for other surgical procedures. We believe that the experience we have gained from delivering VY-AADC (NBIB-1817) in our clinical trials to date and our work to develop V-TAG may inform AAV gene therapy delivery for our Huntington's Program and other projects. In July 2018, we received 510(k) regulatory clearance of V-TAG from the CDRH. There are additional steps needed in making this device available for use, including the manufacture of the product and compliance with state and federal laws and regulations for medical devices.

We expect to rely on third parties in the development and manufacture of our potential delivery devices. In May 2018, we entered into a master services and supply agreement with CLPT for the development and manufacture of devices, including V-TAG. This agreement provides for CLPT to perform certain manufacturing, supply, development and other services, including the supply of the ClearPoint System and cannula devices. In March 2019, we transferred our premarket notification (510(k)) clearance for the V-TAG device to CLPT, and will work with CLPT on the manufacturing and clinical supply of the device.

As of December 31, 2019, CLPT reported cash and cash equivalents of \$5.7 million and junior secured debt totaling approximately \$2.1 million, and also reported a net loss of \$5.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2019. CLPT has disclosed that it is not generating sufficient revenues from its operations to fund its activities, that it is dependent upon external sources for financing its operations, and that there is a risk that CLPT will be unable to obtain necessary financing to continue its operations. In April 2019, CLPT also acknowledged that its auditors in their report on CLPT's consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2018 expressed substantial doubt regarding CLPT's ability to continue as a going concern. In January 2020, ClearPoint Neuro announced that it had completed a \$17.5 million financing with PTC Therapeutics, Inc. and Petrichor Opportunities Fund I LP. Even with this strategic investment, there is risk that ClearPoint Neuro may fail to maintain sufficient funding to continue its operations and meet its obligations under our agreement with ClearPoint Neuro on a long-term basis. If CLPT, or any potential successor to CLPT, is not able to meet its obligations under our agreement with CLPT, and if we are not able to make suitable alternative arrangements for the supply of the ClearPoint System or V-TAG, the use of the ClearPoint System and V-TAG in our clinical trials could be adversely affected, and our clinical trials, including the RESTORE-1 Phase 2 clinical trial, could be delayed. In such circumstance, our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects could be materially harmed.

We may seek to enter into collaborations in the future with other third parties. If we are unable to enter into such collaborations, or if these collaborations are not successful, our business could be adversely affected.

We may seek to enter into additional collaborations in the future, including sales, marketing, distribution, development, licensing, and/or broader collaboration agreements. Our likely collaborators include large and mid-size pharmaceutical companies, regional and national pharmaceutical companies, biotechnology companies, and medical device manufacturers. However, we may not be able to enter into additional collaborations on favorable terms or at all. Our ability to generate revenues from our collaborations will depend on our and our collaborators' abilities to successfully perform the functions assigned to each of us in these arrangements. In addition, our collaborators might have the ability to abandon research or development projects and terminate applicable agreements. Moreover, an unsuccessful outcome in any clinical trial for which our collaborator is responsible could be harmful to the public perception and prospects of our gene therapy platform.

Our relationship with any current or future collaborators may pose several risks, including the following:

- collaborators have significant discretion in determining the amount and timing of the efforts and resources that they will apply to these collaborations;
- collaborators may not perform their obligations as expected or desired;

- the preclinical studies and clinical trials conducted as part of these collaborations may not be successful;
- collaborators may not pursue development and commercialization of any product candidates that achieve regulatory approval or may elect not to continue or renew development or commercialization programs based on preclinical study or clinical trial results, changes in the collaborators' strategic focus or available funding or external factors, such as an acquisition, that divert resources or create competing priorities;
- collaborators may delay preclinical studies and clinical trials, provide insufficient funding for preclinical studies and clinical trials, stop a preclinical study or clinical trial or abandon a product candidate, repeat or conduct new preclinical studies or clinical trials or require a new formulation of a product candidate for preclinical studies or clinical trials;
- we may not have access to, or may be restricted from disclosing, certain information regarding product candidates being developed or commercialized under a collaboration and, consequently, may have limited ability to inform our stockholders about the status of such product candidates;
- collaborators could independently develop, or develop with third parties, products that compete directly or indirectly with our product candidates if the collaborators believe that competitive products are more likely to be successfully developed or can be commercialized under terms that are more economically attractive than ours;
- product candidates developed in collaboration with us may be viewed by our collaborators as competitive with their own product candidates or products, which may cause collaborators to cease to devote resources to the commercialization of our product candidates;
- a collaborator with marketing and distribution rights to one or more of our product candidates that achieve regulatory approval may not commit sufficient resources to the marketing and distribution of any such product candidate;
- disagreements with collaborators, including disagreements over proprietary rights, contract interpretation or the preferred course of development of any product candidates, may cause delays or termination of the research, development or commercialization of such product candidates, may lead to additional responsibilities or expenses for us with respect to such product candidates or may result in litigation or arbitration, any of which would be time-consuming and expensive;
- collaborators may not properly maintain or defend our intellectual property rights or may use our proprietary information in such a way as to invite litigation that could jeopardize or invalidate our intellectual property or proprietary information or expose us to potential litigation;
- disputes may arise with respect to the ownership or inventorship of intellectual property developed pursuant to our collaborations;
- collaborators may infringe the intellectual property rights of third parties, which may expose us to litigation and potential liability;
- the terms of our collaboration agreement may restrict us from entering into certain relationships with other third parties, thereby limiting our options; and
- collaborations may be terminated for the convenience of the collaborator and, if terminated, we could be required to raise additional capital to pursue further development or commercialization of the applicable product candidates.

Collaboration agreements may not lead to the development or commercialization of product candidates in the most efficient manner, or at all. If our collaborations do not result in the successful development and commercialization of products, or if one of our collaborators terminates its agreement with us, we may not receive any future research funding or milestone or royalty payments under the collaboration. If we do not receive the funding we expect under these agreements, our development of our product candidates could be delayed, and we may need additional resources to develop our product candidates. Additionally, subject to its contractual obligations to us, if a collaborator of ours were to be involved in a business combination, it might deemphasize or terminate the development or commercialization of any product candidate licensed to it by us. If one of our collaborators terminates its agreement with us, we may find it more difficult to attract new collaborators, and the perception of us in the business and financial communities could be adversely affected. All of the risks relating to product development, regulatory approval and commercialization described in this periodic report also apply to the activities of our collaborators.

We will face significant competition in seeking appropriate collaborators, and the negotiation process is time-consuming and complex. Our ability to reach a definitive collaboration agreement with any future collaborators will depend, among other things, upon our assessment of the collaborator's resources and expertise, the terms and conditions of the proposed collaboration and the proposed collaborator's evaluation of several factors. Those factors may include the design or results of clinical trials, the likelihood of approval by the FDA or similar regulatory authorities outside the United States, the potential market for the subject product candidate, the costs and complexities of manufacturing and delivering such product candidate to patients, the potential of competing products, the existence of uncertainty with respect to our ownership of technology, which can exist if there is a challenge to such ownership without regard to the merits of the challenge, and industry and market conditions generally. The collaborator may also consider alternative product candidates or technologies for similar indications that may be available to collaborate on and whether such a collaboration could be more attractive than the one with us for our product candidate. We may also be restricted under future license agreements from entering into agreements on certain terms with potential collaborators. In addition, there have been a significant number of recent business combinations among large pharmaceutical companies that have resulted in a reduced number of potential future collaborators.

If we are unable to reach agreements with suitable collaborators on a timely basis, on acceptable terms, or at all, we may have to curtail the development of a product candidate, reduce or delay its development program or one or more of our other development programs, delay its potential commercialization or reduce the scope of any sales or marketing activities, or increase our expenditures and undertake development or commercialization activities at our own expense. If we elect to fund and undertake development or commercialization activities on our own, we may need to obtain additional expertise and additional capital, which may not be available to us on acceptable terms or at all. If we fail to enter into collaborations and do not have sufficient funds or expertise to undertake the necessary development and commercialization activities, we may not be able to further develop our product candidates or bring them to market or continue to develop our gene therapy platform. If we license rights to product candidates, we may not be able to realize the benefit of such transactions if we are unable to successfully integrate them with our existing operations and company culture.

We have relied, and we expect to continue to rely, on third parties to conduct, supervise and monitor our preclinical studies and clinical trials, and if these third parties perform in an unsatisfactory manner, our business could be harmed.

We expect to rely on CROs, clinical trial sites, and other vendors to ensure our preclinical studies and clinical trials are conducted properly and on time. We may also engage third parties such as clinical data management organizations, medical institutions and clinical investigators to conduct or assist in our clinical trials or other preclinical and clinical research and development work. While we will have agreements governing their activities, we will have limited influence over their actual performance. We will control only certain aspects of our third-party service providers' activities. Nevertheless, we will be responsible for ensuring that each of our preclinical studies and clinical trials is conducted in accordance with the applicable protocol, legal, regulatory and scientific standards. Our reliance on these third-parties does not relieve us of our regulatory responsibilities. For example, the Phase 1b clinical trial of VY-AADC (NB1b-1817) and the separate Phase 1 trial exploring the delivery of VY-AADC (NB1b-1817) using a posterior trajectory were conducted at several locations. We expect to conduct the RESTORE-1 Phase 2 clinical trial at over twenty clinical trial sites, including neurosurgical and neurology patient referral sites. If any locations terminate the clinical trial, we would be required to find another party to conduct any new trials. We may be unable to find a new party to conduct new trials of our product candidates or obtain clinical supply of our product candidates or AAV vectors for such trials. If we

elect to internalize some or all activities related to the conduct of our preclinical studies or clinical trials that are currently performed by our third-party service providers, or if we are required to do so due to a service provider's termination of our relationship, then we may be required to source additional technology and personnel in order to perform the relevant activities. We may be unsuccessful in our efforts to internalize some or all relevant activities, either on the desired timeline or at all.

We and our third-party service providers are required to comply with the FDA's good laboratory practices, or GLPs, and GCPs for conducting, recording and reporting the results of IND-enabling preclinical studies and clinical studies to assure that the data and reported results are credible and accurate and that the rights, integrity and confidentiality of clinical trial participants are protected. We are also required to register ongoing clinical trials and post the results of completed clinical trials on a government-sponsored database, ClinicalTrials.gov, within specified timeframes. The FDA enforces these GLPs and GCPs through periodic inspections of trial sponsors, principal investigators, clinical trial sites, and laboratories at which the FDA may determine that our clinical trials did not comply with GLPs or GCPs. If we, our collaborators, or our third-party service providers fail to comply with applicable GLPs or GCPs, the preclinical or clinical data generated in our future preclinical studies or clinical trials may be deemed unreliable and the FDA may require us to perform additional preclinical studies or clinical trials before approving the relevant INDs or marketing applications. In addition, our future clinical trials will require a sufficient number of patients to evaluate the safety and effectiveness of our product candidates. Accordingly, if we, our collaborators, or our third-party service providers fail to comply with these regulations or fail to recruit a sufficient number of patients, we may be required to repeat such preclinical studies or clinical trials, which would delay the regulatory approval process. Failure to comply can also result in fines, adverse publicity, and civil and criminal sanctions.

Our third-party service providers are not our employees, and we are therefore unable to directly monitor whether or not they devote sufficient time and resources to our clinical and nonclinical programs. These third-party service providers may also have relationships with other commercial entities, including our competitors, for whom they may also be conducting clinical trials or other drug development activities that could harm our competitive position. If our third-party service providers do not successfully carry out their contractual duties or obligations, fail to meet expected deadlines, or if the quality or accuracy of the preclinical or clinical data they obtain is compromised due to the failure to adhere to our clinical protocols or regulatory requirements, or for any other reasons, our preclinical studies or clinical trials may be extended, delayed or terminated, and we may not be able to obtain regulatory approval for, or successfully commercialize our product candidates. As a result, our financial results and the commercial prospects for our product candidates could be harmed, our costs could increase, and our ability to generate revenues could be delayed.

Risks Related to Manufacturing

Gene therapies and their companion diagnostics are novel, complex and difficult to manufacture. We could experience manufacturing problems that result in delays in the development or commercialization of our product candidates or otherwise harm our business.

The manufacture of gene therapy products is technically complex and necessitates substantial expertise and capital investment. Production difficulties caused by unforeseen events may delay the availability of material for our clinical studies. To meet the requirements of our current and planned future trials we have developed a proprietary manufacturing platform that provides a robust and scalable process for AAV production. We are using the baculovirus/Sf9 AAV production system, a technology for producing AAV gene therapy vectors at scale in insect-derived cells. The process has been successfully transferred to our contract manufacturing organizations where it is used in manufacturing clinical materials in accordance with the FDA's current good manufacturing practices, or cGMPs. We have also built an onsite, state-of-the-art process research and development facility to enable the manufacturing of high quality AAV gene therapy vectors at laboratory scale.

We presently contract with third parties for the manufacturing of our program materials. We are currently assessing our manufacturing capabilities and although we do not currently have our own clinical or commercial scale manufacturing, we may choose to build those capabilities. The use of contracted manufacturing and reliance on collaboration partners is relatively cost efficient and has eliminated the need for our direct investment in manufacturing facilities and additional staff early in development. Although we rely on contract manufacturers, we have personnel with manufacturing and quality experience to oversee our contract manufacturers.

To date, our third-party manufacturers have met our manufacturing requirements for our program materials. We expect third-party manufacturers to be capable of providing sufficient quantities of our program materials to meet anticipated clinical trial scale demands. To meet our projected needs for commercial manufacturing, third parties with whom we currently work might need to increase their scale of production or we will need to secure alternate suppliers. We believe that there are alternate sources of supply for our program materials that can satisfy our clinical and commercial requirements, although we cannot be certain that identifying and establishing relationships with such sources, if necessary, would not result in significant delay or material additional costs.

To date, our third-party manufacturers have met our quality standards for our program materials. The manufacturers of pharmaceutical products must comply with strictly enforced cGMP requirements, state and federal regulations, as well as foreign requirements when applicable. Any failure by us or our contract manufacturing organizations to adhere to or document compliance to such regulatory requirements could lead to a delay or interruption in the availability of our program materials for clinical study. If we or our manufacturers were to fail to comply with the FDA, EMA, or other regulatory authority, it could result in sanctions being imposed on us, including clinical holds, fines, injunctions, civil penalties, delays, suspension or withdrawal of approvals, license revocation, seizures or recalls of product candidates or products, operating restrictions and criminal prosecutions, any of which could significantly and adversely affect supplies of our product candidates. Our potential future dependence upon others for the manufacture of our product candidates may also adversely affect our future profit margins and our ability to commercialize any product candidates that receive regulatory approval on a timely and competitive basis.

Biological products are inherently difficult to manufacture. Our program materials are manufactured using technically complex processes requiring specialized equipment and facilities, highly specific raw materials, cells, and reagents, and other production constraints. Several of these raw materials, cells, and reagents are provided by a limited number of suppliers. Even though we aim to have backup supplies and suppliers of raw materials, cells, and reagents whenever possible, we cannot be certain they will be sufficient if our primary sources are unavailable. A shortage of a critical raw material, cell line, or reagent, or a technical issue during manufacturing may lead to delays in clinical development or commercialization plans. Any changes in the manufacturing of components of the raw materials we use could result in unanticipated or unfavorable effects on our manufacturing processes, including delays.

Companion diagnostic devices may be required to diagnose a genetic disease or to determine patient antibody levels to certain components in a product, and could also require a sophisticated, technically complex manufacturing processes. If we or our contract manufacturing organizations fail to manufacture such diagnostics or comply with relevant regulatory requirements or approvals, we might seek to transition such manufacturing processes to another contract manufacturing organization. We might not be able to transition such processes in a timely manner or at all, and our commercialization and development efforts could be delayed.

Delays in obtaining regulatory approval of our or our collaborators' manufacturing processes and facilities or disruptions in such manufacturing processes may delay or disrupt our commercialization efforts. Until recently, no cGMP gene therapy manufacturing facility in the United States had received approval from the FDA for the manufacture of an approved gene therapy product.

Before we can begin to commercially manufacture a product candidate in our own facility, or the facility of a collaborator, we must obtain regulatory approval from the FDA for our manufacturing process and our collaborator's facility. A manufacturing authorization must also be obtained from the appropriate European Union regulatory authorities. Until recently, no cGMP gene therapy manufacturing facility in the United States had received approval from the FDA for the manufacture of an approved gene therapy product and, therefore, the timeframe required for us to obtain such approval is uncertain. In addition, we must pass a pre-approval inspection of our or our collaborator's manufacturing facility by the FDA and other relevant regulatory authorities before any of our product candidates can obtain marketing approval. In order to obtain approval, we will need to ensure that all of our processes, methods and equipment are compliant with cGMP, and perform extensive audits of vendors, contract laboratories and suppliers. If any of our vendors, contract laboratories or suppliers is found to be out of compliance with cGMP, we may experience delays or disruptions in manufacturing while we work with these third parties to remedy the violation or while we work to identify suitable replacement vendors. The cGMP requirements govern quality control of the manufacturing process and documentation policies and procedures. In complying with cGMP, we will be obligated to expend time, money and effort

in production, record keeping and quality control to assure that the product meets applicable specifications and other requirements. If we fail to comply with these requirements, we would be subject to possible regulatory action and may not be permitted to sell any products that we may develop.

Failure to comply with ongoing regulatory requirements could cause us to suspend production or put in place costly or time-consuming remedial measures.

The regulatory authorities may, at any time, following approval of a product for sale, audit the manufacturing facilities for such product or institute biennial inspections. If any such inspection or audit identifies a failure to comply with applicable regulations, or if a violation of product specifications or applicable regulations occurs independent of such an inspection or audit, the relevant regulatory authority may require remedial measures that may be costly or time-consuming to implement and that may include the temporary or permanent suspension of a clinical trial or commercial sales or the temporary or permanent closure of a manufacturing facility. Any such remedial measures imposed upon our third-party manufacturers, our collaborators, or us could harm our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

If our third-party manufacturers, our collaborators, or we fail to comply with applicable cGMP regulations, FDA and foreign regulatory authorities can impose regulatory sanctions including, among other things, refusal to approve a pending application for a new product candidate or suspension or revocation of a pre-existing approval. Such an occurrence may cause our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects to be harmed.

Additionally, if supply from any third-party manufacturers is delayed or interrupted, there could be a significant disruption in the supply of our clinical or commercial material. We have agreements in place with our contract manufacturers pursuant to which we are collaborating on cGMP manufacturing processes and analytical methods for the manufacture of our AAV product candidates. Therefore, if we are unable to enter into an agreement with our contract manufacturers to manufacture clinical or commercial material for our product programs, or if our agreement with our contract manufacturers were terminated, we would have to find suitable alternative manufacturers. This could delay our or our collaborators' ability to conduct clinical trials or commercialize our current and future product candidates. The regulatory authorities also may require additional trials if a new manufacturer is relied upon for commercial production. Switching manufacturers may involve substantial costs and could result in a delay in our desired clinical and commercial timelines.

Any contamination in the manufacturing process for our products or product candidates, shortages of raw materials, cells or reagents, or failure of any of our key suppliers to deliver necessary components could result in delays in our clinical development or marketing schedules.

Given the nature of biologics manufacturing, there is a risk of contamination. Any contamination could adversely affect our ability to produce product candidates on schedule and could, therefore, harm our results of operations and cause reputational damage.

Some of the raw materials required in our manufacturing process are derived from biologic sources. Such raw materials are difficult to procure and may be subject to contamination or recall. A material shortage, contamination, recall or restriction on the use of biologically derived substances in the manufacture of our product candidates could adversely impact or disrupt the commercial manufacturing or the production of clinical material, which could adversely affect our development timelines and our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

Interruptions in the supply of product candidates or inventory loss may harm our operating results and financial condition.

Our product candidates and our product delivery devices are manufactured using technically complex processes requiring specialized facilities, highly specific raw materials and other production constraints. The complexity of these processes, as well as strict government standards for the manufacture and storage of our product candidates and delivery devices, subjects us to manufacturing risks. While product candidate batches released for use in clinical trials or for commercialization undergo sample testing, some defects may only be identified following product release. In addition, process deviations or unanticipated effects of approved process changes may result in these intermediate products not complying with stability requirements or specifications. Our product candidates and delivery devices must be stored and transported at temperatures within a certain range and in sterile environments. If these temperature and environmental

conditions deviate, the remaining shelf-life of a product candidate and utility of a device could be impaired or their efficacy and safety could be negatively impacted, making them no longer suitable for use.

The occurrence, or suspected occurrence, of manufacturing and distribution difficulties can lead to lost inventories and, in some cases, product recalls, with consequential reputational damage and the risk of product liability. The investigation and remediation of any identified problems can cause production delays, substantial expense, lost sales and delays of new product launches. Any interruption in the supply of finished products or the loss thereof could hinder our ability to timely distribute our products and satisfy customer demand. Any unforeseen failure in the storage of the product or loss in supply could delay our clinical trials and, if our product candidates are approved, result in a loss of our market share and negatively affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

Failure to obtain access to or to protect intellectual property related to the manufacturing of our products or product candidates may result in changes, delays and/or inability to manufacture such products or product candidates.

The intellectual property related to the manufacture of biological products is complex. If we are unable to maintain control of manufacturing technology such as our trade secrets, or we are unable to protect ongoing improvements comprehensively and in a sufficient number of jurisdictions, it would impact our ability to produce products for commercial sale or product candidates for preclinical testing or clinical trials and our development timelines and operations timelines could be adversely affected.

Risks Related to Our Business Operations

We may not be successful in our efforts to identify or discover additional product candidates and may fail to capitalize on programs or product candidates that may be a greater commercial opportunity, or for which there is a greater likelihood of success.

The success of our business depends upon our ability to identify, develop and commercialize product candidates generated through our gene therapy platform. Research programs to identify new product candidates require substantial technical, financial and human resources. Although VY-AADC (NBIB-1817) is currently in clinical development and our other product candidates are in preclinical development, we may fail to identify other potential product candidates for clinical development for several reasons. For example, our research may be unsuccessful in identifying potential product candidates or our potential product candidates may be shown to have harmful side effects, may be commercially impracticable to manufacture or may have other characteristics that may make the products unmarketable or unlikely to receive marketing approval.

Additionally, because we have limited resources, we may forego or delay pursuit of opportunities with certain programs or product candidates or for indications that later prove to have greater commercial potential. Our spending on current and future research and development programs may not yield any commercially viable products. If we do not accurately evaluate the commercial potential for a particular product candidate, we may relinquish valuable rights to that product candidate through strategic collaboration, licensing or other arrangements in cases in which it would have been more advantageous for us to retain sole development and commercialization rights to such product candidate. Alternatively, we may allocate internal resources to a product candidate in a therapeutic area in which it would have been more advantageous to enter into a partnering arrangement.

If any of these events occur, we may be forced to abandon our development efforts with respect to a particular product candidate or fail to develop a potentially successful product candidate, which could harm our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

Our future success depends on our ability to retain key members of our management team, and to attract, retain and motivate qualified personnel.

We are highly dependent on the management, technical, and scientific expertise of principal members of our management, scientific, and clinical teams including G. Andre Turenne, our President and Chief Executive Officer. While we have entered into employment agreements or offer letters with each of our executive officers, any of them could leave our employment at any time, as all of our employees are “at will” employees. We currently do not have “key

person” insurance on any of our employees. The loss of the services of one or more of our current employees might impede the achievement of our research, development and commercialization objectives.

Recruiting and retaining other qualified employees, consultants and advisors for our business, including scientific and technical personnel is also critical to our success. There currently is a shortage of skilled individuals with substantial gene therapy experience, which is likely to continue. As a result, competition for skilled personnel, including in gene therapy research and vector manufacturing, is intense and the turnover rate can be high. We may not be able to attract and retain personnel on acceptable terms, if at all, given the competition among numerous pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies and academic institutions for individuals with similar skill sets. Our consultants and advisors may be employed by employers other than us and may have commitments under consulting or advisory contracts with other entities that may limit their availability to us. In addition, failure to succeed in preclinical or clinical trials or applications for marketing approval may make it more challenging to recruit and retain qualified personnel. The inability to recruit, or loss of services of certain executives, key employees, consultants or advisors, may impede the progress of our research, development and commercialization objectives and could harm our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

If we are unable to manage expected growth in the scale and complexity of our operations, our performance may suffer.

If we are successful in executing our business strategy, we will need to expand our managerial, operational, financial and other systems and resources to manage our operations, continue our research and development activities and, in the longer term, build a commercial infrastructure to support commercialization of any of our product candidates that are approved for sale. We can provide no assurances that we will have sufficient resources in the future to manage all of our planned programs. Future growth would impose significant added responsibilities on members of management, may lead to significant added costs, and may divert our management and business development resources. It is likely that our management, finance, development personnel, systems and facilities currently in place may not be adequate to support this future growth. Our need to effectively manage our operations, growth and product candidates requires that we continue to develop more robust business processes and improve our systems and procedures in each of these areas and to attract and retain sufficient numbers of talented employees. We may be unable to successfully implement these tasks on a larger scale and, accordingly, may not achieve our research, development and growth goals.

Our employees, principal investigators, consultants and commercial partners may engage in misconduct or other improper activities, including non-compliance with regulatory standards and requirements and insider trading.

We are exposed to the risk of fraud or other misconduct by our employees, principal investigators, consultants, collaborators, and commercial partners. Misconduct by these parties could include intentional failures to comply with FDA regulations or the regulations applicable in the European Union and other jurisdictions, provide accurate information to the FDA, the European Commission and other regulatory authorities, comply with healthcare fraud and abuse laws and regulations in the United States and abroad, report financial information or data accurately or disclose unauthorized activities to us. In particular, sales, marketing and business arrangements in the healthcare industry are subject to extensive laws and regulations intended to prevent fraud, misconduct, kickbacks, self-dealing and other abusive practices. These laws and regulations restrict or prohibit a wide range of pricing, discounting, marketing and promotion, sales commission, customer incentive programs and other business arrangements. Such misconduct also could involve the improper use of information obtained in the course of clinical trials or interactions with the FDA or other regulatory authorities, which could result in regulatory sanctions and cause serious harm to our reputation. We have adopted a code of conduct applicable to all of our employees, but it is not always possible to identify and deter employee misconduct, and the precautions we take to detect and prevent this activity may not be effective in controlling unknown or unmanaged risks or losses or in protecting us from government investigations or other actions or lawsuits stemming from a failure to comply with these laws or regulations. If any such actions are instituted against us, and we are not successful in defending ourselves or asserting our rights, those actions could have a significant impact on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects, including the imposition of significant fines or other sanctions.

Current and future legislation may increase the difficulty and cost for us and any collaborators to obtain marketing approval of and commercialize our product candidates and affect the prices we, or they, may obtain.

In the United States and some foreign jurisdictions, there have been and continue to be a number of legislative and regulatory changes and proposed changes regarding the healthcare system that could, among other things, prevent or delay marketing approval of our product candidates, restrict or regulate post-approval activities and affect our ability, or the ability of any collaborators, to profitably sell any products for which we, or they, obtain marketing approval. We expect that current laws, as well as other healthcare reform measures that may be adopted in the future, may result in more rigorous coverage criteria and in additional downward pressure on the price that we, or any collaborators, may receive for any approved products.

In March 2010, President Obama signed into law the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act, which we refer to, collectively, as the ACA. Among the provisions of the ACA of potential importance to our business and our product candidates are the following:

- an annual, non-deductible fee on any entity that manufactures or imports specified branded prescription drugs and biologic agents;
- an increase in the statutory minimum rebates a manufacturer must pay under the Medicaid Drug Rebate Program;
- expansion of healthcare fraud and abuse laws, including the civil False Claims Act and the federal Anti-Kickback Statute, new government investigative powers and enhanced penalties for noncompliance;
- a new Medicare Part D coverage gap discount program, in which manufacturers must agree to offer 50% point-of-sale discounts off negotiated prices;
- extension of manufacturers' Medicaid rebate liability;
- expansion of eligibility criteria for Medicaid programs;
- expansion of the entities eligible for discounts under the Public Health Service pharmaceutical pricing program;
- new requirements to report certain financial arrangements with physicians and teaching hospitals;
- a new requirement to annually report drug samples that manufacturers and distributors provide to physicians; and
- a new Patient-Centered Outcomes Research Institute to oversee, identify priorities in, and conduct comparative clinical effectiveness research, along with funding for such research.

In addition, other legislative changes have been proposed and adopted since the ACA was enacted. These changes include the Budget Control Act of 2011, which, among other things, led to aggregate reductions to Medicare payments to providers of up to 2% per fiscal year that started in 2013 and, due to subsequent legislative amendments to the statute, will stay in effect through 2025 unless additional congressional action is taken, and the American Taxpayer Relief Act of 2012, which, among other things, reduced Medicare payments to several types of providers and increased the statute of limitations period for the government to recover overpayments to providers from three to five years. These new laws may result in additional reductions in Medicare and other healthcare funding and otherwise affect the prices we may obtain for any of our product candidates for which we may obtain regulatory approval or the frequency with which any such product candidate is prescribed or used. Further, there have been several recent U.S. congressional inquiries and proposed state and federal legislation designed to, among other things, bring more transparency to drug pricing,

review the relationship between pricing and manufacturer patient programs, reduce the costs of drugs under Medicare and reform government program reimbursement methodologies for drug products.

We expect that these healthcare reforms, as well as other healthcare reform measures that may be adopted in the future, may result in additional reductions in Medicare and other healthcare funding, more rigorous coverage criteria, new payment methodologies and additional downward pressure on the price that we receive for any approved product and/or the level of reimbursement physicians receive for administering any approved product we might bring to market. Reductions in reimbursement levels may negatively impact the prices we receive or the frequency with which our products are prescribed or administered. Any reduction in reimbursement from Medicare or other government programs may result in a similar reduction in payments from private payors.

Since enactment of the ACA, there have been, and continue to be, numerous legal challenges and Congressional actions to repeal and replace provisions of the law. For example, with enactment of the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act of 2017, which was signed by President Trump on December 22, 2017, Congress repealed the “individual mandate.” The repeal of this provision, which requires most Americans to carry a minimal level of health insurance, became effective in 2019. Additionally, the 2020 federal spending package permanently eliminated, effective January 1, 2020, the ACA-mandated “Cadillac” tax on high-cost employer-sponsored health coverage and medical device tax and, effective January 1, 2021, also eliminates the health insurer tax. Further, the Bipartisan Budget Act of 2018, among other things, amended the ACA, effective January 1, 2019, to increase from 50 percent to 70 percent the point-of-sale discount that is owed by pharmaceutical manufacturers who participate in Medicare Part D and to close the coverage gap in most Medicare drug plans, commonly referred to as the “donut hole.” The Congress may consider other legislation to replace elements of the ACA during the next Congressional session.

The Trump administration has also taken executive actions to undermine or delay implementation of the ACA. Since January 2017, President Trump has signed two Executive Orders designed to delay the implementation of certain provisions of the ACA or otherwise circumvent some of the requirements for health insurance mandated by the ACA. One Executive Order directs federal agencies with authorities and responsibilities under the ACA to waive, defer, grant exemptions from, or delay the implementation of any provision of the ACA that would impose a fiscal or regulatory burden on states, individuals, healthcare providers, health insurers, or manufacturers of pharmaceuticals or medical devices. The second Executive Order terminates the cost-sharing subsidies that reimburse insurers under the ACA. Several state Attorneys General filed suit to stop the Trump administration from terminating the subsidies, but their request for a restraining order was denied by a federal judge in California on October 25, 2017. In addition, CMS has recently proposed regulations that would give states greater flexibility in setting benchmarks for insurers in the individual and small group marketplaces, which may have the effect of relaxing the essential health benefits required under the ACA for plans sold through such marketplaces. Further, on June 14, 2018, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit ruled that the federal government was not required to pay more than \$12 billion in ACA risk corridor payments to third-party payors who argued were owed to them. That decision is under review by the U.S. Supreme Court during its current term. The effects of this gap in reimbursement on third-party payors, the viability of the ACA marketplace, providers, and potentially our business, are not yet known.

In addition, the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid, or CMS, has proposed regulations that would give states greater flexibility in setting benchmarks for insurers in the individual and small group marketplaces, which may have the effect of relaxing the essential health benefits required under the ACA for plans sold through such marketplaces. On November 30, 2018, CMS announced a proposed rule that would amend the Medicare Advantage and Medicare Part D prescription drug benefit regulations to reduce out of pocket costs for plan enrollees and allow Medicare plans to negotiate lower rates for certain drugs. Among other things, the proposed rule changes would allow Medicare Advantage plans to use pre-authorization, or PA, and step therapy, or ST, for six protected classes of drugs, with certain exceptions, permit plans to implement PA and ST in Medicare Part B drugs; and change the definition of “negotiated prices” while adding a definition of “price concession” into the regulations. It is unclear whether these proposed changes we be accepted, and if so, what effect such changes will have on our business. Litigation and legislation over the ACA are likely to continue, with unpredictable and uncertain results.

Further, on June 14, 2018, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit ruled that the federal government was not required to pay more than \$12 billion in ACA risk corridor payments to third-party payors who argued that such

payments were owed to them. The effects of this gap in reimbursement on third-party payors, the viability of the ACA marketplace, providers, and potentially our business, are not yet known. Further, on December 14, 2018, a U.S. District Court judge in the Northern District of Texas ruled that the individual mandate portion of the ACA is an essential and inseparable feature of the ACA, and therefore because the mandate was repealed as part of the TCJA, the remaining provisions of the ACA are invalid as well. The Trump administration and CMS have both stated that the ruling will have no immediate effect, and on December 30, 2018 the same judge issued an order staying the judgment pending appeal. The Trump Administration has recently represented to the Court of Appeals considering this judgment that it does not oppose the lower court's ruling. It is unclear how this decision and any subsequent appeals and other efforts to repeal and replace the ACA will impact the ACA and our business. Litigation and legislation over the ACA are likely to continue, with unpredictable and uncertain results.

In addition, on December 14, 2018, a U.S. District Court judge in the Northern District of Texas ruled that the individual mandate portion of the ACA is an essential and inseparable feature of the ACA, and therefore because the mandate was repealed as part of the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act, the remaining provisions of the ACA are invalid as well. The Trump administration and CMS have both stated that the ruling will have no immediate effect, and on December 30, 2018 the same judge issued an order staying the judgment pending appeal. The Trump Administration recently represented to the Court of Appeals considering this judgment that it does not oppose the lower court's ruling. On July 10, 2019, the Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit heard oral argument in this case. On December 18, 2019, that court affirmed the lower court's ruling that the individual mandate portion of the ACA is unconstitutional and it remanded the case to the district court for reconsideration of the severability question and additional analysis of the provisions of the ACA. On January 21, 2020, the U.S. Supreme Court declined to review this decision on an expedited basis. Litigation and legislation over the ACA are likely to continue, with unpredictable and uncertain results.

Further, there have been several recent U.S. congressional inquiries and proposed federal and proposed and enacted state legislation designed to, among other things, bring more transparency to drug pricing, review the relationship between pricing and manufacturer patient programs, reduce the costs of drugs under Medicare and reform government program reimbursement methodologies for drug products. For example, there have been several recent U.S. congressional inquiries and proposed federal and proposed and enacted state legislation designed to, among other things, bring more transparency to drug pricing, review the relationship between pricing and manufacturer patient programs, reduce the costs of drugs under Medicare and reform government program reimbursement methodologies for drug products. At the federal level, Congress and the Trump administration have each indicated that it will continue to seek new legislative and/or administrative measures to control drug costs. For example, on May 11, 2018, the Trump administration issued a plan to lower drug prices. Under this blueprint for action, the Trump administration indicated that the Department of Health and Human Services will: take steps to end the gaming of regulatory and patent processes by drug makers to unfairly protect monopolies; advance biosimilars and generics to boost price competition; evaluate the inclusion of prices in drug makers' ads to enhance price competition; speed access to and lower the cost of new drugs by clarifying policies for sharing information between insurers and drug makers; avoid excessive pricing by relying more on value-based pricing by expanding outcome-based payments in Medicare and Medicaid; work to give Part D plan sponsors more negotiation power with drug makers; examine which Medicare Part B drugs could be negotiated for a lower price by Part D plans, and improving the design of the Part B Competitive Acquisition Program; update Medicare's drug-pricing dashboard to increase transparency; prohibit Part D contracts that include "gag rules" that prevent pharmacists from informing patients when they could pay less out-of-pocket by not using insurance; and require that Part D plan members be provided with an annual statement of plan payments, out-of-pocket spending, and drug price increases. In addition, on December 23, 2019, the Trump Administration published a proposed rulemaking that, if finalized, would allow states or certain other non-federal government entities to submit importation program proposals to FDA for review and approval. Applicants would be required to demonstrate their importation plans pose no additional risk to public health and safety and will result in significant cost savings for consumers. At the same time, FDA issued draft guidance that would allow manufacturers to import their own FDA-approved drugs that are authorized for sale in other countries (multi-market approved products).

At the state level, individual states are increasingly aggressive in passing legislation and implementing regulations designed to control pharmaceutical and biological product pricing, including price or patient reimbursement constraints, discounts, restrictions on certain product access and marketing cost disclosure and transparency measures, and, in some cases, designed to encourage importation from other countries and bulk purchasing. In addition, regional

health care authorities and individual hospitals are increasingly using bidding procedures to determine what pharmaceutical products and which suppliers will be included in their prescription drug and other health care programs. These measures could reduce the ultimate demand for our products, once approved, or put pressure on our product pricing. We expect that additional state and federal healthcare reform measures will be adopted in the future, any of which could limit the amounts that federal and state governments will pay for healthcare products and services, which could result in reduced demand for our product candidates or additional pricing pressures.

Finally, legislative and regulatory proposals have also been made to expand post-approval requirements and restrict sales and promotional activities for pharmaceutical products. We cannot be sure whether additional legislative changes will be enacted, or whether the FDA regulations, guidance or interpretations will be changed, or what the impact of such changes on the marketing approvals of our product candidates, if any, may be. In addition, increased scrutiny by the U.S. Congress of the FDA's approval process may significantly delay or prevent marketing approval, as well as subject us and any collaborators to more stringent product labeling and post-marketing testing and other requirements.

Under the Cures Act and the Trump Administration's regulatory reform initiatives, the FDA's policies, regulations and guidance may be revised or revoked and that could prevent, limit or delay regulatory approval of our product candidates, which would impact our ability to generate revenue.

In December 2016, the Cures Act was signed into law. The Cures Act, among other things, is intended to modernize the regulation of drugs and spur innovation, but its ultimate implementation is unclear. If we are slow or unable to adapt to changes in existing requirements or the adoption of new requirements or policies, or if we are not able to maintain regulatory compliance, we may lose any marketing approval that we may have obtained and we may not achieve or sustain profitability, which would adversely affect our business, prospects, financial condition and results of operations.

We also cannot predict the likelihood, nature or extent of government regulation that may arise from future legislation or administrative or executive action, either in the United States or abroad. For example, certain policies of the Trump administration may impact our business and industry. Namely, the Trump administration has taken several executive actions, including the issuance of a number of Executive Orders, that could impose significant burdens on, or otherwise materially delay, the FDA's ability to engage in routine regulatory and oversight activities such as the implementation of statutes through rulemaking, issuance of guidance, and review and approval of marketing applications. An under-staffed FDA could result in delays in the FDA's responsiveness or in its ability to review submissions or applications, issue regulations or guidance, or implement or enforce regulatory requirements in a timely fashion or at all. Moreover, on January 30, 2017, President Trump issued an Executive Order, applicable to all executive agencies, including the FDA, which requires that for each notice of proposed rulemaking or final regulation to be issued in fiscal year 2017, the agency shall identify at least two existing regulations to be repealed, unless prohibited by law. These requirements are referred to as the "two-for-one" provisions. This Executive Order includes a budget neutrality provision that requires the total incremental cost of all new regulations in the 2017 fiscal year, including repealed regulations, to be no greater than zero, except in limited circumstances. For fiscal years 2018 and beyond, the Executive Order requires agencies to identify regulations to offset any incremental cost of a new regulation and approximate the total costs or savings associated with each new regulation or repealed regulation. In interim guidance issued by the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs within OMB on February 2, 2017, the Trump administration indicates that the "two-for-one" provisions may apply not only to agency regulations, but also to significant agency guidance documents. In addition, on February 24, 2017, President Trump issued an executive order directing each affected agency to designate an agency official as a "Regulatory Reform Officer" and establish a "Regulatory Reform Task Force" to implement the two-for-one provisions and other previously issued executive orders relating to the review of federal regulations, however it is difficult to predict how these requirements will be implemented, and the extent to which they will impact the FDA's ability to exercise its regulatory authority. If these executive actions impose constraints on the FDA's ability to engage in oversight and implementation activities in the normal course, our business may be negatively impacted.

We may be subject, directly or indirectly, to federal, state, and foreign healthcare laws and regulations, including fraud and abuse laws, false claims laws and health information privacy and security laws. If we are unable to comply, or have not fully complied, with such laws, we could face substantial penalties.

If we obtain FDA approval for any of our product candidates and begin commercializing those products in the United States, our operations will be directly, or indirectly through our prescribers, customers and purchasers, subject to various federal and state laws and regulations, including, without limitation, the federal Anti-Kickback Statute, the federal civil and criminal false claims act, and the Physician Payments Sunshine Act and regulations. These laws will impact, among other things, our proposed sales, marketing and educational programs. In addition, we may be subject to data privacy laws by both the federal government and the states in which we conduct our business. Such laws that may constrain the business or financial arrangements and relationships through which we conduct our operations include, but are not limited to:

- the federal Anti-Kickback Statute, which prohibits, among other things, persons and entities from knowingly and willfully soliciting, receiving, offering or paying any remuneration (including any kickback, bribe or rebate), directly or indirectly, overtly or covertly, in cash or in kind, to induce or in return for either the referral of an individual for, or the purchase, recommendation, leasing or furnishing of, an item or service reimbursable under a federal healthcare program, such as the Medicare and Medicaid programs. This statute has been interpreted to apply to arrangements between pharmaceutical manufacturers on the one hand, and prescribers, purchasers and formulary managers on the other. Further, the ACA amended the intent requirement of the federal Anti-Kickback Statute. A person or entity no longer needs to have actual knowledge of this statute or specific intent to violate it;
- the federal civil and criminal false claims laws and civil monetary penalty laws, including the civil False Claims Act, which prohibit, among other things, individuals or entities from knowingly presenting, or causing to be presented, claims for payment or approval from Medicare, Medicaid or other government payors that are false or fraudulent, or making a false statement to avoid, decrease, or conceal an obligation to pay money to the federal government. The ACA provided and recent government cases against pharmaceutical and medical device manufacturers support the view that federal Anti-Kickback Statute violations and certain marketing practices, including off-label promotion, may implicate the civil False Claims Act;
- the federal Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996, or HIPAA, which created additional federal criminal statutes that prohibit a person from knowingly and willfully executing or attempting to execute a scheme or from making false or fraudulent statements to defraud any healthcare benefit program, regardless of the payor (e.g., public or private);
- HIPAA, as amended by the Health Information Technology for Economic and Clinical Health Act, or HITECH, and its implementing regulations, and as amended again by the final HIPAA omnibus rule, Modifications to the HIPAA Privacy, Security, Enforcement, and Breach Notification Rules Under HITECH and the Genetic Information Nondiscrimination Act; Other Modifications to HIPAA, published in January 2013, which imposes certain requirements relating to the privacy, security and transmission of individually identifiable health information without appropriate authorization by entities subject to the rule, such as health plans, health care clearinghouses and health care providers;
- federal transparency laws, including the federal Physician Payments Sunshine Act, which is part of the ACA, that requires certain manufacturers of drugs, devices, biologics and medical supplies for which payment is available under Medicare, Medicaid, or the Children’s Health Insurance Program, with specific exceptions, to report annually to CMS information related to payments and other transfers of value provided to physicians and teaching hospitals, and ownership and investment interests held by physicians and their immediate family members, by the 90th day of each subsequent calendar year, and disclosure of such information is made by CMS on a publicly available website; and
- state and/or foreign law equivalents of each of the above federal laws, such as state anti-kickback and false claims laws that may apply to arrangements and claims involving health care items or services reimbursed

by non-governmental third-party payors; state laws that require drug manufacturers to report information related to payments and other transfers of value to physicians and other healthcare providers or marketing expenditures; state laws that require pharmaceutical companies to comply with the pharmaceutical industry's voluntary compliance guidelines and the relevant compliance guidance promulgated by the federal government; and state and foreign laws governing the privacy and security of health information in certain circumstances, many of which differ from each other in significant ways and may not have the same effect, thus complicating compliance efforts in certain circumstances, such as specific disease states.

Because of the breadth of these laws and the narrowness of the statutory exceptions and safe harbors available, it is possible that some of our business activities could be subject to challenge under one or more of such laws. If our operations are found to be in violation of any of the laws described above or any other government regulations that apply to us, we may be subject to penalties, including civil and criminal penalties, damages, fines, exclusion from participation in government health care programs, such as Medicare and Medicaid, disgorgement, contractual damages, reputational harm, diminished profits and future earnings, imprisonment and the curtailment or restructuring of our operations, any of which could adversely affect our ability to operate our business and our results of operations.

The provision of benefits or advantages to physicians to induce or encourage the prescription, recommendation, endorsement, purchase, supply, order or use of medicinal products is prohibited in the European Union. The provision of benefits or advantages to physicians is also governed by the national anti-bribery laws of European Union Member States, such as the UK Bribery Act 2010. Infringement of these laws could result in substantial fines and imprisonment.

Payments made to physicians in certain European Union Member States must be publicly disclosed. Moreover, agreements with physicians often must be the subject of prior notification and approval by the physician's employer, his or her competent professional organization and/or the regulatory authorities of the individual European Union Member States. These requirements are provided in the national laws, industry codes or professional codes of conduct, applicable in the European Union Member States. Failure to comply with these requirements could result in reputational risk, public reprimands, administrative penalties, fines or imprisonment.

The collection, use, disclosure, transfer, or other processing of personal data regarding individuals in the European Union, including personal health data, is subject to the European Union General Data Protection Regulation, or the GDPR, which became effective on May 25, 2018. The GDPR is wide-ranging in scope and imposes numerous requirements on companies that process personal data, including requirements relating to processing health and other sensitive data, obtaining consent of the individuals to whom the personal data relates, providing information to individuals regarding data processing activities, implementing safeguards to protect the security and confidentiality of personal data, providing notification of data breaches, and taking certain measures when engaging third-party processors. The GDPR also imposes strict rules on the transfer of personal data to countries outside the European Union, including the United States, and permits data protection authorities to impose large penalties for violations of the GDPR, including potential fines of up to €20 million or 4% of annual global revenues, whichever is greater. The GDPR also confers a private right of action on data subjects and consumer associations to lodge complaints with supervisory authorities, seek judicial remedies, and obtain compensation for damages resulting from violations of the GDPR. Compliance with the GDPR has been and will continue to be a rigorous and time-intensive process that has increased and will continue to increase our cost of doing business or require us to change our business practices, and despite those efforts, there is a risk that we or our collaborators may be subject to fines and penalties, litigation, and reputational harm in connection with any European activities, which could adversely affect our business, prospects, financial condition and results of operations.

Product liability lawsuits against us could cause us to incur substantial liabilities and could limit commercialization of any product candidates that we may develop.

We face an inherent risk of product liability exposure related to the testing of our product candidates in clinical trials and may face an even greater risk if we commercialize any products that we may develop. If we cannot

successfully defend ourselves against claims that our product candidates caused injuries, we could incur substantial liabilities. Regardless of merit or eventual outcome, liability claims may result in:

- decreased demand for any product candidates that we may develop;
- loss of revenue;
- substantial monetary awards to trial participants or patients;
- significant time and costs to defend the related litigation;
- withdrawal of clinical trial participants;
- the inability to commercialize any product candidates that we may develop; and
- injury to our reputation and significant negative media attention.

Although we maintain product liability insurance coverage in the amount of \$1.0 million per occurrence and \$2.0 million in the aggregate, and clinical testing liability insurance in the amount of \$10.0 million per occurrence and \$10.0 million in the aggregate, this insurance may not be adequate to cover all liabilities that we may incur. We anticipate that we will need to increase our insurance coverage each time we commence a clinical trial and if we successfully commercialize any product candidate. Insurance coverage is increasingly expensive. We may not be able to maintain insurance coverage at a reasonable cost or in an amount adequate to satisfy any liability that may arise.

If we, our collaborators, or any third-party manufacturers engaged by us or our collaborators fail to comply with environmental, health and safety laws and regulations, we could become subject to fines or penalties or incur costs that could harm our business.

We, our collaborators, and any third-party manufacturers we engage are subject to numerous environmental, health and safety laws and regulations, including those governing laboratory procedures and the generation, handling, use, storage, treatment, manufacture, transportation and disposal of, and exposure to, hazardous materials and wastes, as well as laws and regulations relating to occupational health and safety. Our operations involve the use of hazardous and flammable materials, including chemicals and biologic and radioactive materials. Our operations also produce hazardous waste products. We generally contract with third parties for the disposal of these materials and wastes. We cannot eliminate the risk of contamination or injury from these materials. In the event of contamination or injury resulting from our use of hazardous materials or from any other work-related injuries, we could be held liable for any resulting damages, and any liability could exceed our resources. We also could incur significant costs associated with civil or criminal fines and penalties.

Although we maintain general liability insurance and workers' compensation insurance for certain costs and expenses we may incur due to injuries to our employees resulting from the use of hazardous materials or other work-related injuries, this insurance may not provide adequate coverage against potential liabilities. We do not maintain insurance for environmental liability or toxic tort claims that may be asserted against us in connection with our storage or disposal of biologic, hazardous or radioactive materials.

In addition, we may incur substantial costs in order to comply with current or future environmental, health and safety laws and regulations, which have tended to become more stringent over time. These current or future laws and regulations may impair our research, development or production efforts. Failure to comply with these laws and regulations also may result in substantial fines, penalties or other sanctions or liabilities, which could harm our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

Further, with respect to the operations of any current or future collaborators or third-party contract manufacturers, it is possible that if they fail to operate in compliance with applicable environmental, health and safety laws and regulations or properly dispose of wastes associated with our products, we could be held liable for any resulting

damages, suffer reputational harm or experience a disruption in the manufacture and supply of our product candidates or products.

Unfavorable global economic conditions could adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Our results of operations could be adversely affected by general conditions in the global economy and in the global financial markets. The most recent global financial crisis caused extreme volatility and disruptions in the capital and credit markets. A severe or prolonged economic downturn, such as the most recent global financial crisis, could result in a variety of risks to our business, including weakened demand for our product candidates and our ability to raise additional capital when needed on acceptable terms, if at all. This is particularly true in the European Union, which is recovering from a severe economic crisis. A weak or declining economy could strain our suppliers, possibly resulting in supply disruption, or cause delays in payments for our services by third-party payors or our collaborators. Any of the foregoing could harm our business and we cannot anticipate all of the ways in which the current economic climate and financial market conditions could adversely impact our business.

For example, in December 2019, a novel strain of coronavirus has been reported in China and other countries. The extent to which the coronavirus impacts our results will depend on future developments that are highly uncertain and cannot be accurately predicted, including new information which may emerge concerning the severity of the coronavirus and the actions required to contain the coronavirus or remedy its impact, among others.

Our internal computer systems, or those of our collaborators or other contractors or consultants, may fail or suffer security breaches, which could result in a material disruption of our product development programs.

Our internal computer systems and those of our current and any future collaborators and other contractors or consultants are vulnerable to damage from cyber-attacks, computer viruses, unauthorized access, sabotage, natural disasters, terrorism, war and telecommunication and electrical failures. While we have not experienced any such material system failure, accident or security breach to date, if such an event were to occur and cause interruptions in our operations or the operations of those third parties with which we contract, it could result in a material disruption of our development programs and our business operations, whether due to a loss of our trade secrets or other proprietary information or other similar disruptions, and could require a substantial expenditure of resources to remedy. For example, the loss of clinical trial data from completed or ongoing clinical trials could result in delays in our regulatory approval efforts and significantly increase our costs to recover or reproduce the data. We could also be subject to risks caused by misappropriation, misuse, leakage, falsification or intentional or accidental release or loss of information maintained in our information systems and networks, including personal information of our employees. Outside parties may attempt to penetrate our systems or those of the third parties with which we contract or to fraudulently induce our employees or employees of such third parties to disclose sensitive information to gain access to our data.

The number and complexity of these threats continue to increase over time. Although we develop and maintain systems and controls designed to prevent these events from occurring, and we have a process to identify and mitigate threats, the development and maintenance of these systems, controls and processes is costly and requires ongoing monitoring and updating as technologies change and efforts to overcome security measures become more sophisticated. Despite our efforts, the possibility of these events occurring cannot be eliminated entirely. Although we maintain cyber risk insurance for certain costs we may incur due to a cyber-related event, this insurance may not provide adequate coverage against potential liabilities. To the extent that any disruption or security breach were to result in a loss of, or damage to, our data or applications, or inappropriate disclosure of confidential or proprietary information, we could incur liability, our competitive position and the market perception of the effectiveness of our security measures could be harmed, our credibility could be damaged, and the further development and commercialization of our product candidates could be delayed.

The Tax Cuts and Jobs Act of 2017 could adversely affect our business and financial condition.

On December 22, 2017, President Trump signed into law the TCJA, which significantly revised the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. The TCJA, among other things, contains significant changes to corporate taxation,

including reduction of the corporate tax rate from a top marginal rate of 35% to a flat rate of 21%, limitation of the tax deduction for net interest expense to 30% of adjusted earnings (except for certain small businesses), limitation of the deduction for net operating losses to 80% of current year taxable income and elimination of net operating loss carrybacks, in each case, for losses arising in taxable years beginning after December 31, 2017 (though any such net operating losses may be carried forward indefinitely), one-time taxation of offshore earnings at reduced rates regardless of whether they are repatriated, elimination of U.S. tax on foreign earnings (subject to certain important exceptions), immediate deductions for certain new investments instead of deductions for depreciation expense over time, and modifying or repealing many business deductions and credits. Notwithstanding the reduction in the corporate income tax rate, the overall impact of the TCJA is uncertain and our business and financial condition could be adversely affected. In addition, it is uncertain how various states will respond to the TCJA. The impact of this tax reform on holders of our common stock is also uncertain and could be adverse. We urge our stockholders to consult with their legal and tax advisors with respect to this legislation and the potential tax consequences of investing in or holding our common stock.

We might not be able to utilize a significant portion of our net operating loss carryforwards.

As of December 31, 2019, we had both federal and state net operating loss carryforwards of \$175.2 million and \$179.2 million, respectively, which expire beginning in 2033. These net operating loss carryforwards could expire unused and be unavailable to offset our future income tax liabilities. Under the TCJA, federal net operating losses incurred in 2018 and in subsequent years may be carried forward indefinitely, but the deductibility of such federal net operating losses is limited. It is uncertain how various states will respond to the TCJA tax law. If our ability to use our historical net operating loss carryforwards is materially limited, it would harm our future operating results by effectively increasing our future tax obligations.

Risks Related to the Commercialization of Our Product Candidates

The affected populations for our product candidates may be smaller than we or third parties currently project, which may affect the addressable markets for our product candidates.

Our projections of the number of people who have the diseases we are seeking to treat, as well as the subset of people with these diseases who have the potential to benefit from treatment with our product candidates, are estimates based on our knowledge and understanding of these diseases. The total addressable market opportunity for our product candidates will ultimately depend upon a number of factors including the diagnosis and treatment criteria included in the final label, if approved for sale in specified indications, acceptance by the medical community, patient access and product pricing and reimbursement. Prevalence estimates are frequently based on information and assumptions that are not exact and may not be appropriate, and the methodology is forward-looking and speculative. The process we have used in developing an estimated prevalence range for the indications we are targeting has involved collating limited data from multiple sources. While we believe these sources are reliable, we have not independently verified the data. Accordingly, the prevalence estimates included in our periodic reports and other reports filed with or furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC, should be viewed with caution. Further, the data and statistical information used in such reports, including estimates derived from them, may differ from information and estimates made by our competitors or from current or future studies conducted by independent sources.

The use of such data involves risks and uncertainties, and such data is subject to change based on various factors. Our estimates may prove to be incorrect and new studies may change the estimated incidence or prevalence of the diseases we seek to address. The number of patients with the diseases we are targeting in the United States, the European Union and elsewhere may turn out to be lower than expected or may not be otherwise amenable to treatment with our products, or new patients may become increasingly difficult to identify or access, all of which would harm our results of operations and our business.

If we are unable to establish sales, medical affairs and marketing capabilities or enter into agreements with third parties to market and sell our product candidates, we may be unable to generate any product revenue.

To successfully commercialize any products that may result from our clinical development programs, we will need to further develop these capabilities, either on our own or with others. The establishment and development of our

own commercial team or the establishment of a contract sales force to market any products we may develop will be expensive and time-consuming and could delay any product launch. Moreover, we cannot be certain that we will be able to successfully develop this capability.

Under the AbbVie Tau Collaboration Agreement, AbbVie has options to advance certain compounds into further development and to obtain an exclusive, worldwide license, with the right to sublicense, under certain of our intellectual property rights to develop and commercialize such compounds and corresponding products for all human diagnostic, prophylactic and therapeutic uses. If AbbVie exercises any of these options, we would be eligible to receive specified option fees. In addition, we would be eligible to receive specified development and regulatory milestone payments and tiered royalties on the global commercial net sales of products developed under our tau collaboration.

Under the Neurocrine Collaboration Agreement, Neurocrine will fund the clinical development through the readout of the RESTORE-1 Phase 2 clinical trial for VY-AADC (NB1b-1817). After the data readout of the RESTORE-1 Phase 2 trial, we have the option to either: (1) co-commercialize VY-AADC (NB1b-1817) with Neurocrine in the United States under a 50/50 cost- and profit-sharing arrangement and receive milestones and royalties based on ex-U.S. sales, or (2) retain the right to receive milestone payments and royalties based on global sales pursuant to the full global commercial rights granted to Neurocrine. Under the terms of the Neurocrine Collaboration Agreement for the FA Program, Neurocrine will fund the development through the Phase 1 clinical trial of VY-FXN01. After the data readout of the Phase 1 trial, we have the option to either: (1) co-commercialize VY-FXN01 with Neurocrine in the United States under a 60/40 cost- and profit-sharing arrangement, or (2) retain the right to receive milestone payments and royalties based on global sales pursuant to the full global commercial rights granted to Neurocrine.

Under the AbbVie Alpha-Synuclein Collaboration Agreement, AbbVie has options to advance certain compounds into further development and to obtain an exclusive, worldwide license, with the right to sublicense, under certain of our intellectual property rights to develop and commercialize such compounds and corresponding products for all human diagnostic, prophylactic and therapeutic uses. If AbbVie exercises any of these options, we would be eligible to receive specified option fees. In addition, we would be eligible to receive specified regulatory and commercial milestone payments and tiered royalties on the global commercial net sales of products developed under our alpha-synuclein collaboration.

In the future, we may seek to enter into collaborations regarding other of our product candidates with other entities to utilize their established marketing and distribution capabilities, but we may be unable to enter into such agreements on favorable terms, if at all. If any current or future collaborators do not commit sufficient resources to commercialize our products, or we are unable to develop the necessary capabilities on our own, we will be unable to generate sufficient product revenue to sustain our business. We compete with many companies that currently have extensive, experienced and well-funded medical affairs, marketing and sales operations to recruit, hire, train and retain marketing and sales personnel. We also face competition in our search for third parties to assist us with the sales and marketing efforts of our product candidates. We might face unforeseen costs and expenses associated with creating an independent sales and marketing organization. Our sales personnel might also face difficulties obtaining access to physicians or being able to persuade adequate numbers of physicians to use or prescribe our products or selling our products if we lack complementary products, which could disadvantage us compared to companies with more extensive product lines. Without an internal team or the support of a third party to perform marketing and sales functions, we may be unable to compete successfully against these more established companies.

Our efforts to educate the medical community and third-party payors on the benefits of our product candidates may require significant resources and may never be successful. Such efforts may require more resources than are typically required due to the complexity and uniqueness of our potential products. If any of our product candidates is approved but fails to achieve market acceptance among physicians, patients, or third-party payors, we will not be able to generate significant revenues from such product, which could harm our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

The insurance coverage and reimbursement status of newly-approved products is uncertain. Failure to obtain or maintain adequate coverage and reimbursement for our product candidates, if approved, could limit our ability to market those products and decrease our ability to generate product revenue.

We expect the cost of a single administration of gene therapy products, such as those we are developing, to be substantial, when and if they receive regulatory approval. We expect that coverage and reimbursement by government and private payors will be essential for most patients to be able to afford these treatments. Accordingly, sales of our product candidates will depend substantially, both domestically and abroad, on the extent to which the costs of our product candidates will be paid by health maintenance, managed care, pharmacy benefit and similar healthcare management organizations, or will be reimbursed by government authorities, private health coverage insurers and other third-party payors. Coverage and reimbursement by a third-party payor may depend upon several factors, including the third-party payor's determination that use of a product is:

- a covered benefit under its health plan;
- safe, effective and medically necessary;
- appropriate for the specific patient and the indication;
- convenient and easy-to-administer compared to alternative treatments;
- cost-effective compared to alternative treatments; and
- neither experimental nor investigational.

No uniform policy requirement for coverage and reimbursement for biopharmaceutical products exists among third-party payors. Therefore, coverage and reimbursement for such products can differ significantly from payor to payor. As a result, obtaining coverage and reimbursement for a product from third-party payors is a time-consuming and costly process that could require us to provide to each different payor supporting scientific, clinical and cost-effectiveness data, and to receive the support of medical associations and technology assessment committees. We may not be able to provide data sufficient to gain acceptance with respect to coverage and reimbursement. If coverage and reimbursement are not available, or are available only at limited levels, we may not be able to successfully commercialize our product candidates. Even if coverage is provided, the approved reimbursement amount may not be adequate to realize a sufficient return on our investment including our research, development, manufacture, sales, and distribution expenses. Interim reimbursement levels for new drugs, if applicable, may also not be sufficient to cover our costs and may not be made permanent. Reimbursement rates may vary according to the use of the drug and the clinical setting in which it is used, may be based on reimbursement levels already set for lower cost drugs and may be incorporated into existing payments for other services. Assuming we obtain coverage for a given product by a third-party payor, the resulting reimbursement payment rates may not be adequate or may require co-payments that patients find unacceptably high. Patients who are prescribed medications for the treatment of their conditions, and their prescribing physicians, generally rely on third-party payors to reimburse all or part of the costs associated with their prescription drugs. Patients are unlikely to use our products unless coverage is provided and reimbursement is adequate to cover all or a significant portion of the cost of our products. Therefore, coverage and adequate reimbursement are critical to new product acceptance. Additionally, there may be significant delays in obtaining coverage and reimbursement for newly approved drugs and biologics, and coverage may be more limited than the purposes for which the drug is approved by the FDA or comparable foreign regulatory authorities.

There is significant uncertainty related to third-party coverage and reimbursement of newly approved products. In the United States, third-party payors, including government payors such as the Medicare and Medicaid programs, play an important role in determining the extent to which new drugs and biologics will be covered and reimbursed. The Medicare and Medicaid programs increasingly are used as models for how private payors and government payors develop their coverage and reimbursement policies. A primary trend in the U.S. healthcare industry and elsewhere is cost containment. Government authorities and third-party payors have attempted to control costs by limiting coverage and the amount of reimbursement for particular medications. Increasingly, third-party payors are requiring that drug companies provide them with predetermined discounts from list prices and are challenging the prices charged for medical products.

The CMS is responsible for determining whether a product should be approved for coverage and reimbursement under the Medicare program. It is difficult to predict what CMS will decide with respect to coverage and reimbursement for novel products such as ours, as there is no body of established practices and precedents for these types of products. Currently, no gene therapy product has been approved for coverage and reimbursement by the CMS. Moreover, reimbursement agencies in the European Union may be more conservative than CMS. For example, several cancer drugs have been approved for reimbursement in the United States and have not been approved for reimbursement in certain European Union Member States. It is difficult to predict what third-party payors will decide with respect to the coverage and reimbursement for our product candidates, especially given that the cost of our product candidates is likely to be very high and pricing of such products is highly uncertain.

Outside the United States, international operations generally are subject to extensive government price controls and other market regulations, and increasing emphasis on cost-containment initiatives in the European Union, Canada and other countries may put pricing pressure on us. In many countries, the prices of medical products are subject to varying price control mechanisms as part of national health systems. In general, the prices of medicines under such systems are substantially lower than in the United States. Other countries allow companies to fix their own prices for medical products, but monitor and control company profits. Additional foreign price controls or other changes in pricing regulation could restrict the amount that we are able to charge for our product candidates. Accordingly, in markets outside the United States, the reimbursement for our products may be reduced compared with the United States and may be insufficient to generate commercially reasonable product revenues.

Moreover, increasing efforts by government and third-party payors in the United States and abroad to cap or reduce healthcare costs may cause such organizations to limit both coverage and the level of reimbursement for new products approved and, as a result, they may not cover or provide adequate payment for our product candidates. Payors increasingly are considering new metrics as the basis for reimbursement rates, such as average sales price, or ASP, average manufacturer price, or AMP, and Actual Acquisition Cost. The existing data for reimbursement based on some of these metrics is relatively limited, although certain states have begun to survey acquisition cost data for the purpose of setting Medicaid reimbursement rates, and CMS has begun making pharmacy National Average Drug Acquisition Cost and National Average Retail Price data publicly available on at least a monthly basis. The regulations that govern marketing approvals, pricing, coverage and reimbursement for new drug and device products vary widely from country to country. Current and future legislation may significantly change the approval requirements in ways that could involve additional costs and cause delays in obtaining approvals. Some countries require approval of the sale price of a drug before it can be marketed. In many countries, the pricing review period begins after marketing or product licensing approval is granted. In some foreign markets, prescription pharmaceutical pricing remains subject to continuing governmental control even after initial approval is granted. As a result, we might obtain marketing approval for a product in a particular country, but then be subject to price regulations that delay our commercial launch of the product, possibly for lengthy time periods, and negatively impact the revenues we are able to generate from the sale of the product in that country. To obtain reimbursement or pricing approval in some countries, we may be required to conduct a clinical trial that compares the cost-effectiveness of our product candidate to other available therapies. Adverse pricing limitations may hinder our ability to recoup our investment in one or more product candidates, even if our product candidates obtain marketing approval.

Therefore, it is difficult to project the impact of these evolving reimbursement metrics on the willingness of payors to cover candidate products that we or our partners are able to commercialize. We expect to experience pricing pressures in connection with the sale of any of our product candidates due to the trend toward managed healthcare, the increasing influence of health maintenance organizations and additional legislative changes. The downward pressure on healthcare costs in general, particularly prescription drugs and surgical procedures and other treatments, has become intense. As a result, increasingly high barriers are being erected to the entry of new products such as ours.

The commercial success of any of our product candidates will depend upon its degree of market acceptance by physicians, patients, third-party payors and others in the medical community.

Ethical, social and legal concerns about gene therapy could result in additional regulations restricting or prohibiting our products. Even with the requisite approvals from the FDA in the United States, EMA in the European Union and other regulatory authorities internationally, the commercial success of our product candidates will depend, in

part, on the support and acceptance of medical associations and technology assessment committees, physicians, patients and health care payors of gene therapy products in general, and our product candidates in particular, as medically necessary, cost-effective and safe. Any product that we commercialize may not gain acceptance by physicians, patients, health care payors and others in the medical community. If these products do not achieve an adequate level of acceptance, we may not generate significant product revenue and may not become profitable. The degree of market acceptance of gene therapy products and, in particular, our product candidates, if approved for commercial sale, will depend on several factors, including:

- the efficacy and safety of such product candidates as demonstrated in clinical trials;
- the potential and perceived advantages of product candidates over alternative treatments;
- the cost of treatment relative to alternative treatments;
- the clinical indications for which the product candidate is approved by the FDA or the European Commission, or other regulatory authorities;
- patient awareness of, and willingness to seek, genotyping;
- the willingness of physicians to prescribe new therapies;
- the willingness of physicians to undergo specialized training with respect to administration of our product candidates;
- the willingness of the target patient population to try new therapies;
- the prevalence and severity of any side effects;
- product labeling or product insert requirements of the FDA, EMA or other regulatory authorities, including any limitations or warnings contained in a product's approved labeling or restrictions on the use of our products together with other medications;
- relative convenience and ease of administration;
- the strength of marketing and distribution support;
- the timing of market introduction of competitive products;
- publicity concerning our products or competing products and treatments; and
- sufficient third-party payor coverage and reimbursement.

Even if a potential product displays a favorable efficacy and safety profile in preclinical studies and clinical trials, market acceptance of the product will not be fully known until after it is launched.

Our gene therapy approach utilizes vectors derived from viruses, which may be perceived as unsafe or may result in unforeseen adverse events. Negative public opinion and increased regulatory scrutiny of gene therapy may damage public perception of the safety of our product candidates and adversely affect our ability to conduct our business or obtain regulatory approvals for our product candidates.

Gene therapy remains a novel technology, with few gene therapy products approved to date in the United States and the European Union. Public perception may be influenced by claims that gene therapy is unsafe, and gene therapy

may not gain the acceptance of the public or the medical community. In particular, our success will depend upon physicians who specialize in the treatment of genetic diseases targeted by our product candidates, prescribing treatments that involve the use of our product candidates in lieu of, or in addition to, existing treatments with which they are familiar and for which greater clinical data may be available. More restrictive government regulations or negative public opinion would have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects and may delay or impair the development and commercialization of our product candidates or demand for any products we may develop. For example, earlier gene therapy trials led to several well-publicized adverse events, including cases of leukemia and death seen in other trials using non-AAV gene therapy vectors. SAEs in our clinical trials, or other clinical trials involving gene therapy products or our competitors' products, even if not ultimately attributable to the relevant product candidates, and the resulting publicity, could result in increased government regulation, unfavorable public perception, potential regulatory delays in the testing or approval of our product candidates, stricter labeling requirements for those product candidates that are approved and a decrease in demand for any such product candidates.

If we obtain approval to commercialize our product candidates outside of the United States, in particular in the United Kingdom or European Union, a variety of risks associated with international operations could harm our business.

We expect that we will be subject to additional risks in commercializing our product candidates outside the United States, including:

- different regulatory requirements for approval of drugs and biologics in foreign countries;
- reduced or loss of protection under our intellectual property rights;
- unexpected changes in tariffs, trade barriers and regulatory requirements;
- economic weakness, including inflation, or political instability in particular foreign economies and markets;
- compliance with tax, employment, immigration and labor laws for employees living or traveling abroad;
- foreign currency fluctuations, which could result in increased operating expenses and reduced revenues, and other obligations incident to doing business in another country;
- workforce uncertainty in countries where labor unrest is more common than in the United States;
- shortages resulting from any events affecting raw material supply or manufacturing capabilities abroad;
- business interruptions resulting from geopolitical actions, including war and terrorism or natural disasters including earthquakes, typhoons, floods and fires, or from economic or political instability; and
- greater difficulty with enforcing our contracts in jurisdictions outside of the United States.

We must dedicate additional resources to comply with numerous laws and regulations in each jurisdiction in which we plan to operate. The Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, or FCPA, prohibits any U.S. individual or business from paying, offering, authorizing payment or offering anything of value, directly or indirectly, to any foreign official, political party or candidate for the purpose of influencing any act or decision of the foreign entity in order to assist the individual or business in obtaining or retaining business. The FCPA also obligates companies whose securities are listed in the United States to comply with certain accounting provisions requiring the company to maintain books and records that accurately and fairly reflect all transactions of the corporation, including international subsidiaries, and to devise and maintain an adequate system of internal accounting controls for international operations.

Compliance with the FCPA is expensive and difficult, particularly in countries in which corruption is a recognized problem. In many foreign countries, it is common for others to engage in business practices that are prohibited by U.S. laws and regulations applicable to us, including the FCPA. In addition, the FCPA presents particular challenges in the pharmaceutical industry because, in many countries, hospitals are operated by the government, and doctors and other hospital employees are considered foreign officials. Certain payments to hospitals in connection with clinical trials and other work have been deemed to be improper payments to government officials and have led to FCPA enforcement actions.

Various laws, regulations and executive orders also restrict the use and dissemination outside of the United States, or the sharing with certain non-U.S. nationals, of information classified for national security purposes, as well as certain products and technical data relating to those products. If we expand our presence outside of the United States, it will require us to dedicate additional resources to comply with these laws, and these laws may preclude us from developing, manufacturing, or selling certain products and product candidates outside of the United States, which could limit our growth potential and increase our development costs.

The failure to comply with laws governing international business practices may result in substantial civil and criminal penalties and suspension or debarment from government contracting. The SEC also may suspend or bar issuers from trading securities on U.S. exchanges for violations of the FCPA's accounting provisions. Although we expect to implement policies and procedures designed to comply with these laws and policies, there can be no assurance that our employees, contractors and agents will comply with these laws and policies. If we are unable to successfully manage the challenges of international expansion and operations, our business and operating results could be harmed.

Risks Related to Our Intellectual Property

Our rights to develop and commercialize our product candidates are subject to, in part, the terms and conditions of licenses granted to us by others.

We are reliant upon licenses to certain patent rights and proprietary technology from third parties that are important or necessary to the development of our technology and products, including technology related to our manufacturing process and our product candidates. These and other licenses may not provide exclusive rights to use such intellectual property and technology in all relevant fields of use and in all territories in which we may wish to develop or commercialize our technology and products in the future. As a result, we may not be able to prevent competitors from developing and commercializing competitive products in territories included in all of our licenses. These licenses may also require us to grant back certain rights to licensors and to pay certain amounts relating to sublicensing patent and other rights under the agreement.

In some circumstances, particularly in licenses with academic institutions, we may not have the right to control the preparation, filing and prosecution of patent applications, or to maintain, enforce or defend the patents, covering technology that we license from third parties. Therefore, we cannot be certain that these patents and applications will be prosecuted, maintained and enforced in a manner consistent with the best interests of our business. If our licensors fail to maintain such patents, or lose rights to those patents or patent applications, the rights we have licensed may be reduced or eliminated and our right to develop and commercialize any of our products that are the subject of such licensed rights could be adversely affected. In certain circumstances, we have or may license technology from third parties on a non-exclusive basis. In such instances, other licensees may have the right to enforce our licensed patents in their respective fields, without our oversight or control. Those other licensees may choose to enforce our licensed patents in a way that harms our interest, for example, by advocating for claim interpretations or agreeing on invalidity positions that conflict with our positions or our interest. In addition to the foregoing, the risks associated with patent rights that we license from third parties will also apply to patent rights we own or may own in the future.

Further, in many of our license agreements we are responsible for bringing any actions against any third party for infringing on the patents we have licensed. Certain of our license agreements also require us to meet development thresholds to maintain the license, including establishing a set timeline for developing and commercializing products and minimum yearly diligence obligations in developing and commercializing the product. Certain of our license agreements contain "no challenge" clauses which preclude and prevent us from taking any action to limit or narrow the intellectual

property of a licensor. In some cases, these limitations extend to any intellectual property of our licensor and not just that which is licensed to us. Such constraints may limit our ability to develop or commercialize products or to expand such efforts beyond the scope of any license. Disputes may arise regarding intellectual property subject to a licensing agreement, including:

- the scope of rights granted under the license agreement and other interpretation-related issues;
- the extent to which our technology and processes infringe on intellectual property of the licensor that is not subject to the licensing agreement;
- the sublicensing of patent and other rights under our collaborative development relationships;
- our diligence obligations under the license agreement and what activities satisfy those diligence obligations;
- the inventorship or ownership of inventions and know-how resulting from the creation or use of intellectual property by our licensors and us and our partners; and
- the priority of invention of patented technology.

If disputes over intellectual property that we have licensed prevent or impair our ability to maintain our current licensing arrangements on acceptable terms, we may be unable to successfully develop and commercialize the affected product candidates.

If we fail to comply with our obligations under these license agreements, or we are subject to a bankruptcy, the licensor may have the right to terminate the license, in which event we would not be able to develop, manufacture, or market products covered by the license or may face other penalties under the agreements. Termination of any of our agreements involving intellectual property or reduction or elimination of our rights under these agreements may result in our having to negotiate new or reinstated agreements with less favorable terms or cause us to lose our rights under these agreements, including our rights to important intellectual property or technology. Termination may also result in unfavorable terms associated with such termination or may result in obligations on our part to license or grant back intellectual property rights to prior licensors.

Furthermore, the research resulting in certain of our licensed patent rights and technology was funded by the U.S. government. As a result, the government may have certain rights, or march-in rights, to such patent rights and technology. When new technologies are developed with U.S. government funding, the U.S. government generally obtains certain rights in any resulting patents, including a non-exclusive, royalty-free license authorizing the U.S. government, or a third party on its behalf, to use the invention for non-commercial purposes. These rights may permit the government to disclose our confidential information to third parties and to exercise march-in rights to use or allow third parties to use our licensed technology. The U.S. government can exercise its march-in rights if it determines that action is necessary because we fail to achieve practical application of the government-funded technology, because action is necessary to alleviate health or safety needs, to meet requirements of federal regulations or to give preference to U.S. industry. In addition, our rights in such inventions may be subject to certain requirements to manufacture products embodying such inventions in the United States. Any exercise by the government, or a third party on its behalf, of such rights could harm our competitive position, business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

If we are unable to obtain and maintain patent protection for our products and technology, or if the scope of the patent protection obtained is not of sufficient breadth, our competitors could develop and commercialize products and technology similar or identical to ours, and our ability to successfully commercialize our products and technology may be adversely affected.

Our success depends, in large part, on our and our licensors' ability to obtain and maintain patent protection in the United States and other countries with respect to our product candidates and manufacturing technology. We and our

licensors have sought, and we intend to seek in the future, to protect our proprietary position by filing patent applications in the United States and abroad related to many of our technologies and product candidates that are important to our business.

The patent prosecution process is expensive, time-consuming and complex, and we may not have and may not in the future be able to file, prosecute, maintain, enforce, defend or license all necessary or desirable patent applications in some or all relevant jurisdictions at a reasonable cost or in a timely manner. For example, in some cases, the work of certain academic researchers in the gene therapy field has entered the public domain, which may compromise our ability to obtain patent protection for certain inventions related to or building upon such prior work. Consequently, we may not be able to obtain any such patents to prevent others from using our technology for, and developing and marketing competing products to treat, these indications. It is also possible that we will fail to identify patentable aspects of our research and development output before it is too late to obtain patent protection. In some cases, we may be able to obtain patent protection, but such protections may expire before we commercialize the product protected by those rights, leaving us no meaningful protection for our products. In other cases, where our intellectual property is being managed by a third-party collaborator, licensee or partner, that third party may fail to act diligently in prosecuting, maintaining, defending or enforcing our patents. Such conduct may result in the failure to maintain or obtain protections, loss of rights, loss of patent term or, in cases where a third party has acted negligently or inequitably, patents being found unenforceable.

The patent position of biotechnology and pharmaceutical companies generally is highly uncertain, involves complex legal and factual questions and has, in recent years, been the subject of much litigation. As a result, the issuance, scope, validity, enforceability and commercial value of our and our licensors' patent rights are highly uncertain. Our pending and future patent applications may not result in patents being issued which protect our technology or product candidates or which effectively prevent others from commercializing competitive technologies and product candidates. In particular, during prosecution of any patent application, the issuance of any patents based on the application may depend upon our ability to generate additional preclinical or clinical data that support the patentability of our proposed claims. We may not be able to generate sufficient additional data on a timely basis, or at all. Changes in either the patent laws or interpretation of the patent laws in the United States and other countries may diminish the value, narrow the scope, or eliminate the enforceability of our and our licensors' patent protection.

We may not be aware of all third-party intellectual property rights potentially relating to our product candidates. Publications of discoveries in the scientific literature often lag behind the actual discoveries, and patent applications in the United States and other jurisdictions are typically not published until 18 months after filing or, in some cases, only upon issuance or not at all. Therefore, we cannot be certain that we, or a licensor, were the first to make the inventions claimed in any owned or any licensed patents or pending patent applications, respectively, or which entity was the first to file for patent protection until such patent application publishes or issues as a patent. Databases for patents and publications, and methods for searching them, are inherently limited, so it is not practical to review and know the full scope of all issued and pending patent applications. As a result, the issuance, scope, validity, enforceability, and commercial value of our and our licensed patent rights are uncertain.

Even if the patent applications we license or may own in the future do issue as patents, they may not issue in a form that will provide us with any meaningful protection, prevent competitors or other third parties from competing with us or otherwise provide us with any competitive advantage. Our competitors or other third parties may be able to circumvent our patents by developing similar or alternative technologies or products in a non-infringing manner.

In spite of a legal presumption of validity, the issuance of a patent is not conclusive as to its inventorship, ownership, scope, validity, or enforceability which may be challenged in the courts and patent offices in the United States and abroad. Such challenges may result in loss of exclusivity or in patent claims being narrowed, invalidated or held unenforceable, which could limit our ability to stop others from using or commercializing similar or identical technology and products, or limit the duration of the patent protection of our technology and product candidates. Given the amount of time required for the development, testing and regulatory review of new product candidates, patents protecting such candidates might expire before or shortly after such candidates are commercialized. As a result, our intellectual property may not provide us with sufficient rights to exclude others from commercializing products similar or identical to ours.

Our intellectual property licenses with third parties may be subject to disagreements over contract interpretation, which could narrow the scope of our rights to the relevant intellectual property or technology, resulting in termination of our access to such intellectual property, or increase our financial or other obligations to our licensors.

The agreements under which we currently license intellectual property or technology from third parties are complex, and certain provisions in such agreements may be susceptible to multiple interpretations. The resolution of any contract interpretation disagreement that may arise could narrow what we believe to be the scope of our rights to the relevant intellectual property or technology, result in loss of access, or increase what we believe to be our financial or other obligations under the relevant agreement, any of which could harm our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

We may not be successful in obtaining necessary rights to our product candidates through acquisitions and in-licenses.

We currently have rights to certain intellectual property, through licenses from third parties, to develop our product candidates. Because our programs may require the use of proprietary rights held by third parties, the growth of our business likely will depend, in part, on our ability to acquire, in-license or use these proprietary rights. We may be unable to acquire or in-license any compositions, methods of use, processes or other intellectual property rights from third parties that we identify as necessary for our product candidates. The licensing or acquisition of third-party intellectual property rights is a competitive area, and several more established companies may pursue strategies to license or acquire third-party intellectual property rights that we may consider attractive. These established companies may have a competitive advantage over us due to their size, capital resources and greater clinical or technical development and commercialization capabilities. In addition, companies that perceive us to be a competitor may be unwilling to assign or license rights to us. We also may be unable to license or acquire third-party intellectual property rights on terms that would allow us to make an appropriate return on our investment.

We sometimes collaborate with non-profit and academic institutions to accelerate our preclinical research or development under written agreements with these institutions. Typically, these institutions provide us with an option to negotiate a license to any of the institution's rights in technology resulting from the collaboration. Regardless of such option, we may be unable to negotiate a license within the specified timeframe or under terms that are acceptable to us. If we are unable to do so, the institution may offer the intellectual property rights to other parties, potentially blocking our ability to develop our program.

If we are unable to successfully obtain rights to required third-party intellectual property rights or maintain the existing intellectual property rights we have, we may be required to expend significant time and resources to redesign our product candidates or the methods for manufacturing them or to develop or license replacement technology, all of which may not be feasible on a technical or commercial basis. If we are unable to do so, we may be unable to develop or commercialize the affected product candidates, which could harm our business significantly.

Obtaining and maintaining our patent protection depends on compliance with various procedural, document submission, fee payment and other requirements imposed by government patent agencies, and our patent protection could be reduced or eliminated for non-compliance with these requirements.

Periodic maintenance fees, renewal fees, annuity fees and various other government fees on patents and/or applications will be due to be paid to the United States Patent and Trademark Office, or USPTO, and various government patent agencies outside of the United States over the lifetime of our licensed patents and/or applications and any patent rights we may own in the future. We rely on our outside counsel or our licensing partners to pay these fees due to patent agencies. The USPTO and various non-U.S. government patent agencies require compliance with several procedural, documentary, fee payment and other similar provisions during the patent application process. We employ reputable law firms and other professionals to help us comply and we are also dependent on our licensors to take the necessary action to comply with these requirements with respect to our licensed intellectual property. In many cases, an inadvertent lapse can be cured by payment of a late fee or by other means in accordance with the applicable rules. There are situations, however, in which non-compliance can result in abandonment or lapse of the patent or patent application, resulting in partial or complete loss of patent rights in the relevant jurisdiction, and may compromise the strength of

other intellectual property in our portfolio. In such an event, potential competitors might be able to enter the market and this circumstance could harm our business.

On February 1, 2019 the government of Venezuela, in response to certain U.S. sanctions, began to require that foreign entities pay all official fees, including patent fees (either for pending matters or new petitions), in PETRO, a “cryptocurrency” created by the Nicolás Maduro administration in February 2018 as a way to collect U.S. dollars while avoiding American financial sanctions issued under an Executive Order of President Trump on March 19, 2018. The Executive Order banned transactions involving “any digital currency, digital coin, or digital token, that was issued by, for, or on behalf of the Government of Venezuela on or after January 9, 2018.” The prohibition is applicable to any U.S. entity unless exempted by license. We do not hold such a license and therefore may not be able to secure patents in Venezuela.

We may not be able to protect our intellectual property rights throughout the world.

Filing, prosecuting and defending patents on product candidates in all countries throughout the world would be prohibitively expensive. Our intellectual property rights may vary from country to country and foreign protections could be less extensive than those in the United States. In addition, the laws of some foreign countries do not protect intellectual property rights to the same extent as federal and state laws in the United States. Consequently, we may not be able to prevent third parties from practicing our inventions in all countries outside the United States, or from selling or importing products made using our inventions in and into the United States or other jurisdictions. Competitors may use our technologies in jurisdictions where we have not obtained patent protection to develop their own products and, further, may export otherwise infringing products to territories where we have patent protection, but enforcement is not as strong as that in the United States. These products may compete with our products and our patents or other intellectual property rights may not be effective or sufficient to prevent them from competing.

Many companies have encountered significant problems in protecting and defending intellectual property rights in foreign jurisdictions. The legal systems of certain countries, particularly certain developing countries, do not favor the enforcement of patents, trade secrets and other intellectual property protection, particularly those relating to biotechnology products or methods of treatment, which could make it difficult for us to stop the infringement of our patents or marketing of competing products in violation of our proprietary rights generally. For example, an April 2014 report from the Office of the United States Trade Representative identified a number of countries, including India and China, where challenges to the procurement and enforcement of patent rights have been reported. Several countries, including India and China, have been listed in the report every year since 1989. With Brexit, there is uncertainty associated with obtaining, defending, and enforcing intellectual property rights in the United Kingdom. International treaties and regulations promulgated as a result of this transition could impede or eliminate our ability to obtain or maintain meaningful intellectual property rights in the United Kingdom. Proceedings to enforce our patent rights in foreign jurisdictions could result in substantial costs and divert our efforts and attention from other aspects of our business, could put our patents at risk of being invalidated or interpreted narrowly and our patent applications at risk of not issuing and could provoke third parties to assert claims against us. We may not prevail in any lawsuits that we initiate, and the damages or other remedies awarded, if any, may not be commercially meaningful. Accordingly, our efforts to enforce our intellectual property rights around the world may be inadequate to obtain a significant commercial advantage from the intellectual property that we develop or license.

Issued patents covering our technology or product candidates could be found invalid or unenforceable if challenged in court. We may not be able to protect our trade secrets in court.

If one of our licensing partners or we initiate legal proceedings against a third party to enforce a patent covering our technology or one of our product candidates, the defendant could counterclaim that the patent covering such technology or product candidate is invalid or unenforceable. In patent litigation in the United States, defendant counterclaims alleging invalidity or unenforceability are commonplace. Grounds for a validity challenge could be an alleged failure to meet any of several statutory requirements, including lack of novelty, obviousness, lack of written description or non-enablement. Grounds for an unenforceability assertion could be an allegation that an individual connected with prosecution of the patent, including an inventor, an employee of the company, a collaborator or advisor, withheld information material to patentability from the USPTO, or made a misleading statement, during prosecution.

Third parties also may raise similar claims before administrative bodies in the United States or abroad, even outside the context of litigation. Such mechanisms include pre-issuance submissions, *ex parte* re-examination, post-grant review, *inter partes* review and equivalent proceedings in foreign jurisdictions. Some of these mechanisms may even be exploited anonymously by third parties. Such proceedings could result in the revocation or cancellation of or amendment to our patents in such a way that they no longer cover our technology or product candidates. The outcome following legal assertions of invalidity and unenforceability is unpredictable. With respect to the validity question, for example, we cannot be certain that there is no invalidating prior art, of which the patent examiner and we or our licensing partners were unaware during prosecution. If a defendant were to prevail on a legal assertion of invalidity or unenforceability, we could lose part or, all of the patent protection on one or more of our product candidates or our supporting technology. Such a loss of patent protection could harm our business.

In addition to the protection afforded by patents, we rely on trade secret protection, nondisclosure, and confidentiality agreements to protect proprietary know-how that is not patentable or that we elect not to patent, processes for which patents are difficult to enforce and any other elements of our product candidate discovery and development processes that involve proprietary know-how, information or technology that is not covered by patents. However, trade secrets can be difficult to protect. Some courts inside and outside the United States are less willing or unwilling to protect trade secrets. We seek to protect our proprietary technology and processes, in part, by entering into confidentiality agreements with our employees, consultants, scientific advisors, collaborators, contractors, and other third parties. We cannot guarantee that we have entered into such agreements with each party that may have or have had access to our trade secrets or proprietary technology and processes. We also seek to preserve the integrity and confidentiality of our data and trade secrets by maintaining physical security of our premises and physical and electronic security of our information technology systems. While we have confidence in these individuals, organizations and systems, agreements or security measures may be breached, and we may not have adequate remedies for any breach. In addition, our trade secrets may otherwise become known or be independently discovered by competitors.

Third parties may initiate legal proceedings alleging that we are infringing their intellectual property rights, the outcome of which would be uncertain and could harm our business.

Our commercial success depends upon our ability and the ability of our collaborators to develop, manufacture, market and sell our product candidates and use our proprietary technologies without infringing the proprietary rights and intellectual property of third parties. The biotechnology and pharmaceutical industries are characterized by extensive and complex litigation regarding patents and other intellectual property rights. We may become party to, or threatened with, infringement litigation claims regarding our products and technology, including claims from competitors or from non-practicing entities that have no relevant product revenue and against whom our own patent portfolio may have no deterrent effect. Moreover, we may become party to, or be threatened with, adversarial proceedings or litigation regarding intellectual property rights with respect to our product candidates and technology, including *ex parte* re-examination, post-grant review and *inter partes* review before the USPTO or foreign patent offices. Third parties may assert infringement claims against us based on existing patents or patents that may be granted in the future, regardless of the merit of the claim. There is a risk that third parties may choose to engage in litigation with us to enforce or to otherwise assert their patent rights against us. Even if we believe such claims are without merit, a court of competent jurisdiction could hold that these third-party patents are valid, enforceable and infringed, which could adversely affect our ability to commercialize our product candidates or any other of our product candidates or technologies covered by the asserted third-party patents. In order to successfully challenge the validity of any such asserted third-party U.S. patent in federal court, we would need to overcome a presumption of validity. As this burden is a high one requiring us to present clear and convincing evidence as to the invalidity of any such U.S. patent claim, there is no assurance that a court of competent jurisdiction would invalidate the claims of any such U.S. patent. Similar challenges exist in other jurisdictions. If we are found to infringe a third-party's valid and enforceable intellectual property rights, we could be required to obtain a license from such third-party to continue developing, manufacturing and marketing our product candidates and technology. However, we may not be able to obtain any required license on commercially reasonable terms or at all. Even if we were able to obtain a license, it could be non-exclusive, thereby giving our competitors and other third parties access to the same technologies licensed to us, and it could require us to make substantial licensing and royalty payments. We could be forced, including by court order, to cease developing, manufacturing and commercializing the infringing technology or product candidates. In addition, we could be found liable for monetary damages, including treble damages and attorneys' fees, if we are found to have willfully infringed a patent or other

intellectual property right. A finding of infringement could prevent us from manufacturing and commercializing our product candidates or force us to cease some of our business operations, which could harm our business. In addition, we may be forced to redesign our product candidates, seek new regulatory approvals, and indemnify third parties pursuant to contractual agreements. Claims that we have misappropriated the confidential information or trade secrets of third parties could have a similar negative impact on our business, reputation, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

Intellectual property litigation could cause us to spend substantial resources and distract our personnel from their normal responsibilities.

Competitors may infringe our intellectual property rights or the intellectual property rights of our licensing partners, or we may be required to defend against claims of infringement. To counter infringement or unauthorized use claims or to defend against claims of infringement can be expensive and time consuming. Even if resolved in our favor, litigation or other legal proceedings relating to intellectual property claims may cause us to incur significant expenses and could distract our technical and management personnel from their normal responsibilities. In addition, there could be public announcements of the results of hearings, motions or other interim proceedings or developments. If securities analysts or investors perceive these results to be negative, it could have a substantial adverse effect on the price of our common stock. Such litigation or proceedings could substantially increase our operating losses and reduce the resources available for development activities or any future sales, marketing or distribution activities. We may not have sufficient financial or other resources to conduct such litigation or proceedings adequately. Some of our competitors may be able to sustain the costs of such litigation or proceedings more effectively than we can because of their greater financial resources and more mature and developed intellectual property portfolios. Uncertainties resulting from the initiation and continuation of patent litigation or other proceedings could adversely affect our ability to compete in the marketplace.

We may be subject to claims asserting that our employees, consultants or advisors have wrongfully used or disclosed alleged trade secrets of their current or former employers or claims asserting ownership of what we regard as our own intellectual property.

Many of our directors, employees, consultants, and advisors are currently, or were previously, employed at universities or other biotechnology or pharmaceutical companies, including our competitors or potential competitors. Although we try to ensure that these individuals do not use the proprietary information or know-how of others in their work for us, we may be subject to claims that these individuals or we have used or disclosed intellectual property, including trade secrets or other proprietary information, of any such individual's current or former employer. Litigation may be necessary to defend against these claims. If we fail in defending any such claims, in addition to paying monetary damages, we may lose valuable intellectual property rights or personnel. Even if we are successful in defending against such claims, litigation could result in substantial costs and be a distraction to management.

In addition, while it is our policy to require our employees, consultants, advisors and contractors who may be involved in the conception or development of intellectual property to execute agreements assigning such intellectual property to us, we may be unsuccessful in executing such an agreement with each party who, in fact, conceives or develops intellectual property that we regard as our own. The assignment of intellectual property rights may not be self-executing or the assignment agreements may be breached, and we may be forced to bring claims against third parties, or defend claims that they may bring against us, to determine the ownership of what we regard as our intellectual property.

If we fail in prosecuting or defending any such claims, in addition to paying monetary damages, we may lose valuable intellectual property rights or personnel. Even if we are successful in prosecuting or defending against such claims, litigation could result in substantial costs and be a distraction to management.

Changes in U.S. patent law could diminish the value of patents in general, thereby impairing our ability to protect our products.

Patent reform legislation could increase the uncertainties and costs surrounding the prosecution of patent applications and the enforcement or defense of issued patents. On September 16, 2011, the Leahy-Smith America Invents Act, or the Leahy-Smith Act, was signed into law. The Leahy-Smith Act includes several significant changes to

U.S. patent law. These include provisions that affect the way patent applications are prosecuted and also may affect patent litigation. These also include provisions that switched the United States from a “first-to-invent” system to a “first-inventor-to-file” system, allow third-party submission of prior art to the USPTO during patent prosecution and set forth additional procedures to attack the validity of a patent by the USPTO administered post grant proceedings. Under a first-inventor-to-file system, assuming the other requirements for patentability are met, the first inventor to file a patent application generally will be entitled to the patent on an invention regardless of whether another inventor had made the invention earlier. The USPTO has promulgated regulations and procedures to govern administration of the Leahy-Smith Act, and many of the substantive changes to patent law associated with the Leahy-Smith Act, and in particular, the first-inventor-to-file provisions, became effective on March 16, 2013. The Leahy-Smith Act has resulted in an increased investment in filing applications earlier, and consequently has increased the uncertainties and costs surrounding the prosecution of our patent applications, and may increase the enforcement or defense of our issued patents, all of which could harm our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

The administrative tribunal created by the Leahy-Smith Act, known as the Patent Trial and Appeals Board, or PTAB, may have an impact on the operation of our business in the future. For example, the initial results of patent challenge proceedings before the PTAB since its inception in 2013 have resulted in the invalidation of many U.S. patent claims. The availability of the PTAB as a lower-cost, faster and potentially more potent tribunal for challenging patents could therefore increase the likelihood that our own licensed patents will be challenged, thereby increasing the uncertainties and costs of maintaining and enforcing them. Moreover, if such challenges occur, we may not have the right to control the defense. In certain situations, we may be required to rely on our licensor to consider our suggestions and to defend such challenges, with the possibility that it may not do so in a way that best protects our interests.

We also may be subject to a third-party pre-issuance submission of prior art to the USPTO or become involved in other contested proceedings such as opposition, derivation, reexamination, *inter partes* review, or post-grant review proceedings challenging our patent rights or the patent rights of others. An adverse determination in any such submission, proceeding or litigation could reduce the scope of, or invalidate, our patent rights, allow third parties to commercialize our technology or products and compete directly with us, without payment to us, or result in our inability to manufacture or commercialize products without infringing third-party patent rights. In addition, if the breadth or strength of protection provided by our patents and patent applications is threatened, it could dissuade companies from collaborating with us to license, develop or commercialize current or future products.

The patent positions of companies engaged in the development and commercialization of biologics and pharmaceuticals are particularly uncertain as the courts address issues such as patenting genes or gene products. The most recent guidance provided under *Berkheimer v HP, Inc.* (April 19, 2018) and *Vanda Pharmaceuticals, Inc. v West-Ward Pharmaceuticals* (June 7, 2018) instruct USPTO examiners on the ramifications of the court rulings as applied to natural products and principles including all naturally occurring nucleic acids. Patents for certain of our product candidates contain claims related to specific DNA sequences that are naturally occurring and, therefore, could be the subject of future challenges made by third parties. In addition, the recent USPTO guidance could make it impossible for us to pursue similar patent claims in patent applications we may prosecute in the future.

We cannot assure you that our efforts to seek patent protection for our technology and products will not be negatively impacted by the court decisions referenced above, rulings in other cases or changes in guidance or procedures issued by the USPTO. We cannot fully predict what impact decisions from the Supreme Court’s decisions in *Mayo Collaborative Services v. Prometheus Laboratories* and *Molecular Pathology v. Myriad Genetics, Inc.* or other applicable court decisions may have on the ability of life science companies to obtain or enforce patents relating to their products and technologies in the future. These decisions, the guidance issued by the USPTO and rulings in other cases or changes in USPTO guidance or procedures could have an adverse effect on our existing patent portfolio and our ability to protect and enforce our intellectual property in the future.

Moreover, although the Supreme Court has held that isolated segments of naturally occurring DNA are not patent-eligible subject matter, certain third parties could allege that activities that we may undertake infringe other gene-related patent claims, and we may deem it necessary to defend ourselves against these claims by asserting non-infringement and/or invalidity positions, or paying to obtain a license to these claims. In any of the foregoing or in other situations involving third-party intellectual property rights, if we are unsuccessful in defending against claims of

patent infringement, we could be forced to pay damages or be subjected to an injunction that would prevent us from utilizing the patented subject matter. Such outcomes could harm our business, financial condition, results of operations or prospects.

Outside the United States, other courts have also begun to address the patenting of genetic material. In August 2015, the Australian High Court ruled that isolated genes cannot be patented in Australia. The decision did not address methods of using genetic material. Any ruling of a similar scope in other countries could affect the scope of our intellectual property rights. The ambiguities and changing law in all countries as to patenting genetic material may directly affect our ability to secure and/or maintain patent protection for our products.

If we do not obtain patent term extension and data exclusivity for our product candidates, our business may be harmed.

Depending upon the timing, duration and specifics of any FDA marketing approval of our product candidates, one or more of our U.S. patents, which may cover non-gene therapy compounds, may be eligible for limited patent term extension under the Drug Price Competition and Patent Term Restoration Act of 1984, or the Hatch-Waxman Act. The Hatch-Waxman Act permits a patent extension term of up to five years as compensation for patent term lost during the FDA regulatory review process. A patent term extension cannot extend the remaining term of a patent beyond a total of 14 years from the date of product approval, only one patent may be extended per FDA-approved product, and only those claims covering the approved drug, a method for using it or a method for manufacturing it may be extended. Further, certain of our licenses currently or in the future may not provide us with the right to control decisions the licensor or its other licensees on Orange Book listings or patent term extension decisions under the Hatch-Waxman Act. Thus, if one of our important licensed patents is eligible for a patent term extension under the Hatch-Waxman Act, and it covers a product of another licensee in addition to our own product candidate, we may not be able to obtain that extension if the other licensee seeks and obtains that extension first. However, we may not be granted an extension because of, for example, failing to exercise due diligence during the testing phase or regulatory review process, failing to apply within applicable deadlines, failing to apply prior to expiration of relevant patents or otherwise failing to satisfy applicable requirements.

The Biologics Price Competition and Innovation Act of 2009 provides up to 12 years of market exclusivity for a reference biological product. We may not be able to obtain such exclusivity for our products. Moreover, the applicable time-period or the scope of patent protection afforded during any such extension could be less than we request. If we are unable to obtain patent term extension or the scope of term of any such extension is less than we request, the period during which we will have the right to exclusively market our product may be shortened and our competitors may obtain approval of competing products following our patent expiration, and our revenue could be materially reduced.

If our trademarks and trade name are not adequately protected, then we may not be able to build name recognition in our markets of interest and our business may be adversely affected.

We own service mark registrations in the USPTO for the marks “VOYAGER THERAPEUTICS” and “VOYAGER THERAPEUTICS Logo” and European Community trademark registrations for the marks “V-TAG” and “VOYAGER TRAJECTORY ARRAY GUIDE.” We also own pending trademark applications in the USPTO for the marks “V-TAG”, V-TAG Logo, and “TRACER”. Our trademarks or our trade name may be challenged, infringed, circumvented or declared generic or found to infringe prior third-party marks. We may not be able to protect our rights in our trademarks or in our trade name, which we need in order to build name recognition among potential partners or customers in our markets of interest. It is possible that competitors may adopt trade names or trademarks similar to ours, thereby impeding our ability to build brand identity and possibly leading to market confusion. In addition, there could be potential trade name or trademark infringement claims brought by owners of prior registered trademarks or trademarks that incorporate variations of our registered or unregistered trademarks or trade name. Over the long term, if we are unable to establish name recognition based on our trademarks and trade name, then we may not be able to compete effectively, and our business may be adversely affected. Our efforts to enforce and protect our proprietary rights related to trademarks, trade secrets, domain names, copyrights and other intellectual property may be ineffective and could result in substantial costs and diversion of resources and could adversely impact our financial condition or results of operations.

Intellectual property rights do not necessarily address all potential threats.

The degree of future protection afforded by our intellectual property rights is uncertain because intellectual property rights have limitations, and such rights may not adequately protect our business or permit us to maintain our competitive advantage. For example:

- others may be able to make gene therapy products that are similar to our product candidates but that are not covered by the claims of the patents that we own, license or may access in the future;
- we, or our license partners or current or future collaborators, might not have been the first to make the inventions covered by the issued patent or pending patent application that we license or may own in the future;
- we, or our license partners or current or future collaborators, might not have been the first to file patent applications covering certain of our or their inventions;
- others may independently develop similar or alternative technologies or duplicate any of our technologies without infringing our owned or licensed intellectual property rights;
- it is possible that our pending patent applications or those that we may own in the future will not lead to issued patents;
- issued patents that we hold rights to may be held invalid or unenforceable, including as a result of legal challenges by our competitors;
- our competitors might conduct research and development activities in countries where we do not have patent rights and then use the information learned from such activities to develop competitive products for sale in our major commercial markets;
- we may not develop additional proprietary technologies that are patentable;
- the patents of others may have an adverse effect on our business; and
- we may choose not to file a patent for certain inventions, trade secrets or know-how, and a third party may subsequently file a patent covering such intellectual property.

Should any of these events occur, they could significantly harm our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

Our reliance on third parties requires us to share our trade secrets, which increases the possibility that a competitor will discover them or that our trade secrets will be misappropriated or disclosed.

Because we currently rely on certain third parties to manufacture all or part of our product candidates and to perform quality testing, and because we collaborate with various organizations and academic institutions for the advancement of our gene therapy platform and pipeline, we must, at times, share our proprietary technology and confidential information, including trade secrets, with them. We seek to protect our proprietary technology, in part, by entering into confidentiality agreements and, if applicable, material transfer agreements, collaborative research agreements, consulting agreements or other similar agreements with our collaborators, advisors, employees and consultants prior to beginning research or disclosing proprietary information. These agreements typically limit the rights of the third parties to use or disclose our confidential information. Despite the contractual provisions employed when working with third parties, the need to share trade secrets and other confidential information increases the risk that such trade secrets become known by our competitors, are inadvertently incorporated into the technology of others or are disclosed or used in violation of these agreements. Given that our proprietary position is based, in part, on our know-how and trade secrets, a competitor's discovery of our proprietary technology and confidential information or other

unauthorized use or disclosure would impair our competitive position and may harm our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

Despite our efforts to protect our trade secrets, our competitors may discover our trade secrets, either through breach of these agreements, independent development or publication of information including our trade secrets by third parties. A competitor's discovery of our trade secrets would impair our competitive position and have an adverse impact on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

Risks Related to Ownership of Our Common Stock

Our executive officers, directors, principal stockholders and their affiliates exercise significant influence over our company.

The holdings of our executive officers, directors, principal stockholders and their affiliates, including investment funds affiliated with Third Rock Ventures, LLC and Neurocrine represent beneficial ownership, in the aggregate, of approximately 36% of our outstanding common stock as of December 31, 2019. As a result, these stockholders, if they act together, will be able to influence our management and affairs and the outcome of matters submitted to our stockholders for approval, including the election of directors and any sale, merger, consolidation, or sale of all or substantially all of our assets. In addition, this concentration of ownership might adversely affect the market price of our common stock by:

- delaying, deferring or preventing a change of control of us;
- impeding a merger, consolidation, takeover or other business combination involving us; or
- discouraging a potential acquirer from making a tender offer or otherwise attempting to obtain control of us.

An active trading market for our common stock may not be sustained.

Our shares of common stock began trading on the Nasdaq Global Select Market on November 11, 2015. Given the limited trading history of our common stock, there is a risk that an active trading market for our shares will not be sustained, which could put downward pressure on the market price of our common stock and thereby affect the ability of our stockholders to sell their shares.

If securities analysts do not publish research or reports about our business or if they publish negative evaluations of our stock, the price of our stock could decline.

The trading market for our common stock will rely in part on the research and reports that industry or financial analysts publish about us or our business. If no or few analysts maintain coverage of us, the trading price of our stock would likely decrease. If one or more of the analysts covering our business downgrade their evaluations of our stock, the price of our stock could decline. If one or more of these analysts cease to cover our stock, we could lose visibility in the market for our stock, which in turn could cause our stock price to decline.

Sales of a substantial number of shares of our common stock in the public market could cause our stock price to fall.

Persons who were our stockholders prior to our initial public offering continue to hold a substantial number of shares of our common stock. If such persons sell, or indicate an intention to sell, substantial amounts of our common stock in the public market, the trading price of our common stock could decline.

In addition, shares of common stock that are either subject to outstanding options or restricted stock units, or RSUs, or reserved for future issuance under our stock incentive plans will become eligible for sale in the public market to the extent permitted by the provisions of various vesting schedules and Rule 144 and Rule 701 under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. We have also filed a registration statement on Form S-8 permitting shares of common stock issued on exercise of options or the settlement of RSUs to be freely sold in the public market. If these additional shares of common stock are sold, or if it is perceived that they will be sold, in the public market, the trading price of our

common stock could decline. We have registered on a registration statement on Form S-3 the sale of up to \$300.0 million in aggregate of an indeterminate number of shares of common stock and preferred stock, an indeterminate principal amount of debt securities, and an indeterminate number of warrants. The registration statement also registered the offering, issuance, and sale of common stock having up to a maximum aggregate offering price of \$100.0 million, from the \$300.0 million shelf registration statement that we may issue and sell in at-the-market offerings or negotiated transactions under a sales agreement we entered into with Cowen on November 6, 2019 pursuant to a sales agreement prospectus that forms a part of the registration statement. This shelf registration statement became effective on December 2, 2019, and no securities have been issued under such shelf registration statement to date.

Certain holders of our common stock have rights, subject to specified conditions, to require us to file registration statements covering their shares or to include their shares in registration statements that we may file for ourselves or other stockholders. Any sales of securities by these stockholders could have a material adverse effect on the trading price of our common stock.

The price of our common stock may be volatile and fluctuate substantially, which could result in substantial losses for purchasers of our common stock.

The price of our common stock is likely to be volatile and may fluctuate substantially. From January 1, 2019 through December 31, 2019, the sales price of our common stock ranged from a high of \$28.79 to a low of \$7.76 on the Nasdaq Global Select Market. As a result of this volatility, our stockholders may not be able to sell their common stock at or above the price at which they purchased it. The market price for our common stock may be influenced by many factors, including:

- our success in commercializing any product candidates for which we obtain marketing approval;
- regulatory action and results of clinical trials of our product candidates or those of our competitors;
- the success of competitive products or technologies;
- the results of clinical trials of our product candidates;
- the results of clinical trials of product candidates of our competitors;
- the commencement, termination, and success of our collaborations, including the ability or willingness of our collaboration partners to fulfill their obligations to us;
- regulatory or legal developments in the United States and other countries;
- developments or disputes concerning patent applications, issued patents or other proprietary rights;
- the recruitment or departure of key personnel;
- the level of expenses related to any of our product candidates or clinical development programs;
- the results of our efforts to discover, develop, acquire or in-license additional product candidates or technologies, the cost of commercializing such product candidates, and the cost of development of any such product candidates or technologies;
- actual or anticipated changes in estimates as to financial results, development timelines or recommendations by securities analysts;
- variations in our financial results or those of companies that are perceived to be similar to us;
- the ability to secure third-party reimbursement for our product candidates;
- changes in the structure of healthcare payment systems;

- market conditions in the pharmaceutical and biotechnology sectors;
- general economic, industry and market conditions; and
- the other factors described in this “Risk Factors” section and elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

If our operating results fall below the expectations of investors or securities analysts for a given period, the price of our common stock could decline substantially. Furthermore, any fluctuations in our operating results from period to period may, in turn, cause the price of our stock to fluctuate substantially. We believe that such comparisons of our financial results are not necessarily meaningful and should not be relied upon as an indication of our future performance.

In the past, following periods of volatility in the market price of a company’s securities, securities class-action litigation often has been instituted against that company. We also may face securities class-action litigation if we cannot obtain regulatory approvals for or if we otherwise fail to commercialize our product candidates. Such litigation, if instituted against us, could cause us to incur substantial costs to defend such claims and divert management’s attention and resources, which could seriously harm our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

We have broad discretion in how we apply our available funds, and we may not use these funds effectively, which could affect our results of operations and cause our stock price to decline.

Our management will have broad discretion in the application of our existing cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities and could spend these funds in ways that do not improve our results of operations or enhance the value of our common stock. The failure by our management to apply our available funds effectively could result in financial losses that could cause the price of our common stock to decline and delay the development of our product candidates and preclinical programs. Pending their use, we may invest our available funds in a manner that does not produce income or that loses value.

We are an “emerging growth company” and a “smaller reporting company” and the reduced disclosure requirements applicable to such companies may make our common stock less attractive to investors.

For so long as we remain an “emerging growth company,” or EGC, as defined in the JOBS Act, we are permitted and intend to rely on exemptions from certain disclosure requirements that are applicable to other public companies that are not EGCs. These exemptions include:

- not being required to comply with the auditor attestation requirements in the assessment of our internal control over financial reporting pursuant to Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002;
- not being required to comply with any requirement that may be adopted by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board regarding mandatory audit firm rotation or a supplement to the auditor’s report providing additional information about the audit and the financial statements;
- reduced disclosure obligations regarding executive compensation; and
- exemptions from the requirements of holding a nonbinding advisory vote on executive compensation and stockholder approval of any golden parachute payments not previously approved.

We may take advantage of these exemptions until we are no longer an EGC. We would cease to be an EGC upon the earlier of: (i) the last day of the fiscal year in which we have total annual gross revenue of \$1.07 billion or more; (ii) the last day of the fiscal year following the fifth anniversary of the date of the completion of our IPO, which is December 31, 2020; (iii) the date on which we have issued more than \$1.0 billion in nonconvertible debt during the previous three years; or (iv) the date on which we are deemed to be a large accelerated filer under the rules of the SEC which means the first day of the year following the first year in which the market value of our common stock that is held by non-affiliates exceeds \$700.0 million as of June 30th.

We expect to continue to take advantage of some, but not all, of the available exemptions. Even after we no longer qualify as an emerging growth company, we might still qualify as a smaller reporting company, or SRC, which would allow us to take advantage of many of the same exemptions from disclosure requirements, including reduced disclosure obligations regarding executive compensation. We cannot predict whether investors will find our common stock less attractive if we rely on certain or all of these exemptions. If some investors find our common stock less attractive as a result, there may be a less active trading market for our common stock and our stock price may be more volatile and may decline.

In addition, the JOBS Act provides that an EGC may take advantage of an extended transition period for complying with new or revised accounting standards. This allows an EGC to delay the adoption of certain accounting standards until those standards would otherwise apply to private companies. We have irrevocably elected not to avail ourselves of this exemption from new or revised accounting standards and, therefore, we will be subject to the same new or revised accounting standards as other public companies that are not emerging growth companies.

We incur increased costs as a result of operating as a public company, and our management is required to devote substantial time to new compliance initiatives.

As a public company, and particularly after we are no longer an EGC or SRC, we incur significant legal, accounting and other expenses that we did not incur as a private company. In addition, the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, and rules subsequently implemented by the SEC and The Nasdaq Stock Market have imposed various requirements on public companies, including establishment and maintenance of effective disclosure and financial controls and corporate governance practices. Our management and other personnel devote a substantial amount of time to these compliance initiatives. Moreover, these rules and regulations have increased our legal and financial compliance costs and have made some activities more time-consuming and costly. For example, these rules and regulations have made it more difficult and more expensive for us to obtain director and officer liability insurance, and we have been required to accept reduced policy limits and coverage or incur substantially higher costs to obtain the same or similar coverage. As a result, it may be more difficult for us to attract and retain qualified people to serve on our board of directors, our board committees or as executive officers.

Pursuant to Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, or Section 404, we will be required to furnish a report by our management on our internal control over financial reporting. However, while we remain an EGC, we will not be required to include an attestation report on internal control over financial reporting issued by our independent registered public accounting firm. To achieve compliance with Section 404 within the prescribed period, we will be engaged in a process to document and evaluate our internal control over financial reporting, which is both costly and challenging. In this regard, we will need to continue to dedicate internal resources, potentially engage outside consultants and adopt a detailed work plan to assess and document the adequacy of internal control over financial reporting, continue steps to improve control processes as appropriate, validate through testing that controls are functioning as documented and implement a continuous reporting and improvement process for internal control over financial reporting. Despite our efforts, there is a risk that neither we nor our independent registered public accounting firm will be able to conclude within the prescribed timeframe, or at all, that our internal control over financial reporting is effective as required by Section 404. If we identify one or more material weaknesses in our internal control over financial reporting, it could result in an adverse reaction in the financial markets due to a loss of confidence in the reliability of our financial statements.

Provisions in our amended and restated certificate of incorporation and bylaws and Delaware law could make an acquisition of us, which may be beneficial to our stockholders, more difficult and may prevent attempts by our stockholders to replace or remove our current management.

Provisions in our amended and restated certificate of incorporation and bylaws may discourage, delay or prevent a merger, acquisition or other change in control of us that stockholders may consider favorable, including transactions in which our stockholders might otherwise receive a premium for their shares. These provisions also could limit the price that investors might be willing to pay in the future for shares of our common stock, thereby depressing the market price of our common stock. In addition, because our board of directors is responsible for appointing the members of our management team, these provisions may frustrate or prevent any attempts by our stockholders to replace or

remove our current management by making it more difficult for stockholders to replace members of our board of directors. Among other things, these provisions:

- establish a classified board of directors such that only one of three classes of members of the board is elected each year;
- allow the authorized number of our directors to be changed only by resolution of our board of directors;
- limit the manner in which stockholders can remove directors from the board;
- establish advance notice requirements for stockholder proposals that can be acted on at stockholder meetings and nominations to our board of directors;
- require that stockholder actions must be effected at a duly called stockholder meeting and prohibit actions by our stockholders by written consent;
- limit who may call stockholder meetings;
- authorize our board of directors to issue preferred stock without stockholder approval, which could be used to institute a stockholder rights plan, or so-called “poison pill,” that would work to dilute the stock ownership of a potential hostile acquirer, effectively preventing acquisitions that have not been approved by our board of directors; and
- require the approval of the holders of at least 75% of the votes that all our stockholders would be entitled to cast to amend or repeal certain provisions of our amended and restated certificate of incorporation or bylaws.

Moreover, because we are incorporated in Delaware, we are governed by the provisions of Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporation Law, which prohibits a person who owns in excess of 15% of our outstanding voting stock from merging or combining with us for a period of three years after the date of the transaction in which the person acquired in excess of 15% of our outstanding voting stock, unless the merger or combination is approved in a prescribed manner.

Our amended and restated certificate of incorporation designates the Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware as the sole and exclusive forum for certain types of actions and proceedings that may be initiated by our stockholders, which could limit our stockholders’ ability to obtain a favorable judicial forum for disputes with us or our directors, officers or employees.

Our amended and restated certificate of incorporation provides that, unless we consent in writing to an alternative forum, the Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware will be the sole and exclusive forum for (i) any derivative action or proceeding brought on our behalf, (ii) any action asserting a claim of breach of a fiduciary duty owed by any of our directors, officers and employees to us or our stockholders, (iii) any action asserting a claim arising pursuant to any provision of the Delaware General Corporation Law, our certificate of incorporation or our bylaws or (iv) any action asserting a claim that is governed by the internal affairs doctrine, in each case subject to the Court of Chancery having personal jurisdiction over the indispensable parties named as defendants therein. Any person purchasing or otherwise acquiring any interest in any shares of our capital stock shall be deemed to have notice of and to have consented to this provision of our amended and restated certificate of incorporation. This choice of forum provision is inapplicable to actions arising under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and we likewise do not intend to apply this choice of forum provision to actions arising under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

This choice of forum provision may limit a stockholder’s ability to bring a claim that is not arising under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, in a judicial forum that he, she or it finds favorable for disputes with us or our directors, officers or employees, which may discourage such lawsuits against us and our directors, officers and employees even though an action, if successful, might benefit our stockholders.

Stockholders who do bring a claim in the Court of Chancery could face additional litigation costs in pursuing any such claim, particularly if they do not reside in or near the State of Delaware. The Court of Chancery may also reach different judgments or results than would other courts, including courts where a stockholder considering an action may be located or would otherwise choose to bring the action, and such judgments or results may be more favorable to us than to our stockholders. Alternatively, if a court were to find this provision of our amended and restated certificate of incorporation inapplicable to, or unenforceable in respect of, one or more of the specified types of actions or proceedings, we may incur additional costs and business interruption that could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Because we do not anticipate paying any cash dividends on our capital stock in the foreseeable future, capital appreciation, if any, will be our stockholders' sole source of gain.

We have never declared or paid cash dividends on our capital stock. We currently intend to retain all of our future earnings, if any, to finance the growth and development of our business. In addition, the terms of any future debt agreements may preclude us from paying dividends. As a result, capital appreciation, if any, of our common stock will be the sole source of gain for our stockholders for the foreseeable future.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

Not applicable.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

Our corporate headquarters are located in Cambridge, Massachusetts. We currently lease all of our facilities, which encompass approximately 74,000 square feet of office and laboratory space and are located at 75 Sidney Street and 64 Sidney Street, Cambridge, Massachusetts.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

In the ordinary course of business, we are from time to time involved in lawsuits, claims, investigations, proceedings, and threats of litigation relating to intellectual property, commercial arrangements and other matters. While the outcome of these proceedings and claims cannot be predicted with certainty, as of December 31, 2019, we were not party to any legal matters, claims, or arbitration proceedings that may have, or have had in the recent past, significant effects on our financial position or profitability. No governmental proceedings are pending or, to our knowledge, contemplated against us. We are not a party to any material proceedings in which any director, member of senior management or affiliate of ours is either a party adverse to us or our subsidiaries or has a material interest adverse to us or our subsidiaries.

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not applicable.

PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES.

Our common stock has been traded on the Nasdaq Global Select Market under the symbol "VYGR" since November 11, 2015. Prior to this time, there was no public market for our common stock. On February 28, 2020, the last reported sale price for our common stock on the Nasdaq Global Select Market was \$10.90 per share.

Stockholders

As of February 28, 2020, there were approximately 15 holders of record of our common stock. The actual number of stockholders is greater than this number of record holders, and includes stockholders who are beneficial

owners, but whose shares are held in street name by brokers and other nominees. This number of holders of record also does not include stockholders whose shares may be held in trust by other entities.

Dividends

We have not paid any cash dividends on our common stock since inception and do not anticipate paying cash dividends in the foreseeable future.

Securities Authorized for Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans

Information about our equity compensation plans is incorporated herein by reference to Item 12 of Part III of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Purchases of Equity Securities by the Issuer or Affiliated Purchasers

The following table sets forth information with respect to repurchases of shares of our common stock during the three-month period ended December 31, 2019.

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Approximate Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans
October 1 - October 31, 2019	-	-	-	-
November 1 - November 30, 2019	-	-	-	-
December 1 - December 31, 2019	58,823	\$ 0.0425	-	-

During the year ended December 31, 2019, the Company modified one of its founder's performance awards, repurchasing 58,823 shares of common stock previously issued thereunder.

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The following financial data should be read in conjunction with "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations", the financial statements and related notes, and other financial information included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

We have derived the statements of operations data for the years ended December 31, 2019, 2018, and 2017, and the balance sheet data as of December 31, 2019 and 2018, from our audited financial statements included elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. We have derived the statements of operations data for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, and the balance sheet data as of December 31, 2017, 2016, and 2015, from our audited consolidated financial statements not included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Historical results are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected in future periods.

	Year ended December 31,				
	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015
	(amounts in thousands, except share and per share data)				
Consolidated statements of operations data:					
Collaboration revenue	\$ 104,391	\$ 7,619	\$ 10,135	\$ 14,220	\$ 17,334
Operating expenses:					
Research and development	119,735	64,905	62,260	42,249	27,679
General and administrative	36,335	33,809	19,738	13,270	9,909
Total operating expenses	156,070	98,714	81,998	55,519	37,588
Loss from operations	(51,679)	(91,095)	(71,863)	(41,299)	(20,254)
Interest income	6,457	3,310	1,227	976	332
Other income (expense), net	1,625	(683)	(62)	182	(9,750)
Loss before income taxes	(43,597)	(88,468)	(70,698)	(40,141)	(29,672)
Income tax benefit (provision)	—	180	—	(52)	—
Net loss	\$ (43,597)	\$ (88,288)	\$ (70,698)	\$ (40,193)	\$ (29,672)
Other comprehensive loss					
Net unrealized gain (loss) on available-for-sale-securities, net	29	34	(235)	199	(251)
Comprehensive loss	\$ (43,568)	\$ (88,254)	\$ (70,933)	\$ (39,994)	\$ (29,923)
Reconciliation of net loss to net loss attributable to common stockholders:					
Net loss	\$ (43,597)	\$ (88,288)	\$ (70,698)	\$ (40,193)	\$ (29,672)
Accretion of preferred stock to redemption value	—	—	—	—	(7,373)
Accrued dividends on series A preferred stock	—	—	—	—	(1,245)
Net loss attributable to common stockholders	\$ (43,597)	\$ (88,288)	\$ (70,698)	\$ (40,193)	\$ (38,290)
Net loss per share attributable to common stockholders—basic and diluted ⁽¹⁾	\$ (1.21)	\$ (2.75)	\$ (2.64)	\$ (1.59)	\$ (9.14)
Weighted average number of common shares used in net loss per share attributable to common stockholders—basic and diluted ⁽¹⁾	35,898,266	32,065,781	26,803,711	25,302,414	4,191,210

	As of December 31,				
	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015
	<i>(in thousands)</i>				
Consolidated balance sheet data:					
Cash, cash equivalents, and marketable debt securities	\$ 281,533	\$ 155,806	\$ 169,052	\$ 174,418	\$ 224,345
Working capital ⁽²⁾	228,647	130,808	155,893	164,984	171,963
Total assets	354,760	177,029	184,477	189,566	229,457
Common stock and additional paid-in capital	412,264	315,630	295,051	225,989	219,147
Total stockholders' equity	99,512	46,446	134,051	135,922	169,074

(1) See Statements of Operations Data and Note 2 to our financial statements for further details on the calculation of net loss per share, basic and diluted, attributable to common stockholders and the weighted-average number of shares used in the computation of the per share amounts.

(2) We define working capital as current assets less current liabilities. See our financial statements for further details regarding our current assets and current liabilities.

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

You should read the following discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations together with our consolidated financial statements and related notes appearing elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. In addition to historical information, this discussion and analysis contains forward-looking statements that involve risks, uncertainties and assumptions. Our actual results may differ materially from those anticipated in these forward-looking statements as a result of certain factors. We discuss factors that we believe could cause or contribute to these differences below and elsewhere in this report, including those set forth under Item 1A. "Risk Factors" and under "Forward-Looking Statements" in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

We are a clinical-stage gene therapy company focused on developing life-changing treatments for patients suffering from severe neurological diseases. We focus on neurological diseases where we believe an adeno-associated virus, or AAV, gene therapy approach that either increases or decreases the production of a specific protein can slow or reduce the symptoms experienced by patients, and therefore have a clinically meaningful impact. We have built a gene therapy platform that we believe positions us to be a leading company at the intersection of AAV gene therapy and severe neurological disease. Our gene therapy platform enables us to engineer, optimize, manufacture and deliver our AAV-based gene therapies that have the potential to provide durable efficacy following a single administration.

Additionally, we are working to identify novel AAV capsids, which are the outer viral protein shells that enclose the genetic material of the virus payload. Our team of experts in the fields of AAV gene therapy and neuroscience first identifies and selects severe neurological diseases that are well-suited for treatment using AAV gene therapy. We then engineer and optimize AAV vectors for delivery of the virus payload to the targeted tissue or cells. Our manufacturing process employs an established system that we believe will enable production of high quality AAV vectors at commercial-scale. In addition to our capsid optimization efforts, we leverage novel delivery paradigms, established routes of administration, and advances in dosing techniques to optimize delivery of our AAV gene therapies to target tissues, regions and cell types that are critical to the disease of interest. We believe we can achieve this directly, with targeted infusions to discrete regions of the brain, the spinal cord, or systemically, in conjunction with our novel capsids.

Our business strategy focuses on discovering, developing, manufacturing and commercializing our gene therapy programs. As part of this strategy, we have developed core competencies specific to AAV gene therapy development and manufacturing and are beginning to build our commercial infrastructure. This business strategy also includes business development activities that may include in-licensing activities or partnering certain programs in specific geographies with collaborators, as we have demonstrated through our collaborations, including those with Sanofi Genzyme Corporation, which we refer to as Sanofi Genzyme, AbbVie Biotechnology Ltd and AbbVie Ireland Unlimited

Company, which we collectively refer to as AbbVie, and Neurocrine Biosciences, Inc., which we refer to as Neurocrine. Since our inception, our operations have focused on organizing and staffing our company, business planning, raising capital, establishing our intellectual property portfolio, determining which neurological diseases to pursue, advancing our product candidates including delivery and manufacturing, and conducting preclinical studies and clinical trials. We do not have any product candidates approved for sale and have not generated any revenue from product sales. We have funded our operations primarily through private placements of redeemable convertible preferred stock, public offerings of our common stock, and our strategic collaborations, including our collaboration with Sanofi Genzyme, or the Sanofi Genzyme Collaboration, which commenced in February 2015 and was terminated in June 2019, our collaboration with AbbVie focusing on tau-related disease, or the AbbVie Tau Collaboration, which commenced in February 2018, our collaboration with AbbVie focusing on pathological species of alpha-synuclein, or the AbbVie Alpha-Synuclein Collaboration which commenced in February 2019, and our collaboration with Neurocrine, or the Neurocrine Collaboration, which commenced in March 2019.

On November 7, 2017, we completed the sale of 5,175,000 shares of common stock to the public at an offering price of \$12.00 per share, including 675,000 shares of common stock issued upon the full exercise by the underwriters of their option to purchase additional shares, resulting in net proceeds of \$58.0 million after deducting underwriting discounts, commissions, and offering expenses payable by us. On March 11, 2019, in connection with our collaboration with Neurocrine, we sold 4,179,728 shares of common stock to Neurocrine at a price of \$11.9625 per share, resulting in net proceeds to us of \$50.0 million.

Since inception, we have incurred significant operating losses. Our net losses were \$43.6 million, \$88.3 million, and \$70.7 million for the years ended December 31, 2019, 2018, and 2017, respectively. As of December 31, 2019, we had an accumulated deficit of \$312.6 million. We expect to continue to incur significant expenses and operating losses for the foreseeable future. We anticipate that our expenses will increase significantly in connection with our ongoing activities, as we:

- continue investing in our gene therapy platform to optimize capsid engineering and payload development, manufacturing, dosing, and delivery techniques;
- work with our collaboration partner Neurocrine to advance VY-AADC (NB1b-1817) as a treatment for Parkinson's disease through Phase 1 development and the VY-AADC RESTORE-1 Phase 2 clinical trial;
- initiate additional preclinical studies and clinical trials for, and continue research and development of, our other programs;
- conduct joint research and development under our strategic collaborations for the research, development, and commercialization of certain of our pipeline programs;
- continue our process research and development activities, as well as establish our research-grade and commercial manufacturing capabilities;
- identify additional neurological diseases for treatment with our AAV gene therapies and develop additional programs or product candidates;
- work to identify and optimize novel AAV capsids;
- expand our manufacturing capabilities;
- develop, obtain and maintain regulatory clearances for devices to deliver our AAV gene therapies, and to provide financial and operating support to partners manufacturing and supplying these devices for use in our clinical development program;

- seek marketing and regulatory approvals for VY-AADC (NB1b-1817) or other product candidates or devices that arise from our programs that successfully complete clinical development;
- maintain, expand, protect and enforce our intellectual property portfolio;
- identify, acquire or in-license other product candidates and technologies;
- develop a sales, marketing and distribution infrastructure to commercialize any product candidates for which we may obtain marketing approval;
- expand our operational, financial and management systems and personnel, including personnel to support our clinical development, manufacturing and commercialization efforts and our operations as a public company;
- increase our product liability and clinical trial insurance coverage as we expand our clinical trials and commercialization efforts; and
- continue to operate as a public company.

Financial Operations Overview

Revenue

To date, we have not generated any revenue from product sales and do not expect to generate any revenue from product sales for the foreseeable future. For the year ended December 31, 2019, we recognized \$31.8 million of collaboration revenue from the Sanofi Genzyme Collaboration, \$11.3 million of collaboration revenue from the AbbVie Tau Collaboration, \$1.3 million of collaboration revenue from the AbbVie Alpha-Synuclein Collaboration, and \$60.0 million of collaboration revenue from the Neurocrine Collaboration. For additional information about our revenue recognition policy related to the collaborations, see the section titled “—Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates—Revenue.”

For the foreseeable future, we expect substantially all of our revenue will be generated from our collaboration agreements with AbbVie, Neurocrine, and any other strategic relationships we may enter into. If our development efforts are successful, we may also generate revenue from product sales.

Expenses

Research and Development Expenses

Research and development expenses consist primarily of costs incurred for our research activities, including our program discovery efforts, and the development of our programs and gene therapy platform, which include:

- employee-related expenses including salaries, benefits, and stock-based compensation expense;
- costs of funding research performed by third parties that conduct research and development, preclinical activities, manufacturing and production design on our behalf;
- the cost of purchasing lab supplies and non-capital equipment used in designing, developing and manufacturing preclinical study materials;
- consultant fees;

- facility costs including rent, depreciation and maintenance expenses; and
- fees for maintaining licenses under our third-party licensing agreements.

Research and development costs are expensed as incurred. Costs for certain activities, such as manufacturing, preclinical studies, and clinical trials, are generally recognized based on an evaluation of the progress to completion of specific tasks using information and data provided to us by our vendors and collaborators.

At this time, we cannot reasonably estimate or know the nature, timing and estimated costs of the efforts that will be necessary to complete the development of our product candidates. We are also unable to predict when, if ever, material net cash inflows will commence from sales of our product candidates. This is due to the numerous risks and uncertainties associated with developing such product candidates, including the uncertainty of:

- successful enrollment in and completion of clinical trials;
- establishing an appropriate safety profile;
- establishing commercial manufacturing capabilities or making arrangements with third-party manufacturers;
- receipt of marketing approvals from applicable regulatory authorities;
- commercializing the product candidates, if and when approved, whether alone or in collaboration with others;
- obtaining and maintaining patent and trade secret protection and regulatory exclusivity for our product candidates;
- continued acceptable safety profiles of the products following approval; and
- retention of key research and development personnel.

A change in the outcome of any of these variables with respect to the development of any of our product candidates would significantly change the costs, timing and viability associated with the development of that product candidate.

Research and development activities are central to our business model. We expect research and development costs to increase significantly for the foreseeable future as our development programs progress, including as we continue to support PD-1101 and PD-1102 and continue to enroll the RESTORE-1 Phase 2 clinical trial of VY-AADC (NBIb-1817) as a treatment for Parkinson's disease, and move our other product candidates into clinical trials. Additionally, we expect research and development costs associated with activities under our strategic collaborations to increase. There are numerous factors associated with the successful commercialization of any of our product candidates, including future trial design and various regulatory requirements, many of which cannot be determined with accuracy at this time based on our stage of development. Additionally, future commercial and regulatory factors beyond our control will impact our clinical development programs and plans.

General and Administrative Expenses

General and administrative expenses consist primarily of salaries and other related costs, including stock-based compensation, for personnel in executive, finance, accounting, business development, legal and human resource functions. Other significant costs include corporate facility costs not otherwise included in research and development expenses, legal fees related to patent and corporate matters and fees for accounting and consulting services.

We anticipate that our general and administrative expenses will increase in the future to support continued research and development activities, including the RESTORE-1 Phase 2 clinical trial of VY-AADC (NB1b-1817), the expanded efforts in connection with our strategic collaborations, and the ongoing research and development activities and initiation of clinical trials for our other product candidates. These increases will likely include increased costs related to the hiring of additional personnel and fees to outside consultants. We also anticipate increased expenses associated with being a public company, including costs for audit, legal, regulatory, and tax-related services, director and officer insurance premiums, business development activities, and investor relations costs.

Other Income (Expense)

Other income (expense) consists primarily of the gain (loss) on the equity securities investment in ClearPoint Neuro, Inc. (formerly known as MRI Interventions, Inc), or CLPT.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Our management's discussion and analysis of our consolidated financial condition and results of operations are based on our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. The preparation of these consolidated financial statements requires us to make judgments and estimates that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities in our consolidated financial statements. We base our estimates on historical experience, known trends and events, and various other factors that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions. On an ongoing basis, we evaluate our judgments and estimates in light of changes in circumstances, facts and experience. The effects of material revisions in estimates, if any, will be reflected in the financial statements prospectively from the date of change in estimates.

While our significant accounting policies are described in more detail in the notes to our consolidated financial statements appearing elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, we believe the following accounting policies used in the preparation of our financial statements require the most significant judgments and estimates.

Revenue Recognition – ASC 606

As of December 31, 2019, our revenue was generated from the Sanofi Genzyme Collaboration, the AbbVie Tau Collaboration, the AbbVie Alpha-Synuclein Collaboration, and the Neurocrine Collaboration. We recognize revenue in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board, or FASB, Accounting Standards Codification, or ASC, Topic 606 *Revenue from Contracts with Customers*, or ASC 606. Effective January 1, 2018, we adopted the provisions of ASC 606 using the modified retrospective transition method. Under this method, we recorded the cumulative effect of initially applying the new standard to all contracts as of the date of adoption.

We enter into collaboration agreements which are within the scope of ASC 606, under which we license rights to certain of our product candidates and perform research and development services. The terms of these arrangements typically include payment of one or more of the following: non-refundable, upfront fees; reimbursement of research and development costs; development, regulatory and commercial milestone payments; and royalties on net sales of licensed products.

Under ASC 606, an entity recognizes revenue when its customer obtains control of promised goods or services, in an amount that reflects the consideration which the entity expects to receive in exchange for those goods or services. To determine the appropriate amount of revenue to be recognized for arrangements determined to be within the scope of ASC 606, we perform the following five steps: (i) identification of the promised goods or services in the contract; (ii) determination of whether the promised goods or services are performance obligations including whether they are distinct in the context of the contract; (iii) measurement of the transaction price, including the constraint on variable consideration; (iv) allocation of the transaction price to the performance obligations; and (v) recognition of revenue when (or as) we satisfy each performance obligation. We only apply the five-step model to contracts when it is probable that we will collect consideration we are entitled to in exchange for the goods or services we transfer to the customer.

The promised goods or services in our arrangement typically consist of license rights to our intellectual property or research and development services. We provide options to additional items in the contracts, which are accounted for as separate contracts when the customer elects to exercise such options, unless the option provides a material right to the customer. We evaluate the customer options for material rights, or options to acquire additional goods or services for free or at a discount. If the customer options are determined to represent a material right, the material right is recognized as a separate performance obligation at the outset of the arrangement. Performance obligations are promised goods or services in a contract to transfer a distinct good or service to the customer and are considered distinct when (i) the customer can benefit from the good or service on its own or together with other readily available resources and (ii) the promised good or service is separately identifiable from other promises in the contract. In assessing whether promised goods or services are distinct, we consider factors such as the stage of development of the underlying intellectual property, the capabilities of the customer to develop the intellectual property on their own or whether the required expertise is readily available and whether the goods or services are integral or dependent to other goods or services in the contract.

We estimate the transaction price based on the amount expected to be received for transferring the promised goods or services in the contract. The consideration may include fixed consideration or variable consideration. At the inception of each arrangement that includes variable consideration, we evaluate the amount of potential payment and the likelihood that the payments will be received. We utilize either the most likely amount method or expected amount method to estimate the amount expected to be received based on which method best predicts the amount expected to be received. The amount of variable consideration which is included in the transaction price may be constrained, and is included in the transaction price only to the extent that it is probable that a significant reversal in the amount of the cumulative revenue recognized will not occur in a future period.

Our contracts often include development and regulatory milestone payments which are assessed under the most likely amount method and constrained if it is probable that a significant revenue reversal would occur. Milestone payments that are not within our control or the licensee's control, such as regulatory approvals, are not considered probable of being achieved until those approvals are received. At the end of each reporting period, we re-evaluate the probability of achievement of such development milestones and any related constraint, and if necessary, adjust our estimate of the overall transaction price. Any such adjustments are recorded on a cumulative catch-up basis, which would affect collaboration revenues in the period of adjustment. To date, we have not recognized any consideration related to the achievement of development, regulatory, or commercial milestone revenue resulting from any of our collaboration arrangements.

For arrangements that include sales-based royalties, including milestone payments based on the level of sales, and the license is deemed to be the predominant item to which the royalties relate, we recognize revenue at the later of (i) when the related sales occur, or (ii) when the performance obligation to which some or all of the royalty has been allocated has been satisfied (or partially satisfied). To date, we have not recognized any consideration related to sales-based royalty revenue resulting from any of our collaboration arrangements.

We allocate the transaction price based on the estimated stand-alone selling price of each of the performance obligations. We must develop assumptions that require judgment to determine the stand-alone selling price for each performance obligation identified in the contract. We utilize key assumptions to determine the stand-alone selling price for service obligations, which may include other comparable transactions, pricing considered in negotiating the transaction and the estimated costs. Additionally, in determining the standalone selling price for material rights, we utilize comparable transactions, industry standards for product development and clinical trial success probabilities and estimates of option exercise likelihood. Variable consideration is allocated specifically to one or more performance obligations in a contract when the terms of the variable consideration relate to the satisfaction of the performance obligation and the resulting amounts allocated are consistent with the amounts we would expect to receive for the satisfaction of each performance obligation.

The consideration allocated to each performance obligation is recognized as revenue when control is transferred for the related goods or services. For performance obligations which consist of licenses and other promises, we utilize judgment to assess the nature of the combined performance obligation to determine whether the combined performance obligation is satisfied over time or at a point in time and, if over time, the appropriate method of measuring progress. We

evaluate the measure of progress each reporting period and, if necessary, adjust the measure of performance and related revenue recognition.

Upfront payments and fees are recorded as deferred revenue upon receipt or when due until we perform our obligations under these arrangements. Amounts are recorded as accounts receivable when our rights to consideration are unconditional.

Accrued Research and Development Expenses

As part of the process of preparing our financial statements, we are required to estimate our accrued expenses as of each balance sheet date. This process involves reviewing open contracts and purchase orders, communicating with our personnel to identify services that have been performed on our behalf and estimating the level of service performed and the associated cost incurred for the service when we have not yet been invoiced or otherwise notified of the actual cost. The majority of our service providers invoice us monthly in arrears for services performed or when contractual milestones are met. We make estimates of our accrued expenses as of each balance sheet date based on facts and circumstances known to us at that time. We periodically confirm the accuracy of our estimates with the service providers and make adjustments if necessary. The significant estimates in our accrued research and development expenses include the costs incurred for services performed by our vendors in connection with research and development activities for which we have not yet been invoiced.

We record our expenses related to research and development activities on our estimates of the services received and efforts expended pursuant to quotes and contracts with vendors that conduct research and development on our behalf. The financial terms of these agreements are subject to negotiation, vary from contract to contract and may result in uneven payment flows. There may be instances in which payments made to our vendors will exceed the level of services provided and result in a prepayment of the research and development expense. In accruing service fees, we estimate the time period over which services will be performed and the level of effort to be expended in each period. If the actual timing of the performance of services or the level of effort varies from our estimate, we adjust the accrual or prepaid accordingly. Non-refundable advance payments for goods and services that will be used in future research and development activities are expensed when the activity has been performed or when the goods have been received rather than when the payment is made.

Although we do not expect our estimates to be materially different from amounts actually incurred, if our estimates of the status and timing of services performed differ from the actual status and timing of services performed, it could result in us reporting amounts that are too high or too low in any particular period. To date, there have been no material differences between our estimates of such expenses and the amounts actually incurred.

Stock-based Compensation

We account for our stock-based compensation awards in accordance with ASC Topic 718 *Compensation—Stock Compensation*, or ASC 718. ASC 718 requires all stock-based payments to employees, nonemployees, and directors, including grants of restricted stock units and stock options, to be recognized as expense in the statements of operations based on their grant date fair values. We estimate the fair value of options granted using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. We use the fair value of our common stock, on the grant date to determine the fair value of restricted stock awards and units.

The Black-Scholes option pricing model requires inputs based on certain subjective assumptions, including (a) the expected stock price volatility, (b) the calculation of expected term of the award, (c) the risk-free interest rate and (d) expected dividends. Due to a lack of company-specific historical and implied volatility data, we base the estimate of expected volatility on the historical volatility of a group of similar companies that are publicly traded, blended with the most recent period of historic volatility of our common stock. The historical volatility is calculated based on a period of time commensurate with the expected term assumption. The computation of expected volatility is based on the historical volatility of a representative group of companies with similar characteristics to us, including stage of product

development and life science industry focus. We use the simplified method as prescribed by the SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 107, *Share-Based Payment*, to calculate the expected term for stock options granted to employees as we do not have sufficient historical exercise data to provide a reasonable basis upon which to estimate the expected term. For stock options granted to non-employees, we utilize the contractual term of the arrangement as the basis for the expected term assumption. The risk-free interest rate is based on a treasury instrument whose term is consistent with the expected term of the stock options. The expected dividend yield is assumed to be zero as we have never paid dividends and have no current plans to pay any dividends on our common stock.

We expense the fair value of our time-based stock-based compensation awards on a straight-line basis over the associated service period, which is generally the period in which the related services are received. We record the expense for stock-based compensation awards subject to performance conditions over the remaining service period when management determines that achievement of the performance condition is probable. Management evaluates if the achievement of a performance condition is probable based on the expected satisfaction of the performance conditions as of the reporting date.

The fair value of each option issued to employees and directors was estimated at the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following weighted-average assumptions:

	Year ended December 31,		
	2019	2018	2017
Risk-free interest rate	2.2 %	2.8 %	2.0 %
Expected dividend yield	— %	— %	— %
Expected term (in years)	6.0	6.0	6.0
Expected volatility	74.7 %	74.4 %	73.7 %

Management concluded that the achievement of the performance milestone for one of the three performance-based awards had been met during 2016. Accordingly, stock-based compensation expense in the amount of \$0.3 million and \$1.4 million was recorded in the years ended December 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively, related to this award. In December 2019, we modified one of the remaining performance awards, repurchasing 58,823 shares of common stock previously issued to one of our founders. Additionally, we modified the award to vest solely based on time rather than on performance. We revalued the award at the modification date and are recognizing expense on a straight-line basis over the three-year vesting period. Stock-based compensation related to this award was de minimis in the year ended December 31, 2019. The performance-based milestone of the remaining performance-based award has not been met as of December 31, 2019.

Stock-based compensation totaled approximately \$15.6 million, \$15.7 million, and \$9.2 million in the years ended December 31, 2019, 2018, and 2017 respectively. As of December 31, 2019, we had \$0.1 million, \$4.9 million, and \$27.4 million of unrecognized compensation expense related to restricted stock awards, restricted stock units, and stock option awards, respectively, which are expected to be recognized over weighted-average remaining vesting periods of approximately 3.0 and 2.6 years, respectively. We expect the impact of our stock-based compensation expense for restricted stock awards, restricted stock units, and stock options granted to employees, directors and other service providers to grow in future periods due to the potential increases in the value of our common stock and headcount.

Results of Operations

Comparison of the years ended December 31, 2019 and 2018:

The following table summarizes our results of operations for the years ended December 31, 2019 and 2018, respectively, together with the changes in those items in dollars:

	Year ended December 31,		Change
	2019	2018	
	<i>(in thousands)</i>		
Collaboration revenue	\$ 104,391	\$ 7,619	\$ 96,772
Operating expenses:			
Research and development	119,735	64,905	54,830
General and administrative	36,335	33,809	2,526
Total operating expenses	156,070	98,714	57,356
Other income:			
Interest income	6,457	3,310	3,147
Other income (expense)	1,625	(683)	2,308
Total other income	8,082	2,627	5,455
Loss before income taxes	(43,597)	(88,468)	44,871
Income tax benefit	—	180	(180)
Net loss	\$ (43,597)	\$ (88,288)	\$ 44,691

Collaboration Revenue

Collaboration revenue was \$104.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2019, and \$7.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2018. The \$96.8 million increase in collaboration revenue in 2019 was primarily a result of the termination of the Sanofi Genzyme Collaboration in June 2019, as well as our entry into the Neurocrine Collaboration and AbbVie Alpha-Synuclein Collaboration in the beginning of 2019. As a result of the termination, we paid \$10.0 million to Sanofi Genzyme and expect to pay an additional \$10.0 million within fifteen days of the filing of an investigational new drug, or IND, application for a product candidate incorporating certain intellectual property rights developed under or substantially related to VY-HTT01 for Huntington's disease, or a Post-Termination HD Product. We recognized \$31.8 million of revenue related to the Sanofi Genzyme Collaboration in 2019. This amount includes \$2.9 million related to research services provided prior to the termination date, \$0.2 million of in-kind related services, and \$48.7 million of deferred revenue remaining under the agreement at the termination date. These amounts were, or will be, offset by the \$10.0 million paid in June 2019 and the \$10.0 million expected to be paid to Sanofi Genzyme within fifteen days of the filing of an IND application for a Post-Termination HD Product. Additionally, we recognized \$11.3 million, \$1.3 million, and \$60.0 million of revenue related to the AbbVie Tau Collaboration, AbbVie Alpha-Synuclein Collaboration, and the Neurocrine Collaboration, respectively, for collaboration-related services provided and expenses reimbursed.

Research and Development Expense

Research and development expense increased by \$54.8 million from \$64.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2018 to \$119.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2019. The following table summarizes our research and development expenses for the years ended December 31, 2019 and 2018:

	Year ended December 31,		Change
	2019	2018	
	<i>(in thousands)</i>		
External research and development expenses	\$ 64,212	\$ 28,890	\$ 35,322
Employee and contractor related expenses	38,211	26,075	12,136
Facility, technology, and other expenses	16,693	9,305	7,388
License fees	619	635	(16)
Total research and development expenses	\$ 119,735	\$ 64,905	\$ 54,830

The change in research and development expense for the year ended December 31, 2019 was primarily attributable to the following:

- approximately \$35.3 million for increased external research and development costs primarily related to clinical and manufacturing activities on for the Parkinson's disease program, which we refer to as the VY-AADC Program, and preclinical and manufacturing activities for our VY-HTT01 for Huntington's disease, which we refer to as our Huntington's Program.
- approximately \$12.1 million for increased research and development employee-related and consultant compensation costs (including an increase of \$2.7 million in stock-based compensation) as we continue to increase research and development headcount to support our program pipeline; and
- approximately \$7.4 million for increased facility and other costs including rent, depreciation, maintenance and other expenses due to the additional space leased at 64 Sidney Street and 75 Sidney Street;

General and Administrative Expense

General and administrative expense increased by \$2.5 million from \$33.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2018 to \$36.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2019. The change in general and administrative expense was primarily attributable to the following:

- approximately \$6.4 million for increased employee compensation cost due to increases in headcount and stock-based compensation. The increase is offset by the recognition of \$5.4 million of stock-based compensation related to the retirement agreement with our former Chief Executive Officer, Dr. Steven Paul for the year ended December 31, 2018;
- approximately \$1.1 million for increased legal and intellectual property expenses; and
- approximately \$0.4 million for increased facility and other costs including rent, depreciation, maintenance and other expenses.

Other Income, Net

Interest and other income of approximately \$8.1 million and \$2.6 million was recognized in the years ended December 31, 2019 and 2018, respectively, related to interest income on marketable securities balances, which increased by \$235.0 million during 2019 as a result of our AbbVie Alpha-Synuclein and Neurocrine Collaborations, in addition to gains and losses on our common stock investment in and warrants to purchase shares of common stock of ClearPoint Neuro, Inc. (formerly known as MRI Interventions, Inc.), or CLPT.

Income Tax

There was no income tax payable for the year ended December 31, 2019.

Comparison of year ended December 31, 2018 and 2017:

The following table summarizes our results of operations for the year ended December 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively, together with the changes in those items in dollars:

	Year ended December 31,		Change
	2018	2017	
	<i>(in thousands)</i>		
Collaboration revenue	\$ 7,619	\$ 10,135	\$ (2,516)
Operating expenses:			
Research and development	64,905	62,260	2,645
General and administrative	33,809	19,738	14,071
Total operating expenses	98,714	81,998	16,716
Other income:			
Interest income	3,310	1,227	2,083
Other expense	(683)	(62)	(621)
Total other income	2,627	1,165	1,462
Loss before income taxes	(88,468)	(70,698)	(17,770)
Income tax benefit	180	—	180
Net loss	<u>\$ (88,288)</u>	<u>\$ (70,698)</u>	<u>\$ (17,590)</u>

Collaboration Revenue

Collaboration revenue was \$7.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2018, and \$10.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2017. Collaboration revenue included amounts related to the Sanofi Genzyme Collaboration in addition to research services related to the AbbVie Tau Collaboration, which commenced in February 2018. The decrease in revenue is primarily related the recognition of in connection with Sanofi-Genzyme's decision not to exercise its option to acquire the ex-U.S. rights to the VY-AADC Program under the Sanofi Genzyme Collaboration, or the PD Option, in the year ended December 31, 2017, the adoption of ASC 606 as of January 1, 2018, which resulted in the use of a proportional performance method in 2018 as compared to the use of straight-line method in 2017, as well as changes in estimates of costs to reach proof of principle on our Huntington's Program and VY-FXN01 for Friedreich's ataxia, which we refer to as the FA Program. These reductions were offset by revenue recognized on research services performed under the AbbVie Tau Collaboration.

We recognized \$5.5 million of revenue in the year ended December 31, 2017 related to the portion of the upfront consideration under the Sanofi Genzyme Collaboration which had been allocated to Sanofi Genzyme's rights related to the PD Option. In the year ended December 31, 2017, Sanofi Genzyme decided not to exercise the PD Option, and we recognized all amounts allocated to their option at that time. Additionally, effective January 1, 2018, we adopted the provisions of ASC 606 for revenue recognition.

Research and Development Expense

Research and development expense increased by \$2.6 million from \$62.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2017 to \$64.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2018. The following table summarizes our research and development expenses, for the year ended December 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively:

	Year ended		Change
	December 31,		
	2018	2017	
	<i>(in thousands)</i>		
External research and development expenses	\$ 28,890	\$ 33,816	\$ (4,926)
Employee and contractor related expenses	26,075	20,919	5,156
Facility, technology, and other expenses	9,305	6,705	2,600
License fees	635	820	(185)
Total research and development expenses	<u>\$ 64,905</u>	<u>\$ 62,260</u>	<u>\$ 2,645</u>

The change in research and development expense was primarily attributable to research and development, and included the following:

- approximately \$5.2 million for increased research and development employee compensation costs as we continued to increase research and development headcount to support our ongoing development of our clinical and preclinical programs and platform;
- approximately \$2.6 million for increased facility and other costs including rent, depreciation, maintenance and other expenses due to the additional space leased;
- offset by approximately \$4.8 million for decreased costs of funding research performed by third parties that conduct research and development. This reduction included a decrease in preclinical activities, offset by an increase in clinical and manufacturing activities; further decreased by a reduction of approximately \$0.1 million attributable to in-kind research and development services incurred by Sanofi Genzyme and provided to us under the Sanofi Genzyme Collaboration; and approximately \$0.2 million related to decreased licensing costs.

General and Administrative Expense

General and administrative expense increased by \$14.1 million from \$19.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2017 to \$33.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2018. The change in general and administrative expense was primarily attributable to the following:

- approximately \$9.6 million for increased employee compensation costs as we increased our administrative headcount to support our growing business. The increase included the recognition of \$5.4 million of stock-based compensation related to the retirement agreement with our former Chief Executive Officer, Dr. Steven Paul;
- approximately \$2.7 million for increased legal costs for general, business development, and intellectual property support; and
- approximately \$1.7 million for increased facility and other costs including rent, depreciation, maintenance and other expenses.

Other Income, Net

Other income of approximately \$2.6 million and \$1.2 million was recognized in the years ended December 31, 2018 and 2017 related to interest income on marketable securities balances offset by losses on our warrants to purchase shares of common stock and our common stock investment in CLPT. The increase in other income is largely a result of higher cash balances year over year.

Income Tax

We recorded an income tax provision of \$0.2 million related to our alternative minimum tax, or AMT, liability resulting in an income tax payable of \$0.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2017. The payable was due to the recognition of deferred revenue related to the Sanofi Genzyme Collaboration for income tax purposes. There was no income tax payable for the year ended December 31, 2018.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Sources of Liquidity

We have funded our operations primarily through private placements of redeemable convertible preferred stock, public offerings of our common stock, the Sanofi Genzyme Collaboration which commenced in February 2015 and was terminated in June 2019, the AbbVie Tau Collaboration which commenced in February 2018, the AbbVie Alpha-Synuclein Collaboration which commenced in February 2019, and our collaboration with Neurocrine Biosciences, or the Neurocrine Collaboration, which commenced in March 2019.

On November 16, 2015, we closed our initial public offering, or IPO, whereby we sold 5,750,000 shares of common stock, at a public offering price of \$14.00 per share, including 750,000 shares of common stock issued upon the full exercise by the underwriters of their option to purchase additional shares, resulting in net proceeds to us of \$72.9 million after deducting underwriting discounts and commissions and offering expenses payable by us. On November 7, 2017, we sold 5,175,000 shares of common stock to the public at an offering price of \$12.00 per share, including 675,000 shares of common stock issued upon the full exercise by the underwriters of their option to purchase additional shares, resulting in net proceeds to us of \$58.0 million after deducting underwriting discounts, commissions, and offering expenses payable by us.

As of December 31, 2019, we had cash, cash equivalents, and marketable debt securities of \$281.5 million.

Cash Flows

The following table provides information regarding our cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2019, 2018, and 2017:

	Year ended December 31,		
	2019	2018	2017
	(in thousands)		
Net cash (used in) provided by:			
Operating activities	\$ 48,666	\$ (15,887)	\$ (61,350)
Investing activities	(90,477)	26,467	(3,681)
Financing activities	80,994	4,749	59,920
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	\$ 39,183	\$ 15,329	\$ (5,111)

Cash Flows from Operating Activities

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$48.7 million during the year ended December 31, 2019 compared to cash used in operating activities of \$15.9 million during the year ended December 31, 2018. The increase in

cash provided by operating activities was primarily due to an increase in cash received of \$157.0 million from the upfront payments related to the AbbVie Alpha-Synuclein Collaboration and the Neurocrine Collaboration, offset by an increase of \$57.4 million in operating expenses, net of stock-based compensation and depreciation, as we increased our research and development activities and infrastructure to support our program initiatives.

Net cash used in operating activities was \$15.9 million during the year ended December 31, 2018 compared to \$61.4 million during the year ended December 31, 2017. The decrease in cash used for operating activities was primarily due to an increase in deferred revenue of \$69.0 million from the upfront payment related to the AbbVie Tau Collaboration in 2018, offset by a \$16.7 million increase in operating expenses, net of stock-based compensation and depreciation, due to increased research and development activities, as well as higher general and administrative expenses. The decrease in cash used in operating activities was also offset by an increase in prepaid expenses and other current assets as well as a decrease in accrued expenses.

Cash Flows from Investing Activities

Net cash used in investing activities was \$90.5 million during the year ended December 31, 2019. The cash used in investing activities for the year ended December 31, 2019 was primarily due to purchases of marketable securities of \$494.2 million and purchases of property and equipment of \$5.1 million, offset by proceeds from maturities of marketable securities of \$411.3 million.

Net cash provided by investing activities was \$26.5 million during the year ended December 31, 2018. The cash provided by investing activities for the year ended December 31, 2018 was primarily due to proceeds from maturities of marketable securities of \$364.0 million offset by purchase of marketable securities of \$333.2 million and purchases of property and equipment of \$4.3 million.

Net cash used in investing activities was \$3.7 million during the year ended December 31, 2017. The cash used in investing activities for the year ended December 31, 2017 was due to purchases of property and equipment of \$4.0 million, offset by net proceeds from maturities and purchases of marketable securities of \$0.3 million.

Cash Flows from Financing Activities

Net cash provided by financing activities was \$81.0 million during the year ended December 31, 2019 primarily related to the issuance of 4,179,728 shares of our common stock to Neurocrine pursuant to a stock purchase agreement in connection with the Neurocrine Collaboration as well as proceeds from exercises of stock options.

Net cash provided by financing activities was \$4.7 million during the year ended December 31, 2018 related to proceeds from exercises of stock options, and purchases by our employees of our common stock under our employee stock purchase plan.

Net cash provided by financing activities was \$59.9 million during the year ended December 31, 2017 and was driven by the \$58.0 million of net proceeds from our sale of common stock in November 2017 and proceeds from exercises of stock options.

Funding Requirements

We expect our expenses to increase in connection with our ongoing activities, particularly as we continue the research and development of, continue or initiate clinical trials of, and seek marketing approval for, our product candidates. In addition, if we obtain marketing approval for any of our product candidates, we expect to incur significant expenses related to program sales, marketing, manufacturing and distribution to the extent that such sales, marketing and distribution are not the responsibility of potential collaborators. Furthermore, we expect to incur additional costs associated with operating as a public company. Accordingly, we will need to obtain substantial additional funding in connection with our continuing operations. If we are unable to raise capital or enter into business development transactions when needed or on acceptable terms, we could be forced to delay, reduce or eliminate our research and development programs or any future commercialization efforts.

Based upon our current operating plan, we expect that our existing cash, cash equivalents, and marketable debt securities will enable us to fund our operating expenses and capital expenditure requirements into mid-2022. Our future capital requirements will depend on many factors, including:

- the scope, progress, results, and costs of product discovery, preclinical studies and clinical trials for our product candidates and any required companion devices;
- the scope, progress, results, costs, prioritization, and number of our research and development programs;
- the progress and status of our strategic collaborations, including any research and development costs for which we are responsible, the potential exercise by our collaboration partners of options to develop or license certain products and product candidates, and our potential receipt of future milestone payments and royalties from our collaboration partners;
- the extent to which we are obligated to reimburse, or entitled to reimbursement of, preclinical development and clinical trial costs, or the achievement of milestones or occurrence of other developments that trigger payments, under any other collaboration agreement to which we might become a party;
- the costs, timing and outcome of regulatory review of our product candidates;
- our ability to establish and maintain collaboration, distribution, or other marketing arrangements for our product candidates on favorable terms, if at all;
- the costs and timing of preparing, filing and prosecuting patent applications, maintaining and enforcing our intellectual property rights and defending intellectual property-related claims;
- the extent to which we acquire or in-license other product candidates and technologies, including any intellectual property associated with such candidates or technologies, or acquire or invest in other businesses, such as our investment in CLPT;
- the costs related to evaluating possible alternative devices that may be useful in the delivery of our product candidates, including our potential delivery devices, such as the Variable Trajectory Array Guide, or V-TAG™;
- the costs of advancing our manufacturing capabilities and of securing manufacturing arrangements for pre-commercial and commercial production;
- the level of product sales by us or our collaborators from any product candidates for which we obtain marketing approval in the future;
- the costs of operating as a public company, meeting applicable financial, regulatory, and quality control standards, fulfilling healthcare compliance requirements, and maintaining adequate product, clinical trial, and directors' and officers' liability insurance coverage; and
- the costs of establishing or contracting for sales, manufacturing, marketing, distribution, and other commercialization capabilities if we obtain regulatory approvals to market our product candidates.

Identifying potential product candidates and conducting preclinical studies and clinical trials is a time-consuming, expensive and uncertain process that takes years to complete. We may never generate the necessary data or results required to obtain marketing approval and achieve product sales. In addition, our product candidates, if approved, may not achieve commercial success. Our product revenues, if any, and any commercial milestone payments

or royalty payments under our collaboration agreements, will be derived from sales of products that may not be commercially available for many years, if at all. Accordingly, we will need to continue to rely on additional financing and business development transactions to achieve our business objectives. Adequate additional financing may not be available to us on acceptable terms, or at all.

Until such time, if ever, as we can generate product revenues sufficient to achieve profitability, we expect to finance our cash needs through a combination of equity offerings, debt financings, collaborations, strategic alliances and licensing arrangements. We do not have any committed external source of funds other than the amounts we are entitled to receive from our collaboration partners for potential option exercises, the achievement of specified regulatory, and commercial milestones, and royalty payments under our collaboration agreements. To the extent that we raise additional capital through the sale of equity or equity-linked securities, including convertible debt, our stockholders' ownership interests will be diluted, and the terms of these securities may include liquidation or other preferences that adversely affect our existing stockholders' rights as holders of our common stock. Debt financing and preferred equity financing, if available, may involve agreements that include covenants limiting or restricting our ability to take specific actions, such as incurring additional debt, obtaining additional capital, acquiring or divesting businesses, making capital expenditures or declaring dividends.

If we raise additional funds through collaborations, strategic alliances or licensing arrangements with third parties, we may have to relinquish valuable rights to our technologies, future revenue streams, research programs or product candidates or to grant licenses on terms that may not be favorable to us. If we are unable to raise additional funds through equity or debt financings when needed, we may be required to delay, limit, reduce or terminate our product development or future commercialization efforts or grant rights to develop and market product candidates that we would otherwise prefer to develop and market ourselves.

Contractual Obligations

The following table summarizes our significant contractual obligations as of payment due date by period at December 31, 2019:

	<u>Total</u>	<u>Less Than 1 Year</u>	<u>1 to 3 Years</u>	<u>3 to 5 Years</u>	<u>More than 5 Years</u>
			<i>(in thousands)</i>		
Operating lease commitments ⁽¹⁾	\$ 45,830	\$ 5,960	\$ 12,461	\$ 13,219	\$ 14,190

(1) We lease office space at 75 Sidney Street and 64 Sidney Street in Cambridge, Massachusetts under non-cancelable operating leases that expire in November 2026.

In February 2018, we executed a second amendment for additional space located at 75 Sidney Street in Cambridge, Massachusetts, concurrent to the existing leases with terms going through December 2024. In June 2018, we executed a third amendment for additional space located at 75 Sidney Street, including an extension to the term through November 2026. Additionally, we executed an amendment to the lease at 64 Sidney Street to extend the term through November 2026.

We enter into agreements in the normal course of business with clinical research organizations, contract manufacturing organizations, and institutions to license intellectual property. We have not included these future payments in the table of contractual obligations above since the contracts are cancelable at any time by us, generally upon 30 to 90 days prior written notice.

Our agreements to license intellectual property include potential milestone payments that are dependent upon the development of products using the intellectual property licensed under the agreements and contingent upon the achievement of clinical trial or regulatory approval milestones. We may also be required to pay annual maintenance fees or minimum amounts payable ranging from low-four digits to low five-digits depending upon the terms of the applicable agreement.

As described elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K including in “Part I, Item 1—Business,” we are also currently party to collaboration agreements with counterparties including AbbVie and Neurocrine.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We did not have, during the periods presented, and we do not currently have, any off-balance sheet arrangements, as defined under applicable rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission, or the SEC.

JOBS Act

In April 2012, the JOBS Act, was enacted. Section 107 of the JOBS Act provides that an emerging growth company, or EGC, can take advantage of the extended transition period provided in Section 7(a)(2)(B) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Act, for complying with new or revised accounting standards. Thus, an EGC can delay the adoption of certain accounting standards until those standards would otherwise apply to private companies.

Subject to certain conditions, as an EGC, we intend to rely on certain of these exemptions, including without limitation, (i) providing an auditor’s attestation report on our system of internal controls over financial reporting pursuant to Section 404(b) of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act and (ii) complying with any requirement that may be adopted by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board, or PCAOB, regarding mandatory audit firm rotation or a supplement to the auditor’s report providing additional information about the audit and the financial statements, known as the auditor discussion and analysis. We will remain an EGC until the earlier of (i) the last day of the fiscal year in which we have total annual gross revenues of \$1.07 billion or more; (ii) December 31, 2020; (iii) the date on which we have issued more than \$1.0 billion in nonconvertible debt during the previous three years; or (iv) the date on which we are deemed to be a large accelerated filer under the rules of the SEC.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

We are exposed to market risk related to changes in interest rates. We have policies requiring us to invest in high-quality issuers, limit our exposure to any individual issuer, and ensure adequate liquidity. Our primary exposure to market risk is interest rate sensitivity, which is affected by changes in the general level of U.S. interest rates, particularly because our investments, including cash equivalents, are in the form of money market fund and marketable securities and are invested in U.S. Treasury and U.S. government agency obligations. Due to the short-term duration of our investment portfolio and the low risk profile of our investments, an immediate 100 basis point change in interest rates would not have had a material effect on the fair market value of our portfolio.

We are not currently exposed to market risk related to changes in foreign currency exchange rates; however, we may contract with vendors that are located in Asia and Europe in the future and may be subject to fluctuations in foreign currency rates at that time.

Inflation generally affects us by increasing our cost of labor and clinical trial costs. We do not believe that inflation had a material effect on our business, financial condition, or results of operations during the year ended December 31, 2019.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

The financial statements required to be filed pursuant to this Item 8 are appended to this report. An index of those financial statements is found in Item 15.

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Management’s Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We maintain “disclosure controls and procedures,” as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) or 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act to mean controls and other procedures of a company that are designed to ensure that information required

to be disclosed by a company in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms. Our disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and other procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports we file or submit under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Our management, with the participation of our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of December 31, 2019. Our management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving their objectives, and management necessarily applies its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures. Our principal executive officer and principal financial officer have concluded based upon the evaluation described above that, as of December 31, 2019, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective at the reasonable assurance level.

We continue to review and document our disclosure controls and procedures and may from time to time make changes aimed at enhancing their effectiveness and to ensure that our systems evolve with our business.

Management's Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. Internal control over financial reporting is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act as a process designed by, or under the supervision of, a company's principal executive officer and principal financial officer, or persons performing similar functions, and effected by a company's board of directors, management, and other personnel, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and includes those policies and procedures that:

- pertain to the maintenance of records that in reasonable detail accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of a company's assets;
- provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that a company's receipts and expenditures are being made only in accordance with authorizations of our management and directors; and
- provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of our assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Under the supervision of and with the participation of our principal executive officer and principal financial and accounting officer, our management assessed the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2019 based on the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in Internal Control—Integrated Framework (2013 framework). Based on this assessment, management concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2019.

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Incorporated by reference from the information in our Proxy Statement for our 2020 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, which we expect to file with the SEC within 120 days of the end of the fiscal year to which this Annual Report on Form 10-K relates.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Incorporated by reference from the information in our Proxy Statement for our 2020 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, which we expect to file with the SEC within 120 days of the end of the fiscal year to which this Annual Report on Form 10-K relates.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

Incorporated by reference from the information in our Proxy Statement for our 2020 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, which we expect to file with the SEC within 120 days of the end of the fiscal year to which this Annual Report on Form 10-K relates.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

Incorporated by reference from the information in our Proxy Statement for our 2020 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, which we expect to file with the SEC within 120 days of the end of the fiscal year to which this Annual Report on Form 10-K relates.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

Incorporated by reference from the information in our Proxy Statement for our 2020 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, which we expect to file with the SEC within 120 days of the end of the fiscal year to which this Annual Report on Form 10-K relates.

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

(a)(1) Financial Statements.

	Pages
Report of independent registered public accounting firm	F-1
Consolidated Balance Sheets	F-2
Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Loss	F-3
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity	F-4
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	F-5
Notes to consolidated financial statements	F-6

(a)(2) Financial Statement Schedules.

All schedules have been omitted because they are not required or because the required information is given in the Consolidated Financial Statements or Notes thereto set forth under Item 8 above.

(a)(3) Exhibits.

See the Exhibit Index immediately preceding the signature page of this Annual Report on Form 10-K. The exhibits listed in the Exhibit Index below are filed or incorporated by reference as part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

ITEM 16. FORM 10-K SUMMARY

This Annual Report on Form 10-K does not include a summary.

[This page intentionally left blank]

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Stockholders and the Board of Directors of Voyager Therapeutics, Inc.

Opinion on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Voyager Therapeutics, Inc. (the “Company”) as of December 31, 2019 and 2018, the related consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive loss, consolidated statements of stockholders’ equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2019, and the related notes (collectively referred to as the “consolidated financial statements”). In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Company at December 31, 2019 and 2018, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2019, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

Adoption of New Accounting Standards

ASU No. 2014-09

As discussed in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company changed its method of accounting for revenue in 2018 due to the adoption of Accounting Standard Update (ASU) No. 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606), and the related amendments.

ASU No. 2016-02

As discussed in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company changed its method of accounting for leases in 2019 due to the adoption of ASU No. 2016-02, Leases, and the related amendments.

Basis for Opinion

These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company’s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company’s financial statements based on our audits. We are a public accounting firm registered with the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) (PCAOB) and are required to be independent with respect to the Company in accordance with the U.S. federal securities laws and the applicable rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission and the PCAOB.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the PCAOB. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement, whether due to error or fraud. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. As part of our audits we are required to obtain an understanding of internal control over financial reporting but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company’s internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion.

Our audits included performing procedures to assess the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to error or fraud, and performing procedures that respond to those risks. Such procedures included examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. Our audits also included evaluating the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

We have served as the Company’s auditor since 2015.
Boston, Massachusetts
March 3, 2020

Voyager Therapeutics, Inc.
Consolidated Balance Sheets
(amounts in thousands, except share and per share data)

	December 31,	
	2019	2018
Assets		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 86,042	\$ 46,859
Marketable securities, current	195,491	108,947
Related party collaboration receivable	18,496	—
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	4,630	6,675
Total current assets	304,659	162,481
Property and equipment, net	17,986	12,771
Deposits and other non-current assets	1,723	1,149
Marketable securities, non-current	1,920	628
Operating lease, right-of-use asset	28,472	—
Total assets	<u>\$ 354,760</u>	<u>\$ 177,029</u>
Liabilities and stockholders' equity		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 4,070	\$ 1,038
Accrued expenses	21,516	9,788
Other current liabilities	3,193	—
Deferred revenue, current	47,233	20,847
Total current liabilities	76,012	31,673
Deferred revenue, non-current	147,260	92,199
Other non-current liabilities	31,976	6,711
Total liabilities	255,248	130,583
Commitments and contingencies (see note 9)		
Stockholders' equity:		
Preferred stock, \$0.001 par value: 5,000,000 shares authorized; no shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2019 and 2018	—	—
Common stock, \$0.001 par value: 120,000,000 shares authorized; 36,865,116 and 32,364,895 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2019 and 2018, respectively	37	32
Additional paid-in capital	412,227	315,598
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(104)	(133)
Accumulated deficit	(312,648)	(269,051)
Total stockholders' equity	99,512	46,446
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	<u>\$ 354,760</u>	<u>\$ 177,029</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Voyager Therapeutics, Inc.
Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Loss
(amounts in thousands, except share and per share data)

	Year ended		
	December 31,		
	2019	2018	2017
Collaboration revenue	\$ 104,391	\$ 7,619	\$ 10,135
Operating expenses:			
Research and development	119,735	64,905	62,260
General and administrative	36,335	33,809	19,738
Total operating expenses	<u>156,070</u>	<u>98,714</u>	<u>81,998</u>
Operating loss	(51,679)	(91,095)	(71,863)
Other income (expense), net:			
Interest income	6,457	3,310	1,227
Other income (expense), net	1,625	(683)	(62)
Total other income	<u>8,082</u>	<u>2,627</u>	<u>1,165</u>
Loss before income taxes	(43,597)	(88,468)	(70,698)
Income tax benefit	—	180	—
Net loss	<u>\$ (43,597)</u>	<u>\$ (88,288)</u>	<u>\$ (70,698)</u>
Other comprehensive income (loss)			
Net unrealized gain (loss) on available-for-sale-securities	29	34	(235)
Total other comprehensive income (loss)	<u>29</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>(235)</u>
Comprehensive loss	<u>\$ (43,568)</u>	<u>\$ (88,254)</u>	<u>\$ (70,933)</u>
Net loss per share, basic and diluted	<u>\$ (1.21)</u>	<u>\$ (2.75)</u>	<u>\$ (2.64)</u>
Weighted-average common shares outstanding, basic and diluted	<u>35,898,266</u>	<u>32,065,781</u>	<u>26,803,711</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Voyager Therapeutics, Inc.
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity
(amounts in thousands, except share data)

	Common Stock		Additional	Accumulated	Accumulated	Stockholders'
	Shares	Amount	Paid-In	Other	Deficit	Equity
			Capital	Comprehensive		
				Loss		
Balance at December 31, 2016	<u>25,597,912</u>	<u>\$ 26</u>	<u>\$ 225,963</u>	<u>\$ (52)</u>	<u>\$ (90,015)</u>	<u>\$ 135,922</u>
Vesting of restricted stock	573,803	1	12	—	—	13
Exercises of vested stock options	158,677	—	1,363	—	—	1,363
Issuance of common stock under ESPP	66,652	—	563	—	—	563
Issuance of common stock from public offering (net of underwriters discounts and issuance costs of \$4,100)	5,175,000	5	57,989	—	—	57,994
Stock-based compensation expense	—	—	9,129	—	—	9,129
Unrealized loss on available-for-sale securities, net of tax	—	—	—	(235)	—	(235)
Net loss	—	—	—	—	(70,698)	(70,698)
Balance at December 31, 2017	<u>31,572,044</u>	<u>\$ 32</u>	<u>\$ 295,019</u>	<u>\$ (287)</u>	<u>\$ (160,713)</u>	<u>\$ 134,051</u>
Vesting of restricted stock	319,891	—	9	—	—	9
Exercises of vested stock options	384,186	—	3,891	—	—	3,891
Issuance of common stock under ESPP	88,774	—	969	—	—	969
Stock-based compensation expense	—	—	15,710	—	—	15,710
Unrealized gain on available-for-sale securities, net of tax	—	—	—	34	—	34
Cumulative-effect adjustment to beginning accumulated deficit and statement of operations resulting from ASU No. 2016-01	—	—	—	120	(120)	—
Modified retrospective adjustment to beginning accumulated deficit and deferred revenue resulting from ASU No. 2014-09	—	—	—	—	(19,930)	(19,930)
Net loss	—	—	—	—	(88,288)	(88,288)
Balance at December 31, 2018	<u>32,364,895</u>	<u>\$ 32</u>	<u>\$ 315,598</u>	<u>\$ (133)</u>	<u>\$ (269,051)</u>	<u>\$ 46,446</u>
Exercises of vested stock options	250,276	1	2,713	—	—	2,714
Issuance of common stock in connection with the Neurocrine Collaboration Agreement	4,179,728	4	77,613	—	—	77,617
Issuance of common stock under ESPP	70,217	—	663	—	—	663
Stock-based compensation expense	—	—	15,640	—	—	15,640
Unrealized gain on available-for-sale securities, net of tax	—	—	—	29	—	29
Net loss	—	—	—	—	(43,597)	(43,597)
Balance at December 31, 2019	<u>36,865,116</u>	<u>\$ 37</u>	<u>\$ 412,227</u>	<u>\$ (104)</u>	<u>\$ (312,648)</u>	<u>\$ 99,512</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements

Voyager Therapeutics, Inc.
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows
(amounts in thousands)

	Year ended December 31,		
	2019	2018	2017
Cash flow from operating activities			
Net loss	\$ (43,597)	\$ (88,288)	\$ (70,698)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:			
Stock-based compensation expense	15,640	15,710	9,238
Depreciation	2,765	2,117	1,595
Amortization of premiums and discounts on marketable securities	(3,584)	(2,163)	(24)
In-kind research and development expenses	616	176	113
Other non-cash items	(1,612)	859	46
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:			
Related party collaboration receivable	(18,496)	—	—
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	1,675	(3,937)	1,630
Operating lease, right-of-use asset	2,951	—	—
Other non-current assets	(343)	(180)	1,000
Accounts payable	2,598	(282)	470
Accrued expenses	11,728	(1,600)	4,900
Operating lease liabilities	(2,506)	—	—
Lease incentive benefit	—	321	515
Deferred revenue	80,831	61,380	(10,135)
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	<u>48,666</u>	<u>(15,887)</u>	<u>(61,350)</u>
Cash flow from investing activities			
Purchases of property and equipment	(7,718)	(4,305)	(3,985)
Proceeds from sale of equipment	172	—	—
Purchases of marketable securities	(494,231)	(333,228)	(147,296)
Proceeds from maturities or sales of marketable securities	411,300	364,000	147,600
Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities	<u>(90,477)</u>	<u>26,467</u>	<u>(3,681)</u>
Cash flow from financing activities			
Proceeds from the issuance of common stock in connection with the Neurocrine Collaboration Agreement, net	77,617	—	—
Proceeds from the issuance of common stock net of discount and issuance costs	—	—	57,994
Proceeds from the exercise of stock options	2,714	3,889	1,363
Proceeds from the purchase of common stock under ESPP	663	860	563
Net cash provided by financing activities	<u>80,994</u>	<u>4,749</u>	<u>59,920</u>
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	39,183	15,329	(5,111)
Cash, cash equivalents, and restricted cash beginning of period	47,594	32,265	37,376
Cash, cash equivalents, and restricted cash end of period	<u>\$ 86,777</u>	<u>\$ 47,594</u>	<u>\$ 32,265</u>
Supplemental disclosure of cash and non-cash activities			
Impact of adopting new accounting standards	\$ —	\$ 20,050	\$ —
Operating lease right-of-use assets obtained in exchange for operating lease liabilities	\$ 30,964	\$ —	\$ —
Capital expenditures incurred but not yet paid	\$ 434	\$ 300	\$ —

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

VOYAGER THERAPEUTICS INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. Nature of business

Voyager Therapeutics, Inc. (the “Company”) is a clinical-stage gene therapy company focused on developing life-changing treatments for patients suffering from severe neurological diseases. The Company is focused on neurological diseases where it believes an adeno-associated virus (“AAV”) gene therapy approach that either increases or decreases the production of a specific protein can slow or reduce the symptoms experienced by patients, and therefore have a clinically meaningful impact. The Company has built a gene therapy platform that it believes positions itself to be a leading company at the intersection of AAV gene therapy and severe neurological disease. The Company’s gene therapy platform enables it to engineer, optimize, manufacture and deliver its AAV-based gene therapies that have the potential to provide durable efficacy following a single administration.

Additionally, the Company is working to identify novel AAV capsids, which are the outer viral protein shells that enclose the genetic material of the virus payload. The Company’s team of experts in the fields of AAV gene therapy and neuroscience first identifies and selects severe neurological diseases that are well-suited for treatment using AAV gene therapy. The Company then engineers and optimizes AAV vectors for delivery of the virus payload to the targeted tissue or cells. The Company’s manufacturing process employs an established system that it believes will enable production of high quality AAV vectors at commercial-scale. In addition to the Company’s capsid optimization efforts, it leverages novel delivery paradigms, established routes of administration, and advances in dosing techniques to optimize delivery of its AAV gene therapies to target tissues, regions and cell types that are critical to the disease of interest. The Company believes it can achieve this directly, with targeted infusions to discrete regions of the brain, the spinal cord, or systemically, in conjunction with its novel capsids.

The Company’s business strategy focuses on discovering, developing, manufacturing and commercializing its gene therapy programs. As part of this strategy, the Company has developed core competencies specific to AAV gene therapy development and manufacturing and is beginning to build its commercial infrastructure. This business strategy also includes business development activities that may include in-licensing activities or partnering certain programs in certain geographies with collaborators, as the Company has demonstrated through its collaboration with Sanofi Genzyme Corporation (the “Sanofi Genzyme Collaboration”), its collaboration with AbbVie Biotechnology Ltd (the “AbbVie Tau Collaboration”), its collaboration with Neurocrine Biosciences, Inc. (the “Neurocrine Collaboration”), and its collaboration with AbbVie Ireland Unlimited Company (the “AbbVie Alpha-Synuclein Collaboration”). The Company is devoting substantially all of its efforts to product research and development, market development, and raising capital. The Company is subject to risks common to companies in the biotechnology and gene therapy industry, including but not limited to, the need to obtain sufficient capital to continue to fund its operations, risks of failure of preclinical studies and clinical trials, the need to obtain marketing approval for its product candidates, the need to successfully commercialize and gain market acceptance of its product candidates, dependence on key personnel, protection of proprietary information and technology, protection against data breaches and other cybersecurity threats, compliance with government regulations, development by competitors of technological innovations, and ability to transition from pilot-scale manufacturing to large-scale production of products.

The Company has incurred annual net operating losses in every year since inception. As of December 31, 2019, the Company had an accumulated deficit of \$312.6 million. The Company has not generated any product revenue and has financed its operations primarily through public offerings and private placements of its equity securities and funding from its collaborations with Sanofi Genzyme Corporation (“Sanofi Genzyme”), AbbVie Biotechnology Ltd and AbbVie Ireland Unlimited Company (collectively, “AbbVie”), and Neurocrine Biosciences, Inc. (“Neurocrine”). Based upon the current operating plan, the Company expects that its existing cash, cash equivalents, and marketable debt securities will enable the Company to fund its operating expenses and capital expenditure requirements into mid-2022. There can be no assurance that the Company will be able to obtain additional debt or equity financing or generate product revenue or revenue from collaborative partners on terms acceptable to the Company, on a timely basis or at all. The failure of the Company to obtain sufficient funds on acceptable terms when needed could have a material adverse effect on the Company’s business, results of operations, and financial condition.

2. Summary of significant accounting policies

The following is a summary of significant accounting policies followed in the preparation of these financial statements.

Basis of presentation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include those of the Company and its subsidiary, Voyager Securities Corporation, after elimination of all intercompany accounts and transactions. The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (“GAAP”).

Use of Estimates

The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. On an ongoing basis, the Company’s management evaluates its estimates, which include, but are not limited to, estimates related to revenue recognition, accrued expenses, stock-based compensation expense, and income taxes. The Company bases its estimates on historical experience and other market specific or other relevant assumptions that it believes to be reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results may differ from those estimates or assumptions.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

ASC Topic 820, *Fair Value Measurement* (“ASC 820”), establishes a fair value hierarchy for instruments measured at fair value that distinguishes between assumptions based on market data (observable inputs) and the Company’s own assumptions (unobservable inputs). Observable inputs are inputs that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability based on market data obtained from sources independent of the Company. Unobservable inputs are inputs that reflect the Company’s assumptions about the inputs that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability, and are developed based on the best information available in the circumstances.

ASC 820 identifies fair value as the exchange price, or exit price, representing the amount that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants. As a basis for considering market participant assumptions in fair value measurements, ASC 820 establishes a three-tier fair value hierarchy that distinguishes between the following:

- *Level 1*—Quoted market prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.
- *Level 2*—Inputs other than Level 1 inputs that are either directly or indirectly observable, such as quoted market prices, interest rates, and yield curves.
- *Level 3*—Unobservable inputs developed using estimates of assumptions developed by the Company, which reflect those that a market participant would use.

To the extent that the valuation is based on models or inputs that are less observable or unobservable in the market, the determination of fair value requires more judgment. Accordingly, the degree of judgment exercised by the Company in determining fair value is greatest for instruments categorized in Level 3. A financial instrument’s level within the fair value hierarchy is based on the lowest level of any input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

The carrying amounts reflected in the balance sheets for cash and cash equivalents, prepaid expenses and other current assets, accounts payable and accrued expenses approximate their fair values, due to their short-term nature.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

The Company considers all highly liquid investments purchased with original maturities of 90 days or less at acquisition to be cash equivalents. Cash and cash equivalents include cash held in banks and amounts held in money market funds.

Marketable Securities

The Company classifies marketable debt securities with a remaining maturity of greater than three months when purchased as available-for-sale. Marketable debt securities with a remaining maturity date greater than one year and marketable equity securities are classified as non-current where the Company has the intent and ability to hold these securities for at least the next 12 months. During 2016, the Company invested in a supplier and received common stock and warrants to purchase common stock in that entity. The common stock is considered an available-for-sale marketable equity security and is included in non-current marketable securities, and the warrants are included in non-current assets.

All available for sale debt securities are carried at fair value with the unrealized gains and losses included in other comprehensive income (loss) as a component of stockholders' equity until realized. Any premium or discount arising at purchase is amortized and/or accreted to interest income and/or expense. Realized gains and losses are determined using the specific identification method and are included in other income (expense). If any adjustment to fair value reflects a decline in value of the investment, the Company considers all available evidence to evaluate the extent to which the decline is "other than temporary" and, if so, recognizes the loss through a charge to the Company's statements of operations and comprehensive loss. No other than temporary losses have been recognized.

Cash, cash equivalents, and marketable securities as of December 31, 2019 and 2018 consist of the following:

	<u>Amortized Cost</u>	<u>Unrealized Gains</u>	<u>Unrealized Losses</u>	<u>Fair Value</u>
	<i>(in thousands)</i>			
As of December 31, 2019				
Money market funds included in cash and cash equivalents	\$ 78,303	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 78,303
Marketable securities:				
U.S. Treasury notes	195,467	52	28	195,491
Equity securities	1,220	700	—	1,920
Total marketable securities	<u>\$ 196,687</u>	<u>\$ 752</u>	<u>\$ 28</u>	<u>\$ 197,411</u>
Total money market funds and marketable securities	<u>\$ 274,990</u>	<u>\$ 752</u>	<u>\$ 28</u>	<u>\$ 275,714</u>
As of December 31, 2018				
Money market funds included in cash and cash equivalents	\$ 46,173	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 46,173
Marketable securities:				
U.S. Treasury notes	108,951	1	5	108,947
Equity securities	1,220	—	592	628
Total marketable securities	<u>\$ 110,171</u>	<u>\$ 1</u>	<u>\$ 597</u>	<u>\$ 109,575</u>
Total money market funds and marketable securities	<u>\$ 156,344</u>	<u>\$ 1</u>	<u>\$ 597</u>	<u>\$ 155,748</u>

All of the Company's marketable debt securities at December 31, 2019 and 2018 have a contractual maturity of one year or less.

Restricted Cash

At December 31, 2019 and 2018, the Company maintained restricted cash totaling approximately \$0.7 million held in the form of money market accounts as collateral for the Company's facility lease obligation. The balance is included within deposits in other non-current assets in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. The following table provides a reconciliation of cash, cash equivalents, and restricted cash within the consolidated balance sheets that sum to the total of the same such amounts shown in the statements of cash flows:

	As of December 31,		
	2019	2018	2017
		(in thousands)	
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 86,042	\$ 46,859	\$ 31,530
Restricted cash included in deposits and other noncurrent assets	735	735	735
Total cash, cash equivalents, and restricted cash	<u>\$ 86,777</u>	<u>\$ 47,594</u>	<u>\$ 32,265</u>

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment consists of laboratory equipment, furniture and office equipment, and leasehold improvements and is stated at cost, less accumulated depreciation. Maintenance and repairs that do not improve or extend the lives of the respective assets are expensed to operations as incurred; while costs of major additions and betterments are capitalized. Depreciation is calculated over the estimated useful lives of the assets using the straight-line method.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

The Company evaluates long-lived assets for potential impairment when events or changes in circumstances indicate the carrying value of the assets may not be recoverable. Recoverability is measured by comparing the book values of the assets to the expected future net undiscounted cash flows that the assets are expected to generate. If such assets are considered to be impaired, the impairment to be recognized is measured by the amount by which the book values of the assets exceed their fair value. The Company has not recognized any impairment losses from inception through December 31, 2019.

Revenue Recognition

As of December 31, 2019, all of the Company's revenue has been generated from its collaboration agreements with Sanofi Genzyme, AbbVie, and Neurocrine.

The Company enters into collaboration agreements which are within the scope of ASC 606, *Revenue from Contracts with Customers* ("ASC 606"), under which the Company licenses rights to certain of the Company's product candidates and performs research and development services. The terms of these arrangements typically include payment of one or more of the following: non-refundable, upfront fees; reimbursement of research and development costs; development, regulatory, and commercial milestone payments; and royalties on net sales of licensed products.

Under ASC 606, an entity recognizes revenue when its customer obtains control of promised goods or services, in an amount that reflects the consideration which the entity expects to receive in exchange for those goods or services. To determine the appropriate amount of revenue to be recognized for arrangements determined to be within the scope of ASC 606, the Company performs the following five steps: (i) identification of the promised goods or services in the contract; (ii) determination of whether the promised goods or services are performance obligations including whether they are distinct in the context of the contract; (iii) measurement of the transaction price, including the constraint on variable consideration; (iv) allocation of the transaction price to the performance obligations; and (v) recognition of revenue when (or as) the Company satisfies each performance obligation. The Company only applies the five-step model to contracts when it is probable that the entity will collect consideration it is entitled to in exchange for the goods or services it transfers to the customer.

The promised goods or services in the Company's arrangements typically consist of license rights to the Company's intellectual property and research and development services. The Company provides options to additional

items in the contracts, which are accounted for as separate contracts when the customer elects to exercise such options, unless the option provides a material right to the customer. The Company evaluates the customer options for material rights, or options to acquire additional goods or services for free or at a discount. If the customer options are determined to represent a material right, the material right is recognized as a separate performance obligation at the outset of the arrangement. Performance obligations are promised goods or services in a contract to transfer a distinct good or service to the customer and are considered distinct when (i) the customer can benefit from the good or service on its own or together with other readily available resources and (ii) the promised good or service is separately identifiable from other promises in the contract. In assessing whether promised goods or services are distinct, the Company considers factors such as the stage of development of the underlying intellectual property, the capabilities of the customer to develop the intellectual property on its own or whether the required expertise is readily available and whether the goods or services are integral or dependent to other goods or services in the contract.

The Company estimates the transaction price based on the amount expected to be received for transferring the promised goods or services in the contract. The consideration may include fixed consideration or variable consideration. At the inception of each arrangement that includes variable consideration, the Company evaluates the amount of potential payments and the likelihood that the payments will be received. The Company utilizes either the most likely amount method or expected amount method to estimate the amount expected to be received based on which method best predicts the amount expected to be received. The amount of variable consideration which is included in the transaction price may be constrained, and is included in the transaction price only to the extent that it is probable that a significant reversal in the amount of the cumulative revenue recognized will not occur in a future period.

The Company's contracts often include development and regulatory milestone payments which are assessed under the most likely amount method and constrained if it is probable that a significant revenue reversal would occur. Milestone payments that are not within the Company's control or the licensee's control, such as regulatory approvals, are not considered probable of being achieved until those approvals are received. At the end of each reporting period, the Company re-evaluates the probability of achievement of such development milestones and any related constraint, and if necessary, adjusts its estimate of the overall transaction price. Any such adjustments are recorded on a cumulative catch-up basis, which would affect collaboration revenues in the period of adjustment. To date, the Company has not recognized any consideration related to the achievement of development, regulatory, or commercial milestone revenue resulting from any of the Company's collaboration arrangements.

For arrangements that include sales-based royalties, including milestone payments based on the level of sales, and the license is deemed to be the predominant item to which the royalties relate, the Company recognizes revenue at the later of (i) when the related sales occur, or (ii) when the performance obligation to which some or all of the royalty has been allocated has been satisfied (or partially satisfied). To date, the Company has not recognized any consideration related to sales-based royalty revenue resulting from any of the Company's collaboration arrangements.

The Company allocates the transaction price based on the estimated stand-alone selling price of each of the performance obligations. The Company must develop assumptions that require judgment to determine the stand-alone selling price for each performance obligation identified in the contract. The Company utilizes key assumptions to determine the stand-alone selling price for service obligations, which may include other comparable transactions, pricing considered in negotiating the transaction and the estimated costs. Additionally, in determining the stand-alone selling price for material rights, the Company utilizes comparable transactions, clinical trial success probabilities, and estimates of option exercise likelihood. Variable consideration is allocated specifically to one or more performance obligations in a contract when the terms of the variable consideration relate to the satisfaction of the performance obligation and the resulting amounts allocated are consistent with the amounts the Company would expect to receive for the satisfaction of each performance obligation.

The consideration allocated to each performance obligation is recognized as revenue when control is transferred for the related goods or services. For performance obligations which consist of licenses and other promises, the Company utilizes judgment to assess the nature of the combined performance obligation to determine whether the combined performance obligation is satisfied over time or at a point in time and, if over time, the appropriate method of measuring progress. The Company evaluates the measure of progress each reporting period and, if necessary, adjusts the measure of performance and related revenue recognition.

Upfront payments and fees are recorded as deferred revenue upon receipt or when due until the Company performs its obligations under these arrangements. Amounts are recorded as accounts receivable when the Company's right to consideration is unconditional.

Research and Development

Research and development costs are charged to expense as incurred in performing research and development activities. The costs include employee compensation costs, external research, consultant costs, sponsored research, in-kind services provided under its collaboration agreement with Sanofi Genzyme ("Sanofi Genzyme Collaboration Agreement"), license fees, process development and facilities costs. Facilities costs primarily include the allocation of rent, utilities and depreciation.

Leases

Under ASC 842, which was adopted January 1, 2019, the Company determines if an arrangement is or contains a lease at inception. For leases with a term of 12 months or less, the Company does not recognize a right-of-use asset or lease liability. The Company's operating leases are recognized on its consolidated balance sheet as other long-term assets, other current liabilities, and other long-term liabilities. The Company does not have any finance leases.

Right-of-use assets represent the Company's right to use an underlying asset for the lease term and lease liabilities represent the Company's obligation to make lease payments arising from the lease. Operating lease right-of-use assets and liabilities are recognized at the lease commencement date based on the present value of lease payments over the lease term. As the Company's leases typically do not provide an implicit rate, the Company uses an estimate of its incremental borrowing rate based on the information available at the lease commencement date in determining the present value of lease payments. Operating lease right-of-use assets also include the effect of any lease payments made and excludes lease incentives. The lease terms may include options to extend or terminate the lease when it is reasonably certain that the Company will exercise that option. Lease expense is recognized on a straight-line basis over the lease term.

The Company has lease agreements with lease and non-lease components, which are generally accounted for separately. Non-lease components as it pertains to the Company's leased premises generally refer to common area maintenance charges related to the premises.

Under prior guidance ASC 840, rent expense and lease incentives from operating leases were recognized on a straight-line basis over the lease term. The difference between rent expenses recognized and rental payments was recorded as deferred rent in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

Research Contract Costs and Accruals

The Company has entered into various research and development contracts with research institutions and other companies. These agreements are generally cancelable. The Company records accruals for estimated ongoing research costs. When evaluating the adequacy of the accrued liabilities, the Company analyzes progress of the studies, including the phase or completion of events, invoices received and contracted costs. Significant judgments and estimates may be made in determining the accrued balances at the end of any reporting period. Actual results could differ from the Company's estimates. The Company's historical accrual estimates have not been materially different from the actual costs.

Patent Costs

The Company expenses patent application and related legal costs as incurred and classifies such costs as general and administrative expenses in the accompanying statements of operations.

Stock-Based Compensation Expense

The Company accounts for its stock-based compensation awards in accordance with ASC Topic 718 *Compensation—Stock Compensation* ("ASC 718"). ASC 718 requires all stock-based payments to employees, directors,

and other service providers, referred to as non-employees, including grants of restricted stock units and stock options, to be recognized as expense in the consolidated statements of operations based on their grant date fair values. The Company estimates the fair value of options granted using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. The Company uses the fair value of its common stock to determine the fair value of restricted stock awards and restricted stock units.

The Black-Scholes option pricing model requires inputs based on certain subjective assumptions, including (i) the expected stock price volatility, (ii) the calculation of expected term of the award, (iii) the risk-free interest rate and (iv) expected dividends. Due to a lack of company-specific historical and implied volatility data, the Company bases the estimate of expected volatility on the historical volatility of a group of similar companies that are publicly traded, blended with the most recent period of historic volatility of its common stock. The historical volatility is calculated based on a period of time commensurate with the expected term assumption. The computation of expected volatility is based on the historical volatility of a representative group of companies with similar characteristics to the Company, including stage of product development and life science industry focus. The Company uses the simplified method as prescribed by the SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 107, *Share-Based Payment*, to calculate the expected term for stock options granted to employees as it does not have sufficient historical exercise data to provide a reasonable basis upon which to estimate the expected term. For stock options granted to non-employees, the Company utilizes the contractual term of the arrangement as the basis for the expected term assumption. The risk-free interest rate is based on a treasury instrument whose term is consistent with the expected term of the stock options. The expected dividend yield is assumed to be zero as the Company has never paid dividends and has no current plans to pay any dividends on its common stock.

The Company expenses the fair value of its stock-based compensation awards on a straight-line basis over the associated service period, which is generally the period in which the related services are received, adjusted for actual forfeitures of unvested awards as they occur.

The Company records the expense for stock-based compensation awards subject to performance conditions over the remaining service period when management determines that achievement of the performance condition is probable. Management evaluates when the achievement of a performance condition is probable based on the expected satisfaction of the performance conditions as of the reporting date.

Income Taxes

Income taxes are recorded in accordance with ASC Topic 740, *Income Taxes* (“ASC 740”), which provides for deferred taxes using an asset and liability approach. Under this method, deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on the difference between the financial reporting and the tax reporting basis of assets and liabilities and are measured using the enacted tax rates and laws that are expected to be in effect when the differences are expected to reverse. The Company provides a valuation allowance against net deferred tax assets unless, based upon the weight of available evidence, it is more likely than not that the deferred tax assets will be realized.

The Company accounts for uncertain tax positions in accordance with the provisions of ASC 740. When uncertain tax positions exist, the Company recognizes the tax benefit of tax positions to the extent that the benefit will more likely than not be realized. The determination as to whether the tax benefit will more likely than not be realized is based upon the technical merits of the tax position as well as consideration of the available facts and circumstances. As of December 31, 2019, the Company does not have any significant uncertain tax positions.

Comprehensive Loss

Comprehensive loss is comprised of net loss and other comprehensive income or loss. Other comprehensive income or loss consists of unrealized gains or losses on marketable securities.

Net Loss Per Share

Basic net loss per share is calculated by dividing the net loss by the weighted-average number of shares of common stock outstanding during the period, without consideration for potentially dilutive securities. Diluted net loss per share is computed by dividing the net loss by the weighted-average number of shares of common stock and potentially dilutive securities outstanding for the period determined using the treasury-stock and if-converted methods.

For purposes of the diluted net loss per share, unvested restricted common stock and outstanding stock options are considered to be potentially dilutive securities, but are excluded from the calculation of diluted net loss per share because their effect would be anti-dilutive and therefore, basic and diluted net loss per share were the same for all periods presented.

The following table sets forth the outstanding potentially dilutive securities that have been excluded in the calculation of diluted net loss per share because to do so would be anti-dilutive:

	<u>As of December 31,</u>		
	<u>2019</u>	<u>2018</u>	<u>2017</u>
Unvested restricted common stock awards	176,471	235,294	557,979
Unvested restricted common stock units	455,404	—	—
Outstanding stock options	5,317,326	4,225,152	3,143,566
Total	<u>5,949,201</u>	<u>4,460,446</u>	<u>3,701,545</u>

Concentrations of Credit Risk and Off-Balance Sheet Risk

The Company has no financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk such as foreign exchange contracts, option contracts or other foreign currency hedging arrangements. Financial instruments that potentially subject the Company to a concentration of credit risk are cash and cash equivalents. The Company's cash is held in accounts at financial institutions that may exceed federally insured limits. The Company has not experienced any credit losses in such accounts and does not believe it is exposed to any significant credit risk on these funds.

Concentration of Suppliers

The Company is dependent on third-party manufacturers to supply certain products for research and development activities in its programs. In particular, the Company relies on a sole manufacturer to supply it with specific vectors related to the Company's research and development programs.

Segment Information

Operating segments are defined as components of an enterprise about which separate discrete information is available for evaluation by the chief operating decision maker in deciding how to allocate resources and assess performance. The Company and the Company's chief operating decision maker, the Company's Chief Executive Officer, views the Company's operations and manages its business as a single operating segment, which is the business of developing and commercializing gene therapies.

Recently Adopted Accounting Pronouncements

In February 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-02, a comprehensive new lease accounting standard, which provides revised guidance on accounting for lease arrangements by both lessors and lessees and requires lessees to recognize a lease liability and a right-of-use ("ROU") asset for most leases. In July 2018, the FASB issued ASU No. 2018-11, *Leases-Targeted Improvements* ("ASC 842"), which provides an additional transition method that allowed entities to initially apply the new lease requirements at the adoption date, not the earliest period presented, and recognize a cumulative effect adjustment to the opening balance of retained earnings in the period of adoption. The Company elected this transition method at the adoption date of January 1, 2019. The Company elected a package of practical expedients, under which an entity need not reassess whether any expired or existing contracts are or contain leases, the lease classification for any expired or existing leases, or initial direct costs for any existing leases. The impact of adopting ASC 842 was represented as a capitalization of a ROU asset of approximately \$31.0 million with a corresponding lease liability of approximately \$36.7 million to be recognized over the remaining life of the Company's leases.

In June 2018, the FASB issued ASU No. 2018-07, *Compensation-Stock Compensation: Improvements to Nonemployee Share-Based Payment Accounting* ("ASU 2018-07"). The new standard largely aligns the accounting for share-based payment awards issued to employees and nonemployees by expanding the scope of ASC 718 to apply to

nonemployee share-based transactions, as long as the transaction is not effectively a form of financing. The adoption of ASU 2018-07 on January 1, 2019 did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-09, *Revenue from Contracts with Customers* (“ASU 2014-09”), which supersedes the revenue recognition requirements in ASC 605, *Revenue Recognition* (“ASC 605”), and most industry-specific guidance. The new standard requires that an entity recognize revenue to depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. The update also requires additional disclosure about the nature, amount, timing, and uncertainty of revenue and cash flows arising from customer contracts, including significant judgments and changes in judgments and assets recognized from costs incurred to obtain or fulfill a contract. Thereafter, a series of clarifying ASUs, narrow scope improvements and practical expedients were issued. This collective guidance resulted in the new revenue standard, ASC 606.

The Company adopted the new standard effective January 1, 2018 using the modified retrospective approach. The Company had one open contract, relating to the Sanofi Genzyme Collaboration, on the adoption date and has assessed it under the new revenue standard. The adoption of ASC 606 resulted in the changes to (i) the allocation of arrangement consideration, including the determination of estimated selling price and the allocation of variable consideration to specific performance obligations and (ii) the application of proportional performance as a measure of progress on service-related deliverables.

The Company has accounted for the impact of adopting ASC 606 as a cumulative catch-up under the modified retrospective approach, which is represented as an increase of \$20.0 million to deferred revenue with an offset to accumulated deficit, effective January 1, 2018. The following financial statement line items have been shown to reflect comparative balances under ASC 606 and ASC 605 for the year ended December 31, 2018, for both of the Sanofi Genzyme Collaboration and AbbVie Tau Collaboration, collectively.

Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Loss

	Year ended December 31, 2018		
	Under ASC 606	Under ASC 605	Effect of change
	<i>(in thousands, except per share data)</i>		
Collaboration revenue	\$ 7,619	\$ 11,095	\$ (3,476)
Loss before income taxes	(88,468)	(84,992)	(3,476)
Net loss	(88,288)	(84,812)	(3,476)
Net loss per share, basic and diluted	(2.75)	(2.64)	(0.11)

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

	Year ended December 31, 2018		
	Under ASC 606	Under ASC 605	Effect of change
	<i>(in thousands)</i>		
Net loss	\$ (88,288)	\$ (84,812)	\$ (3,476)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:			
Deferred revenue	61,380	57,904	3,476

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In August 2018, the FASB issued ASU No. 2018-13, *Disclosure Framework - Changes to the Disclosure Requirements for Fair Value Measurement* (“ASU 2018-13”). This standard eliminates, adds and modifies certain disclosure requirements for fair value measurements as part of its disclosure framework project. ASU 2018-13 is effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2019 and interim periods within those annual periods and early adoption is permitted. The Company is currently evaluating the potential impact that this guidance may have on its consolidated financial statements.

In June 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-13, *Measurement of Credit Losses on Financial Instruments* (“ASU 2016-13”). ASU 2016-13 will change how companies account for credit losses for most financial assets and certain other instruments. For trade receivables, loans and held-to-maturity debt securities, companies will be required to recognize an allowance for credit losses rather than reducing the carrying value of the asset. ASU 2016-13 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2022, including interim periods within those fiscal years. Early adoption is permitted. The Company is currently evaluating the potential impact that this guidance may have on its consolidated financial statement.

3. Fair value measurements

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of December 31, 2019 and 2018 are as follows:

Assets	Total	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
<i>(in thousands)</i>				
December 31, 2019				
Money market funds included in cash and cash equivalents	\$ 78,303	\$ 78,303	\$ —	\$ —
Marketable securities:				
U.S. Treasury notes	195,491	195,491	—	—
Equity securities	1,920	1,920	—	—
Total marketable securities	\$ 197,411	\$ 197,411	\$ —	\$ —
Warrants to purchase equity securities	554	—	554	—
Total	<u>\$ 276,268</u>	<u>\$ 275,714</u>	<u>\$ 554</u>	<u>\$ —</u>
December 31, 2018				
Money market funds included in cash and cash equivalents	\$ 46,173	\$ 46,173	\$ —	\$ —
Marketable securities:				
U.S. Treasury notes	108,947	108,947	—	—
Equity securities	628	628	—	—
Total marketable securities	\$ 109,575	\$ 109,575	\$ —	\$ —
Warrants to purchase equity securities	234	—	234	—
Total	<u>\$ 155,982</u>	<u>\$ 155,748</u>	<u>\$ 234</u>	<u>\$ —</u>

The Company measures the fair value of money market funds, U.S. Treasuries and equity securities based on quoted prices in active markets for identical securities. The Level 2 equity securities include warrants used to purchase equity securities that are valued using the Black-Scholes model. The Black-Scholes option pricing model requires inputs based on certain subjective assumptions, including (i) the expected stock price volatility, (ii) the calculation of expected term of the awards, (iii) the risk-free interest rate, and (iv) expected dividends. The assumptions utilized to value the warrants to purchase equity securities as of December 31, 2019, 2018, and 2017 are as follows:

	As of December 31,		
	2019	2018	2017
Risk-free interest rate	1.6 %	2.5 %	2.0 %
Expected dividend yield	— %	— %	— %
Expected term (in years)	1.7	2.7	3.7
Expected volatility	71.6 %	112.7 %	103.5 %

The expected volatility is based on the historic volatility for the equity securities underlying the warrants and is calculated based on a period of time commensurate with the expected term assumption. The expected term is based on the remaining contractual life of the warrants on each measurement date. The risk-free interest rate is based on a treasury instrument whose term is consistent with the expected term of the warrants. The expected dividend yield is assumed to be zero as the entity that issued the warrants has never paid and has not indicated any intention to pay dividends.

4. Prepaid expenses and other current assets

Prepaid expenses and other current assets consist of the following:

	As of December 31,	
	2019	2018
	<i>(in thousands)</i>	
Prepaid research and development contracts	\$ 1,999	\$ 4,497
Other current assets	1,952	1,360
Accrued interest receivable	476	201
Prepaid insurance	203	617
Total	<u>\$ 4,630</u>	<u>\$ 6,675</u>

5. Property and equipment, net

Property and equipment, net consists of the following:

	As of December 31,	
	2019	2018
	<i>(in thousands)</i>	
Laboratory equipment	\$ 13,748	\$ 8,843
Leasehold improvements	7,129	7,035
Construction in progress	2,188	19
Furniture and office equipment	1,888	1,675
Other	690	306
Total property and equipment	25,643	17,878
Less: accumulated depreciation	(7,657)	(5,107)
Property and equipment, net	<u>\$ 17,986</u>	<u>\$ 12,771</u>

The Company recorded \$2.8 million, \$2.1 million, and \$1.6 million in depreciation expense during the years ended December 31, 2019, 2018, and 2017, respectively.

6. Accrued expenses

Accrued expenses consist of the following:

	As of December 31,	
	2019	2018
	<i>(in thousands)</i>	
Research and development costs	\$ 13,248	\$ 3,555
Employee compensation costs	5,733	3,780
Accrued goods and services	1,386	784
Professional services	897	1,448
Other	177	101
Patent costs	75	120
Total	<u>\$ 21,516</u>	<u>\$ 9,788</u>

7. Lease obligation

Operating Leases

In April 2014, the Company entered into an agreement to lease its 75 Sidney Street facility under a non-cancelable operating lease that would have expired, if not subsequently extended, on December 15, 2019. The lease includes two renewal options, each for five-year terms and at fair market value upon exercise. The lease contains escalating rent clauses which require higher rent payments in future years. The Company expenses rent on a straight-line basis over the term of the lease, including any rent-free periods.

In December 2015, the Company executed an amendment to extend the term of the 75 Sidney Street lease and also executed an agreement to lease an additional facility at 64 Sidney Street until December 31, 2024. The facility at 64 Sidney Street includes laboratory and office space and was ready for occupancy in early 2017.

In February 2018, the Company executed a second amendment to the 75 Sidney Street lease to lease additional space to support its continued growth. The additional facility includes laboratory and office space and was ready for occupancy in mid-2018.

In June 2018, the Company executed a third amendment to the 75 Sidney Street lease to lease additional space to further support its continued growth. The additional facility includes laboratory and office space, and was ready for occupancy in late 2018. The third amendment extended the term of the 75 Sidney Street lease to November 30, 2026. Additionally, the Company executed a second amendment to the 64 Sidney Street lease to extend that lease to November 30, 2026.

The Company has received leasehold improvement incentives from the landlord totaling \$1.3 million and \$3.5 million for 75 Sidney Street and 64 Sidney Street, respectively. The Company recorded these incentives as a component of its ROU asset and is amortizing these incentives as a reduction of rent expense over the life of the leases. The leasehold improvements have been capitalized as fixed assets. The Company is entitled to receive approximately \$0.1 million of leasehold improvements for the additional space at 75 Sidney Street pursuant to the third amendment to the 75 Sidney Street lease.

The Company's lease agreements require the Company to maintain a cash deposit or irrevocable letter of credit of \$0.7 million payable to the landlord as security for the performance of its obligations under the leases. These amounts are recorded as restricted cash and included in deposits and other non-current assets in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

The following table summarizes the Company's significant contractual obligations under operating leases as of payment due date by period at December 31, 2019:

	Total Minimum Lease Payments
	<i>(in thousands)</i>
2020	\$ 5,960
2021	6,138
2022	6,323
2023	6,512
2024	6,707
2025+	14,190
Total future minimum lease payments	\$ 45,830
Less: imputed interest	(11,662)
Total lease liability	<u>\$ 34,168</u>
Reported as:	
Other current liabilities	\$ 3,193
Other non-current liabilities	30,975
Total lease liability	<u>\$ 34,168</u>

Rent expense for operating leases of approximately \$5.7 million, \$4.0 million, and \$2.9 million was incurred during the years ended December 31, 2019, 2018, and 2017, respectively. As of December 31, 2019, the weighted average remaining lease term was 6.9 years and the weighted average incremental borrowing rate used to determine the operating lease liability was 8.5%.

8. Other liabilities

As of December 31, 2019 and 2018, other current and non-current liabilities consisted of the following:

	As of December 31,	
	2019	2018
	<i>(in thousands)</i>	
Other current liabilities		
Lease liability	3,193	—
Total other current liabilities	\$ 3,193	\$ —
Other non-current liabilities		
Lease liability	\$ 30,975	\$ —
Deferred rent	—	5,710
Other	1,001	1,001
Total other non-current liabilities	\$ 31,976	\$ 6,711

9. Commitments and contingencies

Significant Agreements

Sanofi Genzyme Collaboration Agreement

Summary of Agreement

In February 2015, the Company entered into the Sanofi Genzyme Collaboration Agreement which included a non-refundable upfront payment of \$65.0 million. In addition, contemporaneous with entering into the Sanofi Genzyme Collaboration Agreement, Sanofi Genzyme entered into a Series B Stock Purchase Agreement, under which Sanofi Genzyme purchased 10,000,000 shares of Series B Preferred Stock for \$30.0 million. The fair value of the Series B Preferred Stock at the time of issuance was approximately \$25.0 million. The \$5.0 million premium over the fair value is accounted for as additional consideration under the Sanofi Genzyme Collaboration Agreement.

Under the Sanofi Genzyme Collaboration Agreement, the Company granted Sanofi Genzyme an exclusive option to license, develop and commercialize (i) ex-U.S. rights to the following programs, which are referred to as Split Territory Programs; VY-AADC (NB1b-1817) for Parkinson's disease (the "VY-AADC Program"), VY-FXN01 for Friedreich's ataxia (the "FA Program"), a future program to be designated by Sanofi Genzyme (the "Future Program), and VY-HTT01 for Huntington's disease (the "Huntington's Program"), with an incremental option to co-commercialize VY-HTT01 in the United States and (ii) worldwide rights to VY-SMN101 (the "Spinal Muscular Atrophy Program"). Sanofi Genzyme's option for the Split Territory Programs and the Spinal Muscular Atrophy Program is triggered following the completion of the first proof-of-principle human clinical study ("POP Study"), on a program by program basis.

The Company was solely responsible for all costs incurred in connection with the development of the Split Territory Programs and the Spinal Muscular Atrophy Program products prior to the exercise of an option by Sanofi Genzyme with the exception of the following: (i) at the Company's request and upon mutual agreement, Sanofi Genzyme would provide "in-kind" services valued at up to \$5.0 million and (ii) Sanofi Genzyme would be responsible for the costs and expenses of activities under the Huntington's Program development plan to the extent such activities were covered by financial support Sanofi Genzyme is entitled to receive from a patient advocacy group, collectively Sanofi Genzyme "in-kind" and other funding.

Termination of Agreement

On June 14, 2019 (the "Termination Date"), the Company and Sanofi Genzyme executed a termination agreement to terminate the Sanofi Genzyme Collaboration Agreement (the "Sanofi Genzyme Termination Agreement"). Under the terms of the Sanofi Genzyme Termination Agreement, Sanofi Genzyme relinquished its rights to the exclusive license options to the Huntington's Program, the FA Program and the Future Program. The Company has been relieved

of its obligations to perform the research and development services under those programs through completion of the respective POP Studies. As a result, the Company gained worldwide rights to the Huntington's Program and ex-U.S. rights to the FA Program. The ex-U.S. rights to the FA Program have been, in turn, transferred from the Company to Neurocrine Biosciences pursuant to the collaboration and option agreement with Neurocrine Biosciences. Additionally, the Company and Sanofi Genzyme entered into an Amended and Restated Option and License Agreement related to AAV capsids (the "Amended Capsid Agreement"). Under the Amended Capsid Agreement, Sanofi Genzyme has obtained exclusive option rights to exclusively license up to two select novel AAV capsids owned or controlled by the Company for exclusive use for up to two non-central nervous system ("non-CNS") indications.

Sanofi Genzyme has granted the Company exclusive, irrevocable, perpetual, royalty-free, fully-paid sublicensable (through multiple tiers), non-transferable, worldwide licenses in Sanofi Genzyme's interests in the collaboration technology generated under or used in the Huntington's Program and the FA Program with respect to those programs pursuant to the Sanofi Genzyme Collaboration Agreement. In addition, Sanofi Genzyme has granted the Company non-exclusive, irrevocable, perpetual, royalty-free, fully-paid, sublicensable (through multiple tiers), non-transferable, worldwide licenses to the Sanofi Genzyme technology that was contributed to the Sanofi Genzyme Collaboration Agreement and was used in the development or manufacture of product candidates prior to the termination date.

Under the Sanofi Genzyme Termination Agreement, the Company made a \$10.0 million upfront payment to Sanofi Genzyme and has agreed to make a \$10.0 million milestone payment to Sanofi Genzyme within fifteen days of the filing of an investigational new drug ("IND") application for a product candidate incorporating certain intellectual property rights developed under or substantially related to the Huntington's Program (a "Post-Termination HD Product"). The Company has agreed to pay Sanofi Genzyme (i) 50% of any income received from sublicensing arrangements related to Post-Termination HD Products in excess of specified thresholds and entered into prior to (a) the filing of an IND application for a Post-Termination HD Product or (b) the dosing of the first patient in a clinical trial for a Post-Termination HD Product in the United States or certain European countries, respectively and (ii) a low-double digit percentage of any income received from sublicensing arrangements outside the United States related to products incorporating intellectual property rights developed under, or substantially related to, the FA Program (collectively, "Post-Termination FA Products"), that are in excess of a specified threshold and entered into prior to the dosing of the first patient in a clinical trial for a Post-Termination FA Product in the United States or certain European countries, in each case, subject to certain limitations. The Company has also agreed to pay low-single-digit royalties on net sales of Post-Termination HD Products. Under the Sanofi Genzyme Collaboration Agreement, the Company had rights to certain in-kind services. As of the effective date of the Sanofi Genzyme Termination Agreement, the Company waived its right to approximately \$0.4 million in unused in-kind services, the Company has relinquished its rights to the Spinal Muscular Atrophy Program, and the Company no longer has the right to receive any option payments, regulatory or commercial milestone payments or royalties from Sanofi Genzyme under the Sanofi Genzyme Collaboration Agreement.

The Company has granted Sanofi Genzyme an exclusive royalty-free, fully-paid, sublicensable (through multiple tiers), non-transferable, worldwide license under the Company's interest in the collaboration technology generated under or used in the Spinal Muscular Atrophy Program pursuant to the Sanofi Genzyme Collaboration Agreement to manufacture, develop, and commercialize any Spinal Muscular Atrophy product. Under the Amended Capsid Agreement, the Company has granted Sanofi Genzyme an exclusive option to evaluate up to four capsids for no consideration. During the capsid evaluation period, the Company has granted Sanofi Genzyme a non-exclusive license to the capsid intellectual property to conduct evaluation studies. In addition, Sanofi Genzyme is able to evaluate up to two additional capsids for a low six-figure payment per additional capsid. The Company is not obligated to perform any additional research on the capsids. Sanofi Genzyme shall have the right to obtain an exclusive license for up to two capsids, each in a specified non-CNS indication. At its discretion, Sanofi Genzyme may exercise both its options for the same capsid for different specified non-CNS indications. Upon its exercise of each option, Sanofi Genzyme has agreed to pay the Company a \$1.0 million option exercise fee. Under the Amended Capsid Agreement, the Company is also entitled to receive potential development and regulatory milestone payments upon the achievement of certain milestone events for products containing licensed capsids ("Sanofi Licensed Products") of up to an aggregate of \$15.0 million per Sanofi Licensed Product. In addition, for each specified indication, Sanofi Genzyme has agreed to pay to the Company a one-time sales milestone payment of \$20.0 million, if aggregate worldwide net sales for all Sanofi Licensed Products for such specified indication surpass a specified amount, and low-to-mid single-digit tiered royalty payments on worldwide net sales of Sanofi Licensed Products, on a Sanofi Licensed Product-by-Sanofi Licensed Product basis.

Accounting Analysis

The Sanofi Genzyme Termination Agreement modifies both the pricing and scope of the Sanofi Genzyme Collaboration Agreement. As the modification does not add distinct goods or services to the Sanofi Genzyme Collaboration Agreement, the agreement is considered a modification of the original contract.

The Sanofi Genzyme Termination Agreement includes the following performance obligations: (i) worldwide license to collaboration technology and Sanofi Genzyme technology for the development, manufacturing and commercialization of the Huntington's Program and (ii) worldwide license to collaboration technology and Sanofi Genzyme technology for the development, manufacturing and commercialization for the FA Program. Such performance obligations were satisfied upon the Termination Date as control had transferred upon execution of the Sanofi Genzyme Termination Agreement. Therefore, the remainder of the transaction price under the Sanofi Genzyme Collaboration Agreement, which had not yet been recognized, was recognized as revenue upon the Termination Date.

The Company has recognized \$28.7 million of revenue upon the Termination Date. This amount consists of \$48.7 million of deferred revenue related to the original agreement as of the Termination Date, offset by (x) \$10.0 million related to the fee paid by the Company to Sanofi Genzyme on the Termination Date, and (y) \$10.0 million related to the milestone payment which the Company expects to pay to Sanofi Genzyme upon the potential filing of an IND for a product candidate in connection with the Huntington's Program. The Company is currently engaged in the ongoing conduct and review of preclinical studies for its Huntington's disease program, VY-HTT01, and expects to provide an update on the program in the second quarter of 2020, including plans to file an investigational new drug, or IND, application. The Company has constrained \$10.0 million of the remaining deferred revenue balance at the Termination Date as it expects to pay the milestone payment related to the potential filing of an IND for a product candidate in connection with the Huntington's Program in 2020. As a result, the Company will maintain a \$10.0 million deferred revenue balance associated with the potential milestone payment. This deferral will be reversed upon payment of the milestone to Sanofi Genzyme. If the Company decides not to file an IND for a product candidate in connection with the Huntington's Program, the Company will recognize that amount as revenue upon determining that the IND filing is no longer likely. The \$20.0 million payable by the Company to Sanofi Genzyme is treated as consideration payable to a customer and therefore accounted for as a reduction of the transaction price.

During the year ended December 31, 2019, the Company recognized \$0.8 million of revenue related to in-kind services performed by Sanofi Genzyme under the collaboration. During the year ended December 31, 2019, the Company recognized \$31.8 million of revenue related to research and development services, committee obligations performed, and the subsequent termination of the Sanofi Genzyme Collaboration. During the year ended December 31, 2018, the Company recognized \$0.7 million of revenue related to research and development services and committee obligations performed under the Sanofi Genzyme Collaboration. During the year ended December 31, 2017, the Company recognized \$10.1 million of revenue related to research and development services and committee obligations performed under the Sanofi Genzyme Collaboration. As of December 31, 2019, \$10.0 million remains in short term deferred revenue in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet.

Costs incurred relating to the programs that Sanofi Genzyme had the option to license under the Sanofi Genzyme Collaboration Agreement consisted of internal and external research and development costs, which primarily included: salaries and benefits, lab supplies and preclinical research studies. These costs were included in research and development expenses in the Company's consolidated statements of operations during the years ended December 31, 2019, 2018, and 2017.

AbbVie Tau Collaboration Agreement

Summary of Agreement

In February 2018, the Company entered into an exclusive collaboration and option agreement (the "AbbVie Tau Collaboration Agreement") with AbbVie for the research, development and commercialization of AAV and other virus-based gene therapy products for the treatment of diseases of the central nervous system and other neurodegenerative diseases related to defective or excess aggregation of tau protein in the human brain, including Alzheimer's disease. Under the AbbVie Tau Collaboration Agreement, the Company and AbbVie have agreed to collaborate on the research and development of specified vectorized antibody compounds comprised of an AAV or other viral capsid and a virus vector genome that encodes one or more antibodies that target and bind to a tau protein. The collaboration is comprised

of a research period (the “Research Period”), a development period (the “Development Period”), and an exclusive license option (the “License Option”). The AbbVie Tau Collaboration Agreement included a non-refundable upfront payment of \$69.0 million to the Company for services during the Research Period.

During the Research Period, each party has agreed to identify up to five antibodies for inclusion in the collaboration. Subject to certain conditions and exceptions, the parties will select up to three antibodies (each, a “Research Antibody”) as candidates for creation of research compounds (each, a “Research Compound”), with AbbVie having the right to select two of the three Research Antibodies. The Company is required to use diligent efforts to conduct antibody engineering and other research activities to create Research Compounds and to develop product candidates containing or comprised of such Research Compounds (“Product Candidates”). The Company is solely responsible for its costs and expenses during the Research Period. During a specified portion of the Research Period, AbbVie may exercise one or more of its exclusive development options (each, a “Development Option”) to select up to a total of three Research Compounds (the “Selected Research Compounds”) and their corresponding Product Candidates (the “Selected Product Candidates”) to proceed to the Development Period.

Upon AbbVie’s exercise of a Development Option, AbbVie will pay the Company \$80.0 million for the first Selected Research Compound and \$30.0 million each for up to two additional Selected Research Compounds. During the Development Period, the Company is obligated to use diligent efforts to conduct development activities, including IND application-enabling and Phase 1 clinical trial activities, for the Selected Research Compounds and corresponding Selected Product Candidates. The Company will be solely responsible for the costs and expenses during the Development Period. During a specified portion of the Development Period (the “License Option Period”), AbbVie may exercise its License Option to further develop and commercialize all of the Research Compounds (the “Licensed Compounds”), and corresponding product candidates (the “Licensed Products”). Upon AbbVie’s exercise of its License Option, AbbVie will provide a one-time payment of \$75.0 million to the Company, and the Company will grant to AbbVie an exclusive, worldwide license, with the right to sublicense, under certain of the Company’s intellectual property rights to develop and commercialize the Licensed Compounds and the Licensed Products for all human diagnostic, prophylactic and therapeutic uses. In addition, after AbbVie’s exercise of the License Option, the Company has certain obligations to complete any remaining research and development activities that have not been completed for any Research Compounds and Product Candidates.

The Company’s research and development activities will be conducted pursuant to the plans agreed to by the parties and overseen by a joint governance committee (“JGC”) as detailed in the AbbVie Tau Collaboration Agreement. Any material amendment to the research or development plans must be mutually agreed to by the Company and AbbVie, which may be through the JGC.

Under the AbbVie Tau Collaboration Agreement, AbbVie is required to use commercially reasonable efforts to develop and commercialize at least one Licensed Product in each of the United States, Japan, the United Kingdom, Germany, France, Italy, and Spain. After exercise of the License Option, AbbVie is solely responsible for all development and commercialization activities relating to Licensed Compounds and Licensed Products at its sole cost and expense, subject to the agreed-upon research and development plans. The Company may elect to share in AbbVie’s development costs relating to a Licensed Product on an indication-by-indication basis in exchange for a specified increase in royalties (a “Cost-Sharing Option”). If the Company exercises a Cost-Sharing Option, the Company may either reimburse AbbVie for AbbVie’s applicable development costs or, in the case of certain budget overruns, AbbVie may instead deduct applicable development costs, up to a specified cap, from milestone and royalty payments owed by AbbVie to the Company.

Under the AbbVie Tau Collaboration Agreement, the Company is eligible to receive specified development and first-sale milestone payments for each Licensed Compound of up to an aggregate of \$550.0 million in the case of an Alzheimer’s disease indication, up to \$230.0 million in the case of the first indication other than Alzheimer’s disease and up to \$115.0 million for a subsequent non-Alzheimer’s disease indication. Additionally, the Company is eligible to receive tiered, escalating royalties, in a range from a high-single digit to a mid-to-high teen (or, if the Company has exercised its Cost-Sharing Option, low-twenties) percentage of aggregate net sales of Licensed Products on a Licensed Compound by Licensed Compound basis, subject to potential reductions in certain circumstances. For each Licensed Product, AbbVie also has the right to decrease or eliminate its royalty payments on such Licensed Product in exchange for a one-time payment by AbbVie at a fair market value to be negotiated by the parties or determined pursuant to dispute resolution procedures specified in the AbbVie Tau Collaboration Agreement.

Unless earlier terminated, the AbbVie Tau Collaboration Agreement will expire on the earliest to occur of the expiration of (i) the Development Option Period, without AbbVie’s exercise of a Development Option; (ii) the License Option Period, without AbbVie’s exercise of its License Option; and (iii) the last-to-expire royalty term with respect to all Licensed Products in all countries. The Company and AbbVie have customary termination rights including the right to terminate for an uncured material breach of the agreement committed by the other party, and AbbVie has the right to terminate for convenience.

Accounting Analysis

The Company assessed the promised goods and services under the AbbVie Tau Collaboration Agreement, in accordance with ASC 606, and determined that the AbbVie Tau Collaboration Agreement includes the following performance obligations: (i) research services during the Research Period (through the delivery of the final research report) including the identification of the Research Antibodies, conduct of research activities and provision of information to AbbVie to allow AbbVie to determine whether to exercise up to three development options to be rendered (collectively, the “Research Services”), and (ii) a material right associated with the Development Option on the first Research Compound and associated Product Candidates (“First Development Option Material Right”). The first Development Option provides AbbVie with (i) additional development services on a selected Research Compound and (ii) the ability to exercise the License Option. The Company has concluded the option provides a material right as the consideration paid by AbbVie upon exercise of the first Development Option is less than the amount that the Company would otherwise expect to receive outside the context of the contract.

The Company has concluded that the First Development Option Material Right is a separate performance obligation under ASC 606 as AbbVie is provided additional services and a License Option for additional consideration that represents a significant discount from amounts that would otherwise be offered outside the context of the contract. The First Development Option Material Right is distinct from the other performance obligations in the arrangement as it is an option in the contract that is not required for AbbVie to obtain the benefit of the other promised goods or services in the arrangement. The First Development Option Material Right does not include the underlying goods or services that are delivered upon exercise of the option, but rather represents the value to the customer of having the right to obtain development services and the right to the License Option at an advantageous price.

The Company received a nonrefundable, upfront payment of \$69.0 million as consideration under the AbbVie Tau Collaboration Agreement, which represents the transaction price at inception. Additional consideration to be paid to the Company upon the exercise of the Development and License Options by AbbVie or upon reaching certain milestones are excluded from the transaction price as they relate to option fees and milestones that can only be achieved subsequent to the option exercise or are outside of the initial contract term.

The Company has allocated the transaction price to the separate performance obligations based on their relative standalone selling price. The Company determined the standalone selling price at contract inception based on each obligation’s ESP. The Company determined the ESP for the research services obligation based on internal estimates of the costs to perform the services, including expected internal expenses and expenses with third parties for services and supplies, inclusive of a reasonable profit margin. Significant inputs used to determine the total expense of the research services include the length of time required, the internal hours expected to be incurred on the services and the number and costs of various studies that will be performed to complete the Research Plan. The ESP for the First Development Option Material Right was determined based on the fees AbbVie would pay to exercise the Development and License Options, the estimated costs to perform the development services, inclusive of a reasonable profit margin, the estimated value of the License Option using comparable transactions, and the probability that the Development and License Options would be exercised by AbbVie.

Based on the relative standalone selling price, the allocation of the transaction price to the separate performance obligations was as follows:

Performance Obligation	Amount
	<i>(in thousands)</i>
Research Services	\$ 34,482
First Development Option Material Right	34,518
Total	\$ 69,000

The Company recognizes the amounts associated with Research Services on a proportional performance basis over the period of service using input-based measurements of total cost of research incurred to estimate proportion performed and remeasures its progress towards completion at the end of each reporting period. The amount allocated to the First Development Option Material Right is recorded as deferred revenue and will be recognized either over the period in which goods and services underlying the option are transferred or upon expiry of the option.

During the years ended December 31, 2019 and 2018, the Company recognized \$11.3 million and \$6.9 million of revenue, respectively, associated with the AbbVie Tau Collaboration related to the Research Services performed during the period. As of December 31, 2019, there is \$50.8 million of deferred revenue related to the AbbVie Tau Collaboration Agreement, which is classified as either current or noncurrent in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet based on the period the goods or services are expected to be delivered.

Costs incurred relating to the AbbVie Tau Collaboration Agreement consist of internal and external research and development costs, which primarily include salaries and benefits, lab supplies, and preclinical research studies. All of these costs are included in research and development expenses in the Company's consolidated statements of operations during the years ended December 31, 2019 and 2018.

AbbVie Alpha Synuclein Collaboration Agreement

Summary of Agreement

In February 2019, the Company entered into an exclusive collaboration and option agreement (“the AbbVie Alpha-Synuclein Collaboration Agreement”) with AbbVie, for the research, development and commercialization of AAV and other virus-based gene therapy products directed against pathological species of alpha-synuclein for the potential treatment of Parkinson's disease and other diseases characterized by the abnormal accumulation of misfolded alpha-synuclein protein (“synucleinopathies”). Under the AbbVie Alpha-Synuclein Collaboration Agreement, the Company and AbbVie have agreed to collaborate on the research and development of specified vectorized antibody compounds comprised of an AAV or other viral capsid and a virus vector genome that encodes one or more antibodies that target and bind to the alpha-synuclein protein. The collaboration is comprised of a research period (the “ASN Research Period”), an optional development period (the “ASN Development Period”), and an exclusive license option (the “ASN License Option”). The AbbVie Alpha-Synuclein Collaboration Agreement included a non-refundable upfront payment to the Company of \$65.0 million for services during the ASN Research Period.

During the ASN Research Period, the Company is obligated to conduct research activities directed to constructing one or more virus vectors that encode antibodies designated by AbbVie (the “AbbVie Designated Antibodies”) which initially are to be antibodies provided by AbbVie. The Company is obligated to use diligent efforts to conduct research activities to create research compounds (“ASN Research Compounds”) and to develop product candidates containing or comprised of the ASN Research Compounds (“ASN Product Candidates”). The Company is solely responsible for the costs and expenses during the ASN Research Period. During a specified portion of the ASN Research Period, AbbVie may exercise one or more of its exclusive development options to select up to a total of four ASN Research Compounds and their corresponding ASN Product Candidates to proceed to the ASN Development Period.

Upon AbbVie's exercise of an option to proceed to the ASN Development Period (an “ASN Development Option”), AbbVie will pay the Company \$80.0 million for the first ASN Research Compound and \$30.0 million each for up to three additional ASN Research Compounds. During the ASN Development Period, the Company is obligated to use diligent efforts to conduct development activities, including IND application-enabling and Phase 1 clinical trial activities, for each selected ASN Research Compound and corresponding selected ASN Product Candidates. The Company is solely responsible for the costs and expenses during the ASN Development Period. During a specified portion of the ASN Development Period, AbbVie may exercise its ASN License Option to further develop and commercialize all of the ASN Research Compounds and corresponding ASN Product Candidates. Upon AbbVie's exercise of its ASN License Option, the Company has agreed to grant to AbbVie an exclusive, worldwide license, with the right to sublicense, under certain of the Company's intellectual property rights to develop and commercialize the licensed compounds and the licensed products for all human diagnostic, prophylactic and therapeutic uses. In addition, after AbbVie's exercise of the ASN License Option, the Company has certain obligations to complete any remaining

research and development activities that have not been completed for any ASN Research Compounds and ASN Product Candidates.

The Company's research and development activities are to be conducted pursuant to the plans agreed to by the parties and overseen by a joint governance committee (the "ASN JGC") as detailed in the AbbVie Alpha-Synuclein Collaboration Agreement. Any material amendment to the research or development plans, however, must be mutually agreed to by the parties, which may be through the ASN JGC.

Under the AbbVie Alpha-Synuclein Collaboration Agreement, AbbVie is required to use commercially reasonable efforts to develop and commercialize at least one licensed product in each of the United States, Japan, the United Kingdom, Germany, France, Italy and Spain. After exercise of the ASN License Option, AbbVie is solely responsible for all development and commercialization activities relating to licensed compounds and licensed products at its sole cost and expense, subject to the Company's obligation to complete any remaining research and development activities set forth in the agreed-upon research and development plans.

Under the terms of the AbbVie Alpha-Synuclein Collaboration Agreement, the Company is eligible to receive (i) specified development and first-sale milestone payments for each licensed compound of up to an aggregate of \$450.0 million in the case of a Parkinson's disease indication and up to \$185.0 million in the case of the first indication other than Parkinson's disease and \$92.5 million for a subsequent non-Parkinson's disease indication; (ii) specified commercial milestone payments based on net sales for all licensed products and all indications up to an aggregate of \$500.0 million; and (iii) tiered, escalating royalties, in the mid-single digit percentage range for aggregate net sales of licensed products on a licensed compound by licensed compound basis, subject to potential reductions in certain circumstances.

Unless earlier terminated, the AbbVie Alpha-Synuclein Collaboration Agreement expires on the earliest to occur of the expiration of (i) the ASN Development Period, without AbbVie's exercise of an ASN Development Option; (ii) the license option period, without AbbVie's exercise of its ASN License Option; and (iii) the last-to-expire royalty term with respect to all licensed products in all countries. The Company and AbbVie have customary termination rights including the right to terminate for an uncured material breach of the agreement committed by the other party, and AbbVie has the right to terminate for convenience.

Upon termination in certain cases, the vectorized antibody exclusivity and AbbVie designated exclusivity survives until the third anniversary of the termination date. If the parties mutually agree to terminate for infeasibility or AbbVie terminates for the Company's failure to deliver a final research or development report, neither the Company nor any of its affiliates may directly or indirectly exploit a vectorized antibody compound that targets or binds to the alpha-synuclein protein for 18 months after the termination date.

Accounting Analysis

The Company assessed the promised goods and services under the AbbVie Alpha-Synuclein Collaboration Agreement, in accordance with ASC 606, and determined that the AbbVie Alpha-Synuclein Collaboration Agreement includes the following performance obligations: (i) research services during the ASN Research Period (through the delivery of the final research report) including the conduct of research activities and provision of information to AbbVie to allow AbbVie to determine whether to exercise up to four ASN Development Options (collectively, the "ASN Research Services"), and (ii) a material right associated with the first ASN Development Option on the first ASN Research Compound and associated ASN Product Candidates ("ASN First Development Option Material Right"). The exercise of the first ASN Development Option provides AbbVie with (i) additional development services on a selected ASN Research Compound and (ii) the ability to exercise the ASN License Option. The Company has concluded the option provides a material right as the consideration paid by AbbVie upon exercise of the first ASN Development Option is less than the amount that the Company would otherwise expect to receive outside the context of the contract.

The Company has concluded that the ASN First Development Option Material Right is a separate performance obligation under ASC 606 as AbbVie is provided additional services and an ASN License Option for additional consideration that represents a significant discount from amounts that would otherwise be offered outside the context of the contract. The ASN First Development Option Material Right is distinct from the other performance obligations in the arrangement as it is an option in the contract that is not required for AbbVie to obtain the benefit of the other promised

goods or services in the arrangement. The ASN First Development Option Material Right does not include the underlying goods or services that are delivered upon exercise of the option, but rather represents the value to the customer of having the right to obtain development services and the right to the ASN License Option at an advantageous price.

The Company received a nonrefundable, upfront payment of \$65.0 million as consideration under the AbbVie Alpha-Synuclein Collaboration Agreement, which represents the transaction price at inception. Additional consideration to be paid to the Company upon the exercise of the ASN Development and ASN License Options by AbbVie or upon reaching certain milestones are excluded from the transaction price as they relate to option fees and milestones that can only be achieved subsequent to the option exercise or are outside of the initial contract term.

The Company has allocated the transaction price to the separate performance obligations based on their relative standalone selling price. The Company determined the standalone selling price at contract inception based on each obligation's ESP. The Company determined the ESP for the research services obligation based on internal estimates of the costs to perform the services, including expected internal expenses and expenses with third parties for services and supplies, inclusive of a reasonable profit margin. Significant inputs used to determine the total expense of the research services include the length of time required, the internal hours expected to be incurred on the services and the number and costs of various studies that will be performed to complete the agreed upon ASN research plan. The ESP for the ASN First Development Option Material Right was determined based on the fees AbbVie would pay to exercise the ASN Development and ASN License Options, the estimated costs to perform the development services, inclusive of a reasonable profit margin, the estimated value of the ASN License Option using comparable transactions, and the probability that the ASN Development and License Options would be exercised by AbbVie.

Based on the relative standalone selling price, the allocation of the transaction price to the separate performance obligations was as follows:

<u>Performance Obligation</u>	<u>Amount</u>
	<i>(in thousands)</i>
ASN Research Services	\$ 23,768
ASN First Development Option Material Right	41,232
Total	<u>\$ 65,000</u>

The Company recognizes the amounts associated with the ASN Research Services on a proportional performance basis over the period of service using input-based measurements of total cost of research incurred to estimate proportion performed and remeasures its progress towards completion at the end of each reporting period. The amount allocated to the ASN First Development Option Material Right is recorded as deferred revenue and will be recognized either over the period in which goods and services underlying the option are transferred or upon expiry of the option.

During the year ended December 31, 2019, the Company recognized \$1.3 million of revenue associated with the AbbVie Alpha-Synuclein Collaboration related to the ASN Research Services performed during the period then ended. As of December 31, 2019, there was \$63.7 million of deferred revenue related to the AbbVie Alpha-Synuclein Collaboration Agreement, which is classified as either current or non-current in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet based on the period the goods or services are expected to be delivered.

Costs incurred relating to the AbbVie Alpha-Synuclein Collaboration Agreement consist of internal and external research and development costs, which primarily include salaries and benefits, lab supplies, and preclinical research studies. All of these costs are included in research and development expenses in the Company's consolidated statements of operations during the year ended December 31, 2019.

Neurocrine Collaboration Agreement

Summary of Agreement

In March 2019, the Company entered into the Neurocrine Collaboration Agreement for the research, development and commercialization of certain of its AAV gene therapy products. Under the Neurocrine Collaboration

Agreement, the Company has agreed to collaborate on the conduct of four collaboration programs (the “Neurocrine Programs”) which include: (i) the VY-AADC Program, (ii) the FA Program (collectively, the “Existing Programs”); and (iii) two programs to be determined by the Company and Neurocrine at a later date (the “Discovery Programs”).

In June 2019, in conjunction with the termination of the Sanofi Genzyme Collaboration Agreement, the Company gained ex-U.S. rights to the FA Program. The Company’s ex-U.S. rights to the FA Program were subsequently transferred to Neurocrine under the terms of the Neurocrine Collaboration Agreement. To facilitate the transfer of the ex-U.S. rights to the FA Program to Neurocrine, the Company and Neurocrine executed an amendment to the Neurocrine Collaboration Agreement (the “June 2019 Modification”), and Neurocrine paid \$5.0 million to the Company. There were no other changes in pricing or scope of the obligations required to be performed under the Neurocrine Collaboration Agreement.

Under the terms of the Neurocrine Collaboration Agreement, the Company has agreed to collaborate with Neurocrine on, and to grant, exclusive, royalty-bearing, non-transferable, sublicensable licenses to certain of its intellectual property rights, for all human and veterinary diagnostic, prophylactic, and therapeutic uses, for the research, development, and commercialization of gene therapy products (the “Collaboration Products”) on a worldwide basis under (i) the VY-AADC Program; (ii) the FA Program; and (iii) each Discovery Program.

Pursuant to development plans to be agreed by the parties, which will be overseen by a joint steering committee (“JSC”), the Company has operational responsibility, subject to certain exceptions, for the conduct of each Neurocrine Program prior to the occurrence of a specified event for such Neurocrine Program (a “Transition Event”), as described below, and is required to use commercially reasonable efforts to develop the corresponding Collaboration Products. Neurocrine has agreed to be responsible for all costs incurred by the Company in conducting these activities for each Neurocrine Program, in accordance with an agreed budget for each Neurocrine Program. If the Company breaches its development responsibilities or in certain circumstances upon a change in control, Neurocrine has the right but not the obligation to assume the activities under such Neurocrine Program.

Upon the occurrence of a Transition Event for each Neurocrine Program, Neurocrine has agreed to assume responsibility for development, manufacturing and commercialization activities for such Neurocrine Program from the Company and to pay milestones and royalties on future net sales as described further below. The Transition Events are (i) with respect to the VY-AADC Program, the Company’s receipt of topline data for the RESTORE-1 Phase 2 clinical trial for VY-AADC (NB1b-1817); (ii) with respect to the FA Program, the Company’s receipt of topline data for the initial Phase 1 clinical trial for an FA Program product candidate; and (iii) with respect to each Discovery Program, the preparation by the Company and the approval by Neurocrine of an IND application to be filed with the Food and Drug Administration (the “FDA”) by Neurocrine for the first development candidate in such Discovery Program. For each Existing Program, the Company has the option (the “Co-Co Option”) to co-develop and co-commercialize such Neurocrine Program upon the occurrence of a specified event (a “Co-Co Trigger Event”). Should the Company elect to exercise its Co-Co Option, the Company has agreed to enter into a cost- and profit-sharing arrangement with Neurocrine (a “Co-Co Agreement”), whereby it has agreed to jointly develop and commercialize Collaboration Products for such Existing Program (“Co-Co Products”) and share in its costs, profits and losses, and the Company agrees to forfeit certain milestones and royalties on net sales in the United States during the effective period of the applicable Co-Co Agreement. The Co-Co Trigger Events are (i) with respect to the VY-AADC Program, the Company’s receipt of topline data for the ongoing RESTORE-1 Phase 2 clinical trial for VY-AADC (NB1b-1817) and (ii) with respect to the FA Program, the receipt of topline data for the initial Phase 1 clinical trial for an FA Program product candidate.

Subject to exceptions specified in the Neurocrine Collaboration Agreement, profits and losses under the Company’s Co-Co Option are agreed to be allocated (i) 50% to Neurocrine and 50% to the Company for a Collaboration Product from the VY-AADC Program and (ii) 60% to Neurocrine and 40% to the Company for a Collaboration Product from the FA Program; provided, however, that Neurocrine may elect, within a specified period following the acceptance for filing of a biologics license application (“BLA”) from the FDA, to pay a \$35.0 million rate-shifting fee to the Company to change the allocation for the VY-AADC Program to 55% to Neurocrine and 45% to the Company. The parties have agreed that each Co-Co Agreement will provide the Company the right to terminate for any reason upon prior written notice to Neurocrine and Neurocrine the right to terminate in certain circumstances upon change of control.

The Company's research and development activities under the Neurocrine Collaboration Agreement are to be conducted pursuant to plans agreed to by the parties, on a program-by-program basis, and overseen by the JSC, as detailed in the Neurocrine Collaboration Agreement.

The parties have committed to agree on a list of up to eight target genes (the "Targets") from which Neurocrine has the right to nominate Targets for the two Discovery Programs. The Targets nominated for the Discovery Programs must be approved by a consensus of the JSC or the executive officers.

The Neurocrine Collaboration Agreement provides for an upfront non-refundable payment of \$115.0 million, as well as for aggregate development and regulatory milestone payments from Neurocrine to the Company for Collaboration Products under (i) the VY-AADC Program of up to \$170.0 million; (ii) the FA Program of up to \$195.0 million, and (iii) each of the two Discovery Programs of up to \$130.0 million per Discovery Program. The Company may be entitled to receive aggregate commercial milestone payments for each Collaboration Product of up to \$275.0 million, subject to an aggregate cap on commercial milestone payments across all Neurocrine Programs of \$1.1 billion. Furthermore, in connection with the Neurocrine Collaboration Agreement, Neurocrine has purchased 4,179,728 shares of the Company's common stock at a price of \$11.9625 per share, for an aggregate purchase price of \$50.0 million.

Neurocrine has also agreed to pay the Company royalties, based on future net sales of the Collaboration Products. Such royalty percentages, for net sales in and outside the United States, as applicable, range (i) for the VY-AADC Program, from the mid-teens to low thirties and the low-teens to low twenties, respectively; (ii) for the FA Program, from the low-teens to high-teens and high-single digits to mid-teens, respectively; and (iii) for each Discovery Program, from the high-single digits to mid-teens and mid-single digits to low-teens, respectively. On a country-by-country and program-by-program basis, royalty payments would commence on the first commercial sale of a Collaboration Product and terminate on the later of (a) the expiration of the last patent covering the Collaboration Product or its method of use in such country, (b) ten years from the first commercial sale of the Collaboration Product in such country and (c) the expiration of regulatory exclusivity in such country, or the Royalty Term. Royalty payments may be reduced by up to 50% in specified circumstances, including expiration of patents rights related to a Collaboration Product, approval of biosimilar products in a given country or required payment of licensing fees to third parties related to the development and commercialization of any Collaboration Product. Additionally, the licenses granted to Neurocrine shall automatically convert to fully paid-up, non-royalty bearing, perpetual, irrevocable, exclusive licenses on a country-by-country and product-by-product basis upon the expiration of the Royalty Term applicable to such Collaboration Product in such country.

Under the terms of the Neurocrine Collaboration Agreement and subject to specified exceptions therein, each party owns the entire right, title and interest in and to all intellectual property rights made solely by its employees or agents in the course of the collaboration. The parties jointly own all rights, title and interest in and to all intellectual property rights made or invented jointly by employees or agents of both parties.

During the term of the Neurocrine Collaboration Agreement, neither party nor any of its respective affiliates is permitted to directly or indirectly exploit any AAV-based gene therapy products directed to a Target to which a Collaboration Product is directed, subject to specified exceptions including the parties' conduct of basic research.

Unless earlier terminated, the Neurocrine Collaboration Agreement expires on the later of (i) the expiration of the last to expire royalty term with respect to a Collaboration Product in all countries in the relevant territory or (ii) the expiration or termination of all Co-Co Agreements. Neurocrine may terminate the Neurocrine Collaboration Agreement in its entirety or on a program-by-program or country-by-country basis by providing at least (a) 180-day advance notice if such notice is provided prior to the first commercial sale of the Collaboration Product to which the termination applies or (b) one-year advance notice if such notice is provided after the first commercial sale of the Collaboration Product to which the termination applies. The Company may terminate the Neurocrine Collaboration Agreement, subject to specified conditions, if Neurocrine challenges the validity or enforceability of certain of the Company's intellectual property rights. Subject to a cure period, either party may terminate the Neurocrine Collaboration Agreement in the event of a material breach by the other party in whole or in part, subject to specified conditions.

Upon termination in certain cases, Neurocrine has agreed to grant to the Company licenses to certain Neurocrine intellectual property, subject to a negotiation between the parties to establish royalty rates for use of such

intellectual property. In the event of a breach by the Company with respect to a Neurocrine Program, if such termination were to occur after a Transition Event, then (i) if a Co-Co Agreement is in effect with respect to such program, Neurocrine can terminate the Co-Co Agreement for such program and the Company would no longer have co-development and co-commercialization rights with respect to the Collaboration Product and (ii) subject to any license agreements, Neurocrine would no longer have any obligations with respect to any Collaboration Products resulting from such program.

Accounting Analysis

At inception, the Neurocrine Collaboration Agreement included the following performance obligations: (i) research and development services for each Existing Program combined with a development and commercialization license for each such program and (ii) research and development services for each Discovery Program combined with a development and commercialization license for each program. The research services and license on a program by program basis are not distinct as Neurocrine cannot benefit from such license on its own or from other resources commonly available in the industry, without the corresponding research services due to the unique and specialized expertise of the Company that is not readily available in the marketplace.

The Company has identified \$92.4 million of fixed transaction price consisting of the \$115.0 million upfront fee and \$5.0 million payment from the June 2019 Modification, offset by a discount of \$27.6 million related to the \$50.0 million equity investment of 4,179,728 shares when measured at fair value on the date of issuance. The Company is also entitled to reimbursement of costs incurred by the Company prior to the Transition Events associated with each Neurocrine Program. These amounts are determinable based on program plans and budgets, and the Company has a contractual right to the payment of cost incurred under the agreed upon program plans. The Company has utilized the most likely amount approach and estimated the expected cost reimbursement to be \$431.1 million and has concluded that these amounts do not require a constraint and are included in the transaction price at inception. The Company considers this estimate at each reporting date and updates the estimate based on information available. During the fourth quarter of 2019, the Company changed the estimate of the expected reimbursement to \$365.8 million based on current expectations. Additional consideration to be paid to the Company upon reaching certain milestones are excluded from the transaction price at inception due to the uncertainty of achieving the development and regulatory milestones.

The Company has allocated the fixed transaction price to the separate performance obligations based on the relative standalone selling price of each performance obligation or in the case of certain variable consideration to one or more performance obligations. The estimated standalone selling prices for performance obligations, that include a license and research services, were developed using the estimated selling price of the license, using comparable and market data, and an estimate of the overall effort to perform the research services along with a reasonable profit for research services.

The Company has concluded that the variable consideration related to the cost reimbursement of each program will be allocated to each respective program as the cost reimbursement relates specifically to the respective program services being performed under the Neurocrine Collaboration. The reimbursement of research services is considered to be at a market rate and the allocation of the fixed consideration to all of the performance obligations depicts the estimated amounts in which it would expect to receive for these obligations, absent the variable consideration related to the research reimbursement. The total variable consideration allocated to each program related to the expected cost reimbursement was as follows at December 31, 2019:

Performance Obligation	Amount
	<i>(in thousands)</i>
Variable Consideration	
VY-AADC Program	\$ 111,098
FA Program	112,417
Discovery Program 1	71,206
Discovery Program 2	71,081
Total	\$ 365,802

Based on the relative standalone selling price allocation, the allocation of the transaction price, exclusive of the variable consideration allocated to the individual performance obligations, to the separate performance obligations was as follows:

<u>Performance Obligation</u>	<u>Amount</u>
	<i>(in thousands)</i>
Fixed Consideration	
VY-AADC Program	\$ 80,373
FA Program	6,005
Discovery Program 1	3,002
Discovery Program 2	3,002
Total	\$ 92,382

The Company recognizes the transaction price associated with each performance obligation on a proportional performance basis over the period of service using input-based measurements such as costs incurred to date, to estimate proportion performed, and remeasures its progress towards completion at the end of each reporting period.

During the year ended December 31, 2019, the Company recognized \$60.0 million of revenue associated with its collaboration with Neurocrine related to research and development services performed during the period and the corresponding cost reimbursement receivable. As of December 31, 2019, there was \$70.0 million of deferred revenue related to the Neurocrine Collaboration Agreement, which is classified as either current or non-current in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet based on the period the services are expected to be delivered. Additionally, as of December 31, 2019, there was \$18.5 million of collaboration receivables related to reimbursable costs expected to be received from Neurocrine for research and development services performed.

Costs incurred relating to the Collaboration Programs consist of internal and external research and development costs, which primarily include: salaries and benefits, lab supplies, preclinical research studies, clinical studies, consulting services, and commercial development. These costs are included in research and development expenses in the Company's consolidated statements of operations during the year ended December 31, 2019.

The Company incurred approximately \$0.8 million of costs to obtain the Neurocrine Collaboration Agreement which were payable only upon the close of the deal and therefore considered incremental costs of obtaining a contract with a customer and capitalized. The costs are recorded in prepaid expenses and other non-current assets and are being amortized over the period in which the research services will be provided.

ClearPoint Neuro, Inc. License and Securities Purchase Agreements

In September 2016, the Company entered into a securities purchase agreement (the "Securities Purchase Agreement") and a license agreement (the "CLPT License Agreement") with ClearPoint Neuro, Inc. (formerly known as MRI Interventions, Inc.) ("CLPT"), formerly known as MRI Interventions, Inc. CLPT is the only supplier of the ClearPoint® System, which is being used by the Company in ongoing development and clinical trials. Under the Securities Purchase Agreement, the Company paid \$2.0 million for shares of CLPT common stock and a warrant to purchase additional shares of CLPT common stock. The Company also entered into the CLPT License Agreement, which provided for certain rights to CLPT technology and for CLPT to transfer the rights and know-how to manufacture the ClearPoint System to enable the Company to utilize an alternative supplier for the ClearPoint System for use in the Company's development and clinical trials. During 2017, the Company terminated the CLPT License Agreement and all prior and future commitments and obligations under such agreement became null and void.

In May 2018, the Company entered into a master services and supply agreement with CLPT (the "CLPT Supply Agreement") which provides for CLPT to perform certain manufacturing, supply, development and services as requested by the Company, including the supply of the ClearPoint System and cannula devices. In March 2019, the Company transferred its premarket notification (510(k)) clearance for the V-TAG device to CLPT, and will work with CLPT on the manufacturing and clinical supply of the device.

As of December 31, 2019, the Company continued to hold the common stock and warrants to purchase additional shares of common stock as a non-current asset.

Other Agreements

The Company has entered into various agreements with contract research organizations and institutions to license intellectual property. In consideration for the licensed rights the Company generally made upfront payments, which were recorded as research and development expense as the acquired technologies were considered in-process research and development. The license agreements obligate the Company to make additional payments that are contingent upon specific clinical trial and regulatory approval milestones being achieved as well as royalties on future product sales. The agreements to license intellectual property include potential milestone payments that are dependent upon the development of products licensed under the agreements and contingent upon the achievement of clinical trial or regulatory approval milestones. As of December 31, 2019, the Company reached a milestone related to first patient dosing on the RESTORE-1 Phase 2 clinical trial which resulted in a \$0.1 million milestone payment to one of its licensors. The Company can generally terminate the license agreements upon 30-90 days prior written notice.

Additionally, certain license agreements require the Company to reimburse the licensor for certain past and ongoing patent related expenses. During the year ended December 31, 2019, 2018, and 2017, the Company incurred \$0.5 million, \$0.6 million, and \$0.8 million of expense, respectively, related to these reimbursable patent costs which are recorded as general and administrative expense

During the year ended December 31, 2016, the Company entered into a research and development funding arrangement with a non-profit organization that provides up to \$4.0 million in funding to the Company upon the achievement of clinical and development milestones. The agreement provides that the Company repay amounts received under certain circumstances including termination of the agreement, and to pay an amount up to 2.6 times the funding received upon successful development and commercialization of any products developed. During the year ended December 31, 2017, the Company earned a milestone payment of \$1.0 million. The Company has evaluated the arrangement and has concluded that it represents a research and development financing arrangement as it is probable that the Company will repay amounts received under the arrangement. As a result, the \$1.0 million earned through the year ended December 31, 2017 is recorded as a non-current liability in the consolidated balance sheet.

Litigation

The Company is not a party to any material legal matters or claims and does not have contingency reserves established for any litigation liabilities as of December 31, 2019 or 2018

10. Preferred stock

The Company has authorized preferred stock amounting to 5,000,000 shares as of December 31, 2019 and 2018. The authorized preferred stock was classified under stockholders' equity at December 31, 2019.

11. Common stock

As of December 31, 2019 and 2018, the Company had authorized 120,000,000 shares of common stock, at \$0.001 par value per share.

General

The voting, dividend and liquidation rights of the holders of the common stock are subject to and qualified by the rights, powers and preferences of the holders of preferred stock. The common stock has the following characteristics:

Voting

The holders of shares of common stock are entitled to one vote for each share of common stock held at all meetings of stockholders and written actions in lieu of meetings.

Dividends

The holders of shares of common stock are entitled to receive dividends, if and when declared by the Board of Directors. No dividends have been declared or paid by the Company since its inception.

Liquidation

The holders of shares of common stock are entitled to share ratably in the Company's remaining assets available for distribution to its stockholders in the event of any voluntary or involuntary liquidation, dissolution or winding up of the Company or upon occurrence of a deemed liquidation event.

Shares Reserved For Future Issuance

	As of December 31,	
	2019	2018
Shares reserved for vesting of restricted stock awards under the Founder Agreements	176,471	235,294
Shares reserved for exercise of outstanding stock options	5,317,326	4,225,152
Shares reserved for vesting of outstanding restricted stock units	455,404	—
Shares reserved for issuances under the 2015 Stock Option Plan	1,875,078	1,973,227
Shares reserved for issuances under the 2015 Employee Stock Purchase Plan	1,218,876	963,386
	<u>9,043,155</u>	<u>7,397,059</u>

12. Stock-based compensation

2014 Stock Option and Grant Plan

In January 2014, the Company adopted the 2014 Stock Option and Grant Plan (the "2014 Plan"), under which it could grant incentive stock options, non-qualified stock options, restricted stock awards, unrestricted stock awards, or restricted stock units to purchase up to 823,529 shares of common stock to employees, officers, directors and consultants of the Company.

In April 2014, the Company amended the Plan to allow for the issuance of up to 1,411,764 shares of common stock. In August 2014, April 2015, August 2015 and October 2015 the Company further amended the Plan to allow for the issuance of up to 2,000,000, 2,047,058, 2,669,411 and 2,998,823 shares of common stock, respectively. During 2014 the Company issued only restricted stock awards under the Plan and during 2015 the Company only granted stock options.

The terms of stock option agreements, including vesting requirements, were determined by the Board of Directors and were subject to the provisions of the 2014 Plan. Restricted stock awards granted by the Company generally vest based on each grantee's continued service with the Company during a specified period following grant. Stock options granted to employees generally vest over four years, with 25% vesting on the one year anniversary and 75% vesting ratably, on a monthly basis, over the remaining three years. Stock options granted to non-employee consultants generally vest monthly over a period of one to four years.

Founder Awards

In January 2014, the Company issued 1,188,233 shares of restricted stock to its founders (each, a "Founder") at an original issuance price of \$0.0425 per share. Of the total restricted shares awarded to the Founders, 835,292 shares generally vest over one to four years, based on each Founder's continued service to the Company in varying capacity as a Scientific Advisory Board member, consultant, director, officer or employee, as set forth in each grantee's individual restricted stock purchase agreement.

The remaining 352,941 of the shares issued begin vesting upon the achievement of certain performance objectives as well as continued service to the Company, as set forth in the agreements. These performance conditions are tied to certain milestone events specific to the Company's corporate goals, including but not limited to preclinical and clinical development milestones related to the Company's product candidates. Stock-based compensation expense associated with these performance-based awards is recognized when the achievement of the performance condition is considered probable, using management's best estimates. Management concluded that the achievement of the

performance milestone for one of the three performance-based awards had been met during 2016. Accordingly, stock-based compensation expense in the amount of \$0.3 million and \$1.4 million was recorded in the years ended December 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively, related to this award. In December 2019, the Company modified one of the remaining performance awards, repurchasing 58,823 shares of common stock previously issued to one of the Company's founders. Additionally, the Company modified the award to vest solely based on time rather than on performance. The Company revalued the award at the modification date and is recognizing expense on a straight-line basis over the three-year vesting period. Stock-based compensation related to this award was de minimis in the year ended December 31, 2019. The performance-based milestone of the remaining performance-based award has not been met as of December 31, 2019.

2015 Stock Option Plan

In October 2015, the Company's board of directors and stockholders approved the 2015 Stock Option and Incentive Plan ("2015 Stock Option Plan"), which became effective upon the completion of the IPO. The 2015 Stock Option Plan provides the Company with the flexibility to use various equity-based incentive and other awards as compensation tools to motivate its workforce. These tools include stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, restricted stock units, unrestricted stock, performance share awards and cash-based awards. The 2015 Stock Option Plan replaced the 2014 Plan. Any options or awards outstanding under the 2014 Plan remained outstanding and effective. The number of shares initially reserved for issuance under the 2015 Stock Option Plan is the sum of (i) 1,311,812 shares of common stock and (ii) the number of shares under the 2014 Plan that are not needed to fulfill the Company's obligations for awards issued under the 2014 Plan as a result of forfeiture, expiration, cancellation, termination or net issuances of awards thereunder. The number of shares of common stock that may be issued under the 2015 Stock Option Plan is also subject to increase on the first day of each fiscal year by up to 4% of the Company's issued and outstanding shares of common stock on the immediately preceding December 31.

Effective January 1, 2016, 2017, 2018, 2019, and 2020, an additional 1,069,971, 1,070,635, 1,285,200, 1,302,830, and 1,480,621 shares of common stock, respectively, were added to the Company's 2015 Stock Option Plan pursuant to its "evergreen" provision, for future issuance. During the year ended December 31, 2019, the Company granted options to purchase 1,365,130 shares of common stock to employees and directors under the 2015 Stock Option Plan. As of December 31, 2019, there were 1,875,078 shares available for future issuance under the 2015 Stock Option Plan.

2015 Employee Stock Purchase Plan

In October 2015, the Company's board of directors and stockholders approved the 2015 Employee Stock Purchase Plan (the "2015 ESPP"). Under the 2015 ESPP, all full-time employees of the Company are eligible to purchase common stock of the Company twice per year, at the end of each six-month payment period. During each payment period, eligible employees who so elect, may authorize payroll deductions in an amount of 1% to 10% (whole percentages only) of the employee's base pay for each payroll period. At the end of each payment period, the accumulated deductions are used to purchase shares of common stock from the Company at a discount. A total of 262,362 shares of common stock were initially authorized for issuance under this plan. The 2015 ESPP became effective upon the completion of the IPO. Effective January 1, 2016, 2017, 2018, 2019, and 2020, a total of 267,492, 267,658, 321,300, 325,707, and 370,155 shares of common stock, respectively, were added to the 2015 ESPP, pursuant to its evergreen provision, for future issuance. The Company issued 70,217 shares of common stock under the 2015 ESPP in the year ended December 31, 2019.

Inducement Awards

In the year ended December 31, 2019, the Company issued non-statutory stock options to purchase an aggregate of 338,750 shares of the Company's common stock and restricted stock unit awards for an aggregate of 58,125 units of the Company's common stock, respectively, to two executives in each case outside of the Company's 2015 Stock Option Plan as an inducement material to such executive's acceptance of an offer of employment with the Company in accordance with Nasdaq Listing Rule 5635(c)(4). The stock options will vest over a four-year period, with 25% of the shares underlying the option award vesting on the first anniversary of the award and the remaining 75% of the shares underlying the award vesting monthly thereafter over the subsequent 36-month period. The restricted stock units vest over a three-year period, with 33% of the restricted stock units vesting on the first anniversary, 33% of the restricted stock units vesting on the second anniversary, and the remaining restricted stock units vesting on the third anniversary.

Stock-based Compensation Expense

Total compensation cost recognized for all stock-based compensation awards in the statements of operations and comprehensive loss is as follows:

	Year ended December 31,		
	2019	2018	2017
	(in thousands)		
Research and development	\$ 7,383	\$ 4,717	\$ 5,367
General and administrative	8,257	10,993	3,871
Total stock-based compensation expense	\$ 15,640	\$ 15,710	\$ 9,238

Stock-based compensation expense by type of award included within the consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive loss was as follows:

	Year ended December 31,		
	2019	2018	2017
	(in thousands)		
Stock options	\$ 13,380	\$ 14,956	\$ 5,787
Restricted stock awards and units	1,935	482	3,244
Employee stock purchase plan awards	325	272	207
Total stock-based compensation expense	\$ 15,640	\$ 15,710	\$ 9,238

In June 2019, the Company entered into a consulting agreement (the “Sah Agreement”) with Dr. Dinah Sah, Ph.D., the Company’s former Chief Scientific Officer, pursuant to which Dr. Sah has agreed to provide consulting and advisory services, including but not limited to scientific guidance in connection with certain of the Company’s collaborations and research and development programs for a three-year period which commenced on June 28, 2019. In accordance with its terms, the Sah Agreement triggered an equity modification resulting in the recognition of \$2.2 million of stock-based compensation expense related to the non-substantive service period of the Sah Agreement.

In August 2018, the Company entered into a consulting agreement (the “Paul Agreement”) with Dr. Steven M. Paul, M.D., the Company’s former President and Chief Executive Officer, pursuant to which Dr. Paul has agreed to provide consulting and advisory services, including but not limited to scientific guidance in connection with certain of the Company’s collaborations and research and development programs for a three-year period which commenced on August 2, 2018. In accordance with its terms, the Paul Agreement triggered an equity modification resulting in the recognition of \$5.4 million of stock-based compensation expense related to the non-substantive service period of the Paul Agreement.

Restricted Stock Units

A summary of the status of and changes in unvested restricted stock unit activity under the Company’s equity award plans for the year ended December 31, 2019 was as follows:

	Units	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value Per Unit
Unvested restricted stock units as of December 31, 2018	—	—
Awarded	484,514	\$ 12.00
Vested	—	—
Forfeited	(29,110)	\$ 9.47
Unvested restricted stock units as of December 31, 2019	<u>455,404</u>	

Stock-based compensation of restricted stock units is based on the fair value of the Company’s common stock on the date of grant and recognized over the vesting period. The weighted average fair value of restricted stock units

granted to employees during the year ended December 31, 2019 was \$12.00 per share. The restricted stock units granted in the year ended December 31, 2019 vest in equal amounts, annually over three years. The expense related to awards granted to employees was \$1.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2019.

The expense related to awards granted to employees was \$0.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2018. The expense related to awards granted to employees was \$0.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2017.

As of December 31, 2019, the Company had unrecognized stock-based compensation expense related to its unvested restricted stock units of \$4.9 million which is expected to be recognized over the remaining weighted average vesting period of 3.0 years

Stock Options

A summary of the status of, and changes in, stock options was as follows:

	Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Remaining Contractual Life (in years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (in thousands)
Outstanding at December 31, 2018	4,225,152	\$ 15.48		
Granted	1,703,880	\$ 15.42		
Exercised	(250,276)	\$ 10.84		
Cancelled or forfeited	(361,430)	\$ 12.12		
Outstanding at December 31, 2019	<u>5,317,326</u>	\$ 15.98	8.1	\$ 8,469
Exercisable at December 31, 2019	<u>2,482</u>	\$ 14.67	7.3	\$ 5,194
Vested and expected to vest at December 31, 2019	<u>5,317,326</u>	\$ 15.98	8.1	\$ 8,469

Using the Black-Scholes option pricing model, the weighted average fair value of options granted to employees and directors during the year ended December 31, 2019 was \$10.22. The stock-based compensation expense related to stock option awards granted to employees and directors was \$13.3 million, \$14.6 million, and \$5.4 million for the years ended December 31, 2019, 2018, and 2017, respectively.

The fair value of each option issued to employees and directors was estimated at the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following weighted-average assumptions:

	Year ended December 31,		
	2019	2018	2017
Risk-free interest rate	2.2 %	2.8 %	2.0 %
Expected dividend yield	— %	— %	— %
Expected term (in years)	6.0	6.0	6.0
Expected volatility	74.7 %	74.4 %	73.7 %

There were no new options granted to non-employees other than members of the Company's board of directors during the year ended December 31, 2019. The expense related to stock option awards granted to non-employees was \$0.1 million, \$0.3 million, and \$0.4 million for the years ended December 31, 2019, 2018, and 2017, respectively.

As of December 31, 2019, the Company had unrecognized stock-based compensation expense related to its unvested stock options of \$27.4 million which is expected to be recognized over the remaining weighted average vesting period of 2.6 years.

13. 401(k) Savings plan

The Company has a defined-contribution savings plan under Section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code (the "401(k) Plan"). The 401(k) Plan covers all employees who meet defined minimum age and service requirements, and allows participants to defer a portion of their annual compensation on a pretax basis. The Company expensed

approximately \$1.0 million, \$0.8 million, and \$0.5 million related to employer contributions made during the years ended December 31, 2019, 2018, and 2017, respectively.

14. Income taxes

The Company accounts for income taxes using the asset and liability method. Under the asset and liability method, deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to temporary differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases and for tax carryforwards, such as net operating losses. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in the provision for income taxes in the period that includes the enactment date. The Company records a valuation allowance to reduce the carrying amount of deferred tax assets if it is more likely than not that such asset will not be realized. The evaluation of uncertain tax positions is based on factors including, but not limited to, changes in the law, the measurement of tax positions taken or expected to be taken in tax returns, the effective settlement of matters subject to audit, new audit activity, and changes in facts or circumstances related to a tax position. The Company evaluates its tax positions on a quarterly basis.

On December 22, 2017, the U.S. federal government enacted comprehensive tax legislation with the U.S. Tax Cuts and Jobs Act (“Tax Act”) that made changes to the U.S. tax code impacting the year ended December 31, 2017 and future years. Effective January 1, 2018, the Tax Act reduced the U.S. federal corporate tax rate from 35% to 21%.

For the year ended December 31, 2017, the Tax Act required a one-time transition tax on certain unrepatriated earnings of foreign subsidiaries that is payable over eight years. At December 31, 2018, the Company does not have any foreign subsidiaries and the international aspects of the Tax Act are not applicable.

In December 2017, the SEC staff issued Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 118 (“SAB 118”), which provided guidance on accounting for the tax effects of the Tax Act. SAB 118 provided a measurement period that should not extend beyond one year from the date of the Tax Act enactment for companies to complete the accounting under Accounting Standards Codification 740—Income Taxes. In accordance with SAB 118, to the extent that a company’s accounting for certain income tax effects of the Tax Act is incomplete, but the company is able to determine a reasonable estimate, it must record a provisional estimate in its financial statements. The Company’s accounting for the Tax Act was completed in the fourth quarter of 2018 and resulted in no adjustments to the Company’s prior provisional estimates recorded in the period ended December 31, 2017.

The benefit for incomes taxes is as follows:

	Year ended December 31,	
	2019	2018
	<i>(in thousands)</i>	
Current		
Federal	\$ —	\$ 180
State	—	—
Total current	—	180
Deferred		
Federal	—	—
State	—	—
Total deferred	—	—
Total tax expense	\$ —	\$ 180

A reconciliation of the expected income tax (benefit) computed using the federal statutory income tax rate at the Company's effective tax rate is as follows:

	Year ended December 31,		
	2019	2018	2017
Income tax computed at federal statutory tax rate	21.0 %	21.0 %	34.0 %
General business credit carryovers	9.4 %	3.1 %	5.0 %
State taxes, net of federal benefit	8.0 %	6.3 %	6.1 %
Deferred rate change	— %	— %	(21.8)%
Non-deductible expenses	(2.2)%	(2.1)%	(4.1)%
Change in valuation allowance	(36.2)%	(28.1)%	(19.2)%
Total	— %	0.2 %	— %

The Company has incurred net operating losses (“NOLs”) since June 2013. At December 31, 2019, the Company had federal and state net operating loss carryforwards of \$182.4 million and \$180.4 million, respectively. During 2019, the company generated federal and state NOLs carryforwards of \$19.1 million and \$16.1 million, respectively. The federal pre-2017 NOL will begin to expire in 2033. The post-2017 NOL are limited to 80% of taxable income and with an indefinite carryforward period. The state NOL carryforwards will begin to expire in 2033. As of December 31, 2019, the Company also had federal and state research and development tax credit carryforwards of \$13.4 million and \$4.3 million, respectively, which expire beginning in 2028. As of December 31, 2019, the Company had state investment credits of \$0.6 million, which expire beginning in 2019.

Under the provisions of the Internal Revenue Code, certain substantial changes in the Company's ownership may result in a limitation on the amount of NOL carryforwards and research and development credit carryforwards that may be utilized annually to offset future taxable income and taxes payable. In general, an ownership change, as defined by Section 382, results from transactions that increase the ownership of 5% stockholders or public groups in the stock of a corporation by more than 50 percent in the aggregate over a three-year period. During 2016, the Company completed a study through June 30, 2016, to determine whether any ownership change had occurred since the Company's formation and determined that its transactions had resulted in three ownership changes, as defined by Section 382. An updated study was completed through May 3, 2019 and there were no additional ownership changes. There could be additional ownership changes in the future that could further limit the amount of NOLs and tax credit carryforwards that the Company can utilize.

The significant components of the Company's deferred tax assets and (liabilities) as of December 31, 2019 and 2018 are as follows:

	Year ended December 31,	
	2019	2018
	<i>(in thousands)</i>	
Deferred tax assets:		
Net operating loss carryforwards	\$ 49,713	\$ 44,556
Tax credit carryforwards	17,297	12,021
Deferred rent	—	1,560
Lease liability	9,335	—
Deferred revenue	16,805	13,926
Non-deductible accruals and reserves	1,502	1,105
Intangibles	776	930
Stock compensation	5,157	2,802
Total deferred tax assets	100,585	76,900
Less valuation allowance	(90,920)	(75,213)
Net deferred tax assets	9,665	1,687
Deferred tax liabilities		
Unrealized gain on available-for-sale securities	(8)	—
Depreciation and amortization	(1,878)	(1,687)
Right of use asset	(7,779)	—
Net deferred taxes	\$ —	\$ —

As required by ASC 740, management has evaluated the positive and negative evidence bearing upon the realizability of its deferred tax assets, which principally comprise NOL carryforwards, research and development credit carryforwards, and collaboration revenue that has been recognized as taxable but remains deferred for book reporting purposes at year end. Management has determined that it is more likely than not that the Company will not recognize the benefits of its federal and state deferred tax assets, and as a result, a valuation allowance of \$90.9 million and \$75.2 million has been established at December 31, 2019 and 2018, respectively. The change in valuation allowance was \$15.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2019, primarily due to additional operating losses incurred by the Company for the year ended December 31, 2019 and current year increase in stock compensation deferred tax asset. The primary reason for the difference between the income tax expense recorded by the Company and the amount of income tax expense at statutory income tax rates was the change in the valuation allowance.

At December 31, 2019 and 2018, the Company had no unrecognized tax benefits. The Company has not as yet conducted a study of its research and development credit carryforwards. This study may result in an adjustment to the Company's research and development credit carryforwards; however, until a study is completed, and any adjustment is known, no amounts are being presented as an uncertain tax position. A full valuation allowance has been provided against the Company's research and development credits, and if an adjustment is required, this adjustment would be offset by an adjustment to the valuation allowance. Thus, there would be no impact to the balance sheets or statements of operations if an adjustment were required.

Interest and penalty charges, if any, related to unrecognized tax benefits would be classified as income tax expense in the accompanying statements of operations. As of December 31, 2019 and 2018, the Company has no accrued interest related to uncertain tax positions. Since the Company is in a loss carryforward position, it is generally subject to examination by the U.S. federal, state, and local income tax authorities for all tax years in which a loss carryforward is available.

15. Related-party transactions

Since inception, the Company received consulting and management services from one of its investors. The total amount of consulting and management services provided by this investor was de minimis during the years ended December 31, 2019 and 2018. Additionally, during the years ended December 31, 2019 and 2018, the Company received board and scientific advisory services from two of its prior executives. The total amounts of these services provided by the former executives totaled \$0.4 million and \$0.1 million, for the years ended December 31, 2019 and 2018, respectively.

Under the collaboration agreement, the Company and Neurocrine have agreed to conduct research, development and commercialization of certain of the Company's AAV gene therapy products (Note 9). Amounts due from Neurocrine are reflected as related party collaboration receivables. As of December 31, 2019, the Company had approximately \$18.5 million in related party collaboration receivable associated with Neurocrine.

16. Selected quarterly financial data (unaudited)

The following table contains quarterly financial information for 2019 and 2018. The Company believes that the following information reflects all normal recurring adjustments necessary for a fair statement of the information for the periods presented. The operating results for any quarter are not necessarily indicative of results for any future period.

	2019				
	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter	Total
	<i>(amounts in thousands, except per share data)</i>				
Collaboration revenue	\$ 5,197	\$ 46,087	\$ 20,433	\$ 32,674	\$ 104,391
Total operating expenses	34,490	36,898	38,240	46,442	156,070
Loss from operations	(29,293)	9,189	(17,807)	(13,768)	(51,679)
Net (loss) income	\$ (27,170)	\$ 11,153	\$ (15,006)	\$ (12,574)	\$ (43,597)
Net (loss) income per share	\$ (0.81)	\$ 0.30	\$ (0.41)	\$ (0.34)	\$ (1.21)

	2018				
	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter	Total
	<i>(amounts in thousands, except per share data)</i>				
Collaboration revenue	\$ 942	\$ 2,575	\$ 2,094	\$ 2,008	\$ 7,619
Total operating expenses	22,035	28,269	23,241	25,169	98,714
Loss from operations	(21,093)	(25,694)	(21,147)	(23,161)	(91,095)
Net loss	\$ (19,926)	\$ (25,541)	\$ (20,289)	\$ (22,532)	\$ (88,288)
Net loss per share	\$ (0.63)	\$ (0.80)	\$ (0.63)	\$ (0.70)	\$ (2.75)

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit No.	Description	Incorporated by Reference to:				
		Form or Schedule	Exhibit No.	Filing Date with SEC	SEC File Number	Filed Herewith
3.1	Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Registrant.	8-K	3.1	11/16/2015	001-37625	
3.2	Amended and Restated By-Laws of the Registrant.	8-K	3.2	11/16/2015	001-37625	
4.1	Specimen Common Stock Certificate of the Registrant.	10-K	4.1	03/14/2018	001-37625	
4.2	Form of Indenture to be entered into between the Registrant and Trustee.	S-3/A	4.2	12/19/2016	333-207367	
4.3	Second Amended and Restated Investors' Rights Agreement, by and among the Registrant and certain of its stockholders, dated as of April 10, 2015.	S-1/A	4.2	10/28/2015	333-207367	
4.4	Description of Registrant's Securities					X
10.1#	2014 Stock Option and Grant Plan and forms of award agreements thereunder.	S-1/A	10.1	10/28/2015	333-207367	
10.2#	2015 Stock Option and Incentive Plan and forms of award agreements thereunder.	S-1/A	10.2	10/28/2015	333-207367	
10.3†	Collaboration Agreement, by and between the Registrant and Sanofi Genzyme Corporation, dated February 11, 2015.	S-1/A	10.3	11/06/2015	333-207367	
10.4*	Termination Agreement, by and between the Registrant and Genzyme Corporation, dated June 14, 2019	10-Q	10.3	08/09/2019	001-37625	
10.5*	Amended and Restated Option and License Agreement, by and between the Registrant and Genzyme Corporation, dated June 14, 2019	10-Q	10.4	08/09/2019	001-37625	
10.6†	Collaboration and License Agreement, by and between the Registrant and Neurocrine Biosciences, Inc., dated January 28, 2019	10-K	10.28	02/26/2019	001-37625	
10.7	Amendment No. 1 to the Collaboration and License Agreement, by and between	10-Q	10.5	08/09/2019	001-37625	

	the Registrant and Neurocrine Biosciences, Inc., dated June 14, 2019				
10.8	Stock Purchase Agreement, by and between the Registrant and Neurocrine Biosciences, Inc., dated January 28, 2019	10-K	10.29	02/26/2019	001-37625
10.9	Investor Agreement, by and between the Registrant and Neurocrine Biosciences, Inc., dated January 28, 2019	10-K	10.30	02/26/2019	001-37625
10.10†	Collaboration Agreement, by and between the Registrant and AbbVie Biotechnology Ltd, dated February 16, 2018	10-K	10.22	03/14/2018	001-37625
10.11†	Collaboration and Option Agreement, by and between the Registrant and AbbVie Ireland Unlimited Company, dated February 21, 2019	10-K	10.31	02/26/2019	001-37625
10.12†	Exclusive License Agreement, by and between the Registrant and the University of Massachusetts, dated January 30, 2014	S-1	10.4	10/09/2015	333-207367
10.13†	License Agreement, by and between the Registrant and ReGenX Biosciences, LLC, dated May 28, 2014	S-1/A	10.11	11/04/2015	333-207367
10.14	Lease Agreement, by and between the Registrant and UP 45/75 Sidney Street, LLC, dated April 1, 2014	S-1/A	10.5	10/28/2015	333-207367
10.15	First Amendment to the Lease Agreement, by and between the Registrant and UP 45/75 Sidney Street, LLC, dated December 23, 2015	10-Q	10.5	05/12/2016	001-37625
10.16	Second Amendment to the Lease Agreement, by and between the Registrant and UP 45/75 Sidney Street, LLC, dated February 5, 2018	8-K	10.1	02/07/2018	001-37625
10.17	Third Amendment to the Lease Agreement, by and between the Registrant and UP 45/75 Sidney Street, LLC, dated June 1, 2018	8-K	10.1	06/05/2018	001-37625
10.18	Lease Agreement, by and between the Registrant and UP 64 Sidney Street, LLC, dated December 23, 2015	10-Q	10.6	05/12/2016	001-37625
10.19	First Amendment to the Lease Agreement, by and between the Registrant and UP 64 Sidney Street, LLC, dated June 1, 2018	8-K	10.2	06/05/2018	001-37625

10.20	Form of Indemnification Agreement to be entered into between the Registrant and its directors	S-1/A	10.9	10/28/2015	333-207367
10.21	Form of Indemnification Agreement to be entered into between the Registrant and its executive officers	S-1/A	10.10	10/28/2015	333-207367
10.22#	2015 Employee Stock Purchase Plan	S-1/A	10.12	10/28/2015	333-207367
10.23#	Amendment No. 1 to the 2015 Employee Stock Purchase Plan	10-K	10.21	03/14/2018	001-37625
10.24#	Retirement Agreement, by and between the Registrant and Steven M. Paul, M.D., dated June 28, 2018	8-K	10.1	06/29/2018	001-37625
10.25#	Employment Agreement, by and between the Registrant and G. Andre Turenne, dated June 28, 2018	8-K	10.2	06/29/2018	001-37625
10.26#	Employment Agreement, by and between the Registrant and Matthew P. Ottmer, dated September 11, 2017	8-K	10.1	09/18/2017	001-37625
10.27#	Employment Agreement, by and between the Registrant and Allison Dorval, dated November 7, 2018	10-Q	10.3	11/07/2018	001-37625
10.28#	Retirement Agreement, by and between the Registrant and Dinah Sah, Ph.D., dated May 20, 2019	8-K	10.1	05/21/2019	001-37625
10.29#	Employment Agreement, by and between the Registrant and Omar Khwaja, M.D., Ph.D., dated May 20, 2019	10-Q	10.2	08/09/2019	001-37625
10.30#	Employment Agreement, by and between the Registrant and Robert W. Hesslein, dated January 15, 2019	10-Q	10.5	05/07/2019	001-37625
10.31#	Consulting Agreement, by and between the Registrant and Steven M. Paul, M.D., dated August 2, 2018	10-Q	10.5	08/07/2018	001-37625
10.32#	Amendment No. 1 to the Consulting Agreement, by and between the Registrant and Steven M. Paul, M.D., dated July 9, 2019	10-Q	10.1	11/06/2019	001-37625
10.33#	Consulting Agreement, by and between the Registrant and Dinah Sah, Ph.D., dated June 28, 2019	10-Q	10.6	08/09/2019	001-37625

10.34#	Amendment No. 1 to the Consulting Agreement, by and between the Registrant and Dinah Sah, Ph.D., dated September 16, 2019	10-Q	10.2	11/06/2019	001-37625	
10.35#	Form of Non-Qualified Stock Option Agreement for Inducement	10-K	10.27	02/26/2019	001-37625	
10.36#	Form of Restricted Stock Unit Agreement and Inducement Grant	10-K	10.33	02/26/2019	001-37625	
10.37	Transition, Separation, and Release Agreement, by and between the Registrant and Matthew P. Ottmer, dated February 12, 2020	8-K	10.1	02/14/2020	001-37625	
10.38	Sales Agreement, by and between the Registrant and Cowen and Company, LLC, dated November 5, 2019	S-3	1.2	11/06/2019	333-234527	
21.1	Subsidiaries of the Registrant.					X
23.1	Consent of Ernst & Young, Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.					X
24.1	Power of Attorney (see signature page of this Annual Report on Form 10-K).					X
31.1	Certification of Principal Executive Officer pursuant to Exchange Act Rules 13a-14 or 15d-14.					X
31.2	Certification of Principal Financial Officer pursuant to Exchange Act Rules 13a-14 or 15d-14.					X
32.1+	Certifications of Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer pursuant to Exchange Act Rules 13a-14(b) or 15d-14(b) and 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.					X
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document - the instance document does not appear in the Interactive Data File because its XBRL tags are embedded within the Inline XBRL document					
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document.					
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Document.					

- 101.LAB XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition
Linkbase Document.
- 101.PRE XBRL Taxonomy Extension Labels
Linkbase Document.
- 101.DEF XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation
Link Document.
- 104 Cover Page Interactive Data File – T=the
cover page interactive data file does not
appear in the Interactive Data File because
its XBRL tags are embedded within the
Inline XBRL document

-
- # Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement filed in response to Item 15(a)(3) of the Instructions to the Annual Report on Form 10-K.
- † Confidential treatment has been granted as to certain portions, which portions have been omitted and separately filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.
- * Portions of this exhibit have been omitted pursuant to Item 601(b)(10)(iv) of Regulation S-K
- + The certification furnished in Exhibit 32.1 hereto is deemed to be furnished with this Annual Report on Form 10-K and will not be deemed to be “filed” for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, except to the extent that the Registrant specifically incorporates it by reference.

MANAGEMENT TEAM

Andre Turenne

President and Chief Executive Officer

Omar Khwaja, M.D., Ph.D.

Chief Medical Officer and
Head of Research & Development

Luis Maranga, Ph.D.

Chief Technical Operations Officer

Allison Dorval

Chief Financial Officer

Allen Nunnally

Chief Business Officer

Robert Hesslein

General Counsel

Legal Counsel

Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP
New York, NY

Independent Auditors

Ernst & Young LLP
Boston, MA

Transfer Agent and Registrar

Computershare Trust Company, N.A.
Canton, MA

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Michael Higgins (Chairman)

Entrepreneur-in-Residence,
Polaris Partners

Wendy Dixon, Ph.D.

President, Great Meadow Consulting

Jim Geraghty

Chairman of the Board,
Idera Pharmaceuticals and Orchard Therapeutics

Steven Hyman, M.D.

Director, Stanley Center for Psychiatric
Research at the Broad Institute

Mark Levin

Partner, Third Rock Ventures

Andre Turenne

President and Chief Executive Officer,
Voyager Therapeutics

Steven Paul, M.D.

President, CEO and Chairman of the Board,
Karuna Therapeutics

Glenn Pierce, M.D., Ph.D.

Chief Medical Officer,
Ambys Medicines

Annual Meeting

The Annual Meeting of
Stockholders will be held
June 4, 2020 at 8:00 am ET

Voyager Therapeutics, Inc.,
75 Sidney Street,
Cambridge, MA 02139



Voyager Therapeutics
75 Sidney St.
Cambridge, MA 02139
info@voyagertherapeutics.com
857-259-5340